BIBLIOTEKA INSTITUTA VIDA A
S N 522
LIBRA

WORKS

BY

THE LATE

HORACE HAYMAN WILSON,

M A, F R S,

MEMBER OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETIES OF CALCUTTA AND PARIS, AND OF THE ORIENTAL SOCIETY OF GERMANY,

FOREIGN MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FRANCE,

MEMBER OF THE IMPERIAL ACADEMIES OF ST PETERSBURGH AND VIENNA, AND OF THE ROYAL ACADEMIES OF MUNICH AND BERLIN,

PH D BRESLAU M D MARBURG, ETC,

AND BODEN PROFESSOR OF SANSKRIT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

LONDON

TRÜBNER & CO, 60, PATERNOSTER ROW.

1865

ESSAYS

ANALYTICAL, CRITICAL AND PHILOLOGICAL

ON SUBJECTS CONNECTED WITH

SANSKRIT LITERATURE.

BY THE LATE

H. H. WILSON, M.A., F.R.S.,

BODEN PROFESSOR OF SANSKRIT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD,

ETC., ETC.

COLLECTED AND EDITED BY

DR. REINHOLD ROST

IN THREE VOLUMES



VOL III

LONDON

TRÜBNER & CO., 60, PATERNOSTER ROW

1865

S N
LIBRARY.

XI.

CONSIDERATIONS ON THE HINDOO LAW, AS IT IS CURRENT IN BENGAL,

by the Honorable Sir FRANCIS WORKMAN MACNAGHTEN, Knt One of His Majesty's Justices of the Supreme Court of Judicature at Fort William in Bengal

"Miserа est servitus, ubi jus est vagum aut incertum" 4th Inst

Serampore Mission Press, 1824

From the Quarterly Or Magazine Vol III (1825), p 171—210

A WORK on the Laws of the Hindus by the eminent authority which had long administered them, excited our highest expectations, and we opened the volume with the fullest confidence of its yielding us the most satisfactory information. No common motives had operated on the learned author to induce him to become thoroughly master of his subject: the rights of individuals depended upon his accurate interpretation of the institutes on which they rested, and with the known intelligence and feeling of an English judge, we felt assured that he would never have been satisfied with the hurried or partial investigation of those arguments by which such important decisions were to be justified to the public and himself.

How far our expectations have been realised will appear, as we proceed, but the preface is of evil augury it is wholly occupied with charges of inconsistency, and uncertainty against the Hindu code We should have thought these qualifications would have pleaded in its favour with an experienced practitioner in our own jurisprudence, and should scarcely have supposed them serious grievances to one to whom the glorious uncertainty of English law must have afforded in earlier life, no unfrequent opportunities for the display of acumen and research The case, however, is otherwise and Sir F Macnaghten complains that "the contradictions of Hindu law reduce it to a nullity, that there is hardly any question that may not be either affirmed or denied under the sanction of texts which are held to be equal in point of authority, and that research is productive of little more than perplexity, for the conflict of lawgivers is endless and they can never be reconciled '

To these assertions, however, we must confess we hesitate in giving unqualified assent That conflicting authorities may be cited on many subjects may be conceded but then being held to be of equal weight is by no means universally the case, although a mere English lawyer may be unable to determine which should preponderate neither is it in our estimation so arduous a task as the learned judge seems to apprehend to appreciate the reasons why lawgivers disagree or to reconcile their differences If that is impracticable it is seldom difficult to give them that

consideration to which they are justly entitled, upon reference to common sense, to obvious analogies, and the unquestionable and, in general, rational principles of Hindu Law Again, the history of the authorities, the probable dates of their existence, the conditions of Hindu Society to which their institutes apply, and the local character of their influence, ought all to be taken into account in estimating the value of their dicta Now all this, the criticism of Hindu Law, appears to us to form no part of the studies of the Calcutta Court, and we cannot wonder that its brightest ornaments should often be sadly puzzled It is quite impossible that it should be otherwise as long as pleaders and judges are wholly at the mercy of the Pandits

Sir Francis Macnaghten seems rather reluctant to admit the unfavourable testimony of Sir William Jones, as, although he cites that really learned Judge's opinion, that the Pandits have it in their power to mislead the court whenever they please, he holds that "the translated Hindu law-books contain enough, without being aided by the craft or cunning of a Pandit, to mislead any man" This is rather a singular mode of defending the Pandits, but if it be the case, of which by the way we are not at all assured, it must be from other causes than that stated by Sir Wm Jones In a translation, the reader has an opportunity of seeing the texts of the law in their proper places, applied to what they relate to, bearing upon the subject to which they belong, and corroborating or con-

amongst the natives themselves, and they are too poor to pay for education a teacher must feed as well as instruct his scholars, and such 'virtue is no longer extant' In fact, an instructor was formerly enabled to maintain his pupils and to glean a surplus for himself by the bounty of Rajas, and even of Nawabs, who gave him a village or two for that purpose, but grants of this description have long been out of fashion without education there can be no learning, and as Pandits are at best but indifferently taught, they are very rarely indeed learned men

If the uncertainties of the English law are less perplexing than those of the Hindu law, we doubt if its *delays* are not something more interminable A long time elapses before a cause comes for decision, and abundant opportunity therefore is afforded for the traffic of underhand negociation intrigue and corruption, it is needless to cite instances to prove the consequences, or to make any individual application public events have rendered the fact notorious It can scarcely be otherwise The Pandits are in all cases ill paid, and the sums offered to their acceptance are in general equal to many years purchase of their salaries they are but men, and where the temptations are so great they must be more than men to resist them But is not every opinion to be received with reasonable distrust emanating from parties over whom whether proven or not, we cannot doubt undue influence operates, when a cause comes to be ad-

administration? At the same time, he recognises the policy and equity of governing the Hindus by their own laws, and consequently advocates a perseverance in the present plan, recommending however means "by which we may hope in time to cleanse the system of its aggregate corruptions and to *defecate the impurity of ages*" And what are these means? The decisions of the Supreme Court, an appeal from Hindu law to an English bench, a preference of the opinion of foreign judges, and those but superficially conversant with the subject, to the laborious discussions of native law-givers, and scholars, and the substitution of common for written law, of that law which the historian of British India pronounces oral, traditionary, and barbarous, and describes as "any thing which the judges choses to call law, under no other restriction than certain notions, to a great degree arbitrary, of what has been done by other judges before them" We doubt very much whether the Hindus will not fare better amongst the contradictions of their own texts, admitting that they exist than the frequently conflicting decisions of different judges, and the very commonly opposite awards of the Sadr Diwani, and Mufussal Courts, in which we believe the judgements of the Supreme Court are regarded as any thing but infallible At any rate, before hazarding such an experiment, we should be desirous to behold one much more obvious put to the test, and before the Hindu law is irreprievably condemned, we should wish to see it studied

There are many other assertions in this preface which we think much too generally, if not meantiously and erroneously, advanced We shall satisfy ourselves, however, with adverting to but one more, that we may not disproportionately delay our examination of the work itself Sir F Macnaghten, speaking of the translations that have been made, observes "that they admit of different explanations it would be folly to deny, because there is hardly a passage in any one of them which has not been differently explained" We must be guilty of the folly of denying the inference, as we have no proof whatever of the fact By whom have these passages been differently explained? certainly not by different translators, for we have no one work on Hindu law translated by two different hands We are not aware that the translators are at variance with themselves, and although obscure sentences, no doubt, occur in which variety of translation might be defensible, yet these are comparatively few, and unimportant The leading texts, which are repeated in different works are almost always short, simple, and precise, and are rendered in a similar manner wherever they occur Upon what proof therefore the learned judge's assertion is founded, we are wholly at a loss to conjecture unless he refers to the explanations of the Pandits, and then indeed we concede the fact, although we are at issue as to the cause The unfavourable impression of translations, which the learned judge seems inclined to convey, appears to us wholly unmerited, and, if implicitly credited, calculated to do

The learned judge next lays down the following as the course of Inheritance

1st. The primary rules of Inheritance are these—A (the original acquirer) shall be succeeded

1st By his son, or sons—if no son, by
2d His widow, or widows—if none by
3d His daughter, or daughters—if none, by
4th His daughter's son or sons—if none, by
5th His Father—if dead, by
6th His mother—if dead by
7th His uterine brother or brothers—if none by
8th His brother or brothers of the half blood—if none by
9th Sons of uterine brothers—if none by
10th *Sons of brothers of the half blood—if none, by*
11th Grandsons of uterine brothers—if none, by
12th Grandsons of brothers of the half blood

Here the succession in this line ceases A's Estate will now go back to the son or sons of his sisters and their heirs, failing them, to his paternal grandfather,—then to his paternal grandfather's widow,—then to the paternal grandfather's sons and their heirs

The order of succession, thus laid down by the learned Judge, appears to us to be very far from full or precise The first article, for instance, states that the deceased is succeeded by his son or sons, and the *second, if no son, by his widow or widows*, but unless the term son implies also grandson, and great grandson, the statement is exceedingly inaccurate, for a widow inherits only if neither son, grandson, nor great grandson be in existence *

The list, also, is much more brief than the detail

* [See Sir W H Macnaghten's Principles of Hindu and Mohammedan Law London edition (1860), p 18]

required, and the learned Judge admits this, as upon closing it he states, he believes he has set forth a sufficient number of reversioners for all the purposes of utility, and refers to Mr Wynch's table*, a very useful one no doubt, for the rest of the course, but it is in remote succession that disputes chiefly originate, and opinions differ and in a work emanating from such a quarter we should have expected to have found such cases less summarily disposed of

Upon the line of inheritance the learned judge observes, it is perhaps less disputable than any other part of the Hindu law, and this admission is rather irreconcileable with his previous assertion, that the whole is a nullity In truth all authorities are agreed in the main series of inheritance, and the chief contradictions and incongruities arise out of what must be allowed to be an ample field for their occurrence, partition of property As far, however, as the claimants are immediate, and exclusive, there is no more conflict of opinion amongst Hindu law-givers than our own the same may be safely said on every other subject, and in all the leading features, in whatever light the learned Judge may have contemplated them, the aspect of the Hindu code is very reasonably uniform, and consistent

With respect to the law of inheritance amongst the Hindus, it is regulated generally by the performance of funeral oblations these consist in the first degree

* [Translation of the Daya Krama Sangraha Calcutta 1818, ad ch I]

of the presentation of a cake of rice and meat to the manes of a father, grandfather, and great-grandfather, and the individual who offers the gift to all or any of these bears the same proportionable degree of affinity to the deceased A son, for instance, offers them to all three, a grandson to two, a great-grandson to one, and a great-great-grandson to none, for which reason the direct line of succession does not come to him, a peculiarity noticed but not explained by our text

2d There is a peculiarity in the *Hindoo* law, as it relates to descent in the right line The estate cannot descend to a *great great*-grandson, unless there be an intermediate heir, through whom it may be conveyed For instance, If A have a son B, B have a son C, C have a son D and D have a son E, E will then be the *great great* grandson of A Supposing then B, C, and D to die in the life time of A, E shall not upon A's death take the estate—nor shall he ever take it if it does not come to him through a long course of reversioners Yet if D had survived A, D would have taken as A's great grandson and E would have taken as D's son If C had survived A, C would have taken as A's grandson, and E would have taken as C's grandson —or if B had survived A, B would have taken as A's son and E would have taken as B's great grandson

The principle does not seem indeed to have been familiar to the learned judge, and he has therefore been led into ambiguity and error in his 28th rule (p 8) he says that

It does not follow that a person whose duty it is to perform the *sraddha* or funeral rites shall succeed as heir to the estate, or that the person succeeding to the estate shall have the duty of performing the *sráddha* cast upon him—

An assertion in which we believe all Hindu writers to be at variance with him—except that we may sup-

pose him to intend to say, the heir is not obliged to give the funeral offering to the deceased That is by no means necessary, but he must share in some of those which the deceased should have offered, as to the father or grandfather, or even more remote kinsmen the relationship involving the presentation of a funeral cake extending to seven persons, and that of offering libations of water comprehending fourteen Under one or other of these denominations, therefore, it is highly probable that the heir will come, and he will consequently perform *Funeral rites*

In illustration of his remark, Sir F states that the

Sons of a sister may succeed to the estate but they cannot perform the *sraddha* except in the absence of relations in the male line or except in a case in which it may be performed by any person of the same *caste* or tribe So if there be sons of a sister, the sons of a male cousin cannot succeed to the estate and yet it will be their duty to perform the *sraddha* in preference to the sister's sons

But this passage is far from accurate The sons of a sister in Bengal inherit before the son of a male cousin, precisely because they do offer funeral oblations in closer connexion with the deceased than the latter, as stated in the *Daya Krama Sangraha*, where accordingly the following rules are expressed

"On failure of the brother's grandson the succession goes to the father's daughter's son, for he presents *three* funeral oblations , D C S p 18,—again,

"Failing the uncle's son, the uncle's grandson succeeds, for he presents *one* oblation ',—Ibid 21 *

* [Calcutta edition (1868) p 8 & 9]

A rigid regulation of the right to succession by the funeral oblations is, however, peculiar to Bengal, having been adopted as a general principle by Jímútaváhana In other parts of India the criterion is admitted *only partially, and in the very case under examination* is not allowed to regulate the order of the inheritance The law, therefore, as stated by the learned Judge, is defined as loosely as the principle, for it is *only in Bengal* that a sister's son succeeds in preference to the son of a male cousin * The authorities of the Benares and Mathilá schools do not admit the claims of a sister's son, and remove him very far from the succession In fact, his rights are not noticed at all, and can only come under the class of cognate or remote kinsmen—those sprung from a different family, but connected by *funeral oblations*. *The reason* why a sister's son is thus excluded is, that he belongs to a different family. at the same time the participation in funeral rites, and the argument of analogy induce some of the commentators on *the text of the Mitákshará*, as Balambhatta, and Nanda Pandita, to endeavour to explain away the omission of a sister's son by supposing the term Brother's sons to imply sister's sons also the rationale however of the opposite arrangement is sufficiently obvious, and no doubt of the real purport of the authorities can be entertained. a sister's son *carries the property* of the family away from it into that of her husband; whilst by a descent in the male

* [Dáyabhaga Calcutta 1829, p 324.]

line it accompanies the name and direct derivation,—and although, therefore, in harmony with provincial and modern notions, the learned Judge's definition of the law of succession in this case, as connected with a share in the funeral rites, appears to have been founded on an imperfect and partial view of both questions *

The remainder of this chapter is occupied with the discussion of the question as to the rights of a widow over property to which she succeeds upon the death of a husband, illustrated by the answers of the Pundits to the enquiries of the court It is stated, that by former decisions the widow took *moveable* property *absolutely*, and *immoveable* property *for life only*, but it has been since thought, that there is not any ground for such a distinction, and that the widow takes but a life estate in moveable as well as immoveable property There is no doubt of the object of the law, which declares that a widow succeeding to her husband's estate shall enjoy it until her death, and that the husband's heirs are to succeed to it, nor have we any objection, nor is there any in the *spirit* of the Hindu law for the court to interfere, to control the widow's expenditure of her property ** at the same time we are not satisfied that this assumption of power is warranted by the *letter* of the law

---

* [Sir Th Strang Hindu Law London 1830 I 116 f]

** [1 I 134 1 246 f II 290 ff See W H Macnaghten I 1 39 ff]

There are but two ancient texts which bear positively on the widow's power over the property which she inherits as her husband's sole heir One is attributed to Katyayana, and states "Let the childless woman preserving (inviolate) the couch of her lord, and obedient to her spiritual guide, enjoy, resigned, her husband's wealth until her death afterwards let the heir take it"* The other is from the Mahabhárata which as law, by the bye, is no authority at all Enjoyment is the fruit which women derive from the heritage of their Lords,—on no account should they make away with the estate of their lords"[1] Such are the ancient injunctions, which can scarcely be interpreted to mean, that if a widow gives away or sells her estate such gift or sale is invalid Even the later writers who entertained less reverence for the female character than the ancient sages, have stopped short of such declaration, and Jimutavahana is content to say that 'a widow shall only enjoy the estate, she *ought not* to give it away, mortgage or sell it' † He

---

* [अपुत्रा शयन भर्तुः पालयन्ती गुरौ स्थिता
भुञ्जीतामरणात्क्षान्ता दायादा ऊर्द्धमाप्नुयुः ॥
Viramitr 194 r 7 Vivadachint p 140 7 Dáyakramasangrala p 2]

[1] Apahri Take off or away it is translated in the Digest and elsewhere "waste which perhaps scarcely renders its due import [According to the Dáyakramasangrala the passage is taken from the Danadharma of the Anusasanaparva (?)

स्त्रीणा स्वपतिदायस्तु उपभोगफल स्मृत ।
नापहार स्त्रिय कुर्युः पतिदायात्कथञ्चन ॥]

† [See Dáyabhaga p 265]

allows her also, if unable to subsist otherwise, to mortgage or even to sell it, and to make presents to her husband's relatives and gifts or other alienations for the spiritual benefit of the deceased It is not till we come to the third generation of lawyers, the commentators on the commentators, that the restriction is positive, and Srí Krishna Tarkalankara expounding Jímutvahana's text declares, "a widow shall use her husband s heritage for the support of life, and make donations, and give alms in a moderate degree for the benefit of her husband, but not dispose of it at her pleasure like her own peculiar property" The utmost that can be inferred from all this, is, that originally the duty of the widow was only pointed out to her, and she was left in law as she was in reason, a free agent, to do what she pleased with that which was her own, but that in later times attempts of an indefinite nature have been made to limit her power The eagerness with which this latter doctrine is urged by the Scholiasts of the present day is ascribable in all probability to that contempt for the female sex which they have learned from their Mohammedan masters The willingness with which it has been admitted by English Lawyers is owing no doubt to a conviction of the expedience of the doctrine, unbiassed, we trust, by prejudices either of Indian or English growth

The learned judge observes that with regard to immoveable property, so far as a widow is concerned, he has been unable to trace any distinction in Hindu law We agree with him in as far as her *absolute* right

is admitted over *both*, but if this is not conceded, then there is a very easily traceable distinction, for it is made by the same authorities, the modern lawyers, and it is on the very same grounds, those of analogy, that the limitation of a right over immoveable and absolute right in moveable property, are maintained The author of the Vivada Ratnakara, and Vivada Chintamani, for example, assert that a wife may give *moveable effects, gems and the like, devolving on her* by succession to her husband Jimutavahana is only *supposed* to hold a different opinion because he uses the word *Dhanam,* wealth in general in restricting the widow's authority over wealth she inherits to a life interest, to which, however, as noticed, he admits the exception of charitable donations, and actual necessity but the chief argument made use of against a widow's *alienating her husband's estate is a law attributed to* Narada, that she may enjoy at her pleasure property *given her* by her husband, *or give it away* after his death, *except land or houses*, therefore, say the lawyers, *she cannot give away land or houses which she inherits* Of course, *if the exception applies to both* cases, the permission holds equally good in both, and the same analogy that restricts the widow in immoveable effects recognises her right over moveable or personal property notwithstanding, therefore, the court *thought proper to reverse their own decree in* the case of Hurisundari and Casinath Barakh, and after acknowledging in 1814 her absolute interest in the moveable property of her husband, rescinding that

admission in 1819, and notwithstanding the opinion declared from the bench that with respect to a widow's right there is no distinction between moveable and immovable property, and that she has no more than life interest in either, we believe it to be established by undeniable analogy, and the declared opinion of at least equal authorities that there *is* a distinction between moveable and immoveable property, as regards a widow's rights, and that if we are guided by the old Hindu law, unsophisticated by modern subtlety, and forced analogies, a widow has *an absolute interest* in both As to the Vyavastha on which the opinions of the court, we presume, were formed, we never saw more superficial law nor palpable contradiction in any we ever perused It may be worth while to examine it at some length

1 The Court Pandits asserted that "the Dayabhága and *other* Sastras current in Bengal make no distinction between moveable and immoveable property" Without knowing what these other Sástras are, we cannot estimate the value of this reply we have no objection to admit that Jímútaváhana makes no distinction

2 'A widow has a life interest in both' The texts of ancient sages are equally current everywhere, and as far as their obvious acceptation extends, of which we have as good a right to judge as Jimutaváhana, they do not oppose the widows absolute right over both consequently,

3 "A widow is entitled to dispose of the same by

"gift, mortgage, sale, or otherwise for the benefit of "her husband's soul"

4 "It is incumbent upon a widow to act as her hus- "band's kinsmen direct"

The Vivada Bhangarnava, a Bengal authority, declares "a widow has no lord, and may *therefore do* as she likes"

5 "The gift *of immoveable property is not valid* "against herself or the next heir the same of move- "able *property and jewels which might be recovered* 'by her, or the next heir, the same as money'

Now *this we deny in toto*—*whatever a widow may* be recommended not to do, as she is not commanded *not to do, she may do, and a breach of a moral in-*junction is punishable only in the breakers the person *who receives the donation, having received that of* which the alienation is not expressly forbidden, has done no wrong *why punish him? the gift of the whole* estate, therefore, is valid The learned judge observes very *correctly that it seems exceedingly absurd, that* persons should be able to make a valid disposal of *property* which they have no right to dispose of at all, and that that which is immoral, and sinful, and prohibited, should still be good in law We wonder it never occurred to him that the *validity* of the gift was an argument in favour of the *legality* but this is really the case

The old lawyers have said, 'let a widow enjoy a husband's wealth, afterwards let the heirs take it' what obligation does this involve that she *must leave*

it? But she is told she should not waste it,—granted but suppose she sells it, is that waste? Now as to the gift, the same authorities, from whom there is no appeal, define what things are alienable as gifts and what are not Amongst the things not alienable no mention is made of a widow's inheritance The *whole* estate of a man if he have issue living, or if it be ancestral property, he cannot give away, without the assent of the parties interested, and this may indeed be thought to apply to the *immoveable* property inherited by a widow, but it is the only law that can be so applied there being, therefore, no law against the validity of her donation, it follows that she has absolute power over the property at least such was the case, till a new race of law-givers, with Jímutavahana at their head, chose to alter it, but they only tampered with the law of inheritance, and the law respecting legal alienation being untouched, remains to bear testimony against their interpretation of a different branch of the law

6 "A widow has not absolute interest in either "moveable or immoveable property She has not an 'uncontrolled interest, she can do nothing of her own "authority'

This last position is contradicted by a Bengal authority, as we have seen, and by the daily practice of all India Who controlled Ahalya Bhai?

7 'The widow has a right to the *possession of the* "*moveable property*, to which she succeeds, subject to "the control before mentioned

We presume by possession is meant having it in her hands, or at her command How else can she enjoy it. There is nothing that can be tortured into an assertion that her husband's relations, or any other individuals, private or public, have a right to withhold from the widow's own coffers whatever jewels or money she inherits—and, having such property in her hands, who is to prevent her making away with it? Such are the absurdities in which an attempt to limit a widow's absolute right over 'moveable' property has involved the modern Hindu law the ancient lawgivers were too wise to attempt impossibilities—they gave the widow good advice, but abstained from legislating what it was impossible to enforce

8 "The relations of her husband cannot dispossess "her of that property, but they may control her in the "use of it"

If they cannot do the one, they cannot do the other if they cannot take the money from her, how is it possible they can prevent her spending it? By an injunction of the *Supreme Court*, indeed, and the consignment of the funds to the care of the *Master*, it may be, and has been done this we know but we have yet to learn that it is *Hindu* law

9 "There *is no difference* in the interest taken by "a woman in property derived from partition with her "sons, and what she inherits upon her husband's death "without issue" On this point we shall join issue presently—in the mean time, see what immediately follows

10 "We are of opinion that the most eligible mode "would be to consider it as *Stridhana*" That is *property over which a woman has absolute power*

These learned sages, therefore, in the course of half a dozen replies, assert that a widow has a life interest only over property which she solely inherits, and an absolute interest in property which she divides with her sons, and *yet there is no difference* Oh wise and *consistent expounders of the law*, we have *done with* your Vyavastha!

Next came a knot of five Bengali Pandits, who are made to say The Pandits of the Court have quoted the Dayabhaga as their authority, *therefore we differ from them in opinion* Now really we cannot conceive these Pandits ever used any such expression the Dayabhaga is unquestionably very high authority in Bengal, and for a Pandit of this province to make its citation the ground of dissent surpasses our conception They might indeed decline to admit its authority as far as inconsistent with older works, as would seem to be implied in what follows "There are older treatises of law by which donation of property by a person who has not uncontrolled authority over it is declared void" Granted, but to what except moral control is a widow subjected? In fact, they admit that, although a widow ought not to alienate her husband's property, yet that the disposal is valid and they also assert the widow's absolute power over the moveable part of the property

Two other Pandits agreed with the Court Pandits

that is, they admitted there was no difference between life interest and absolute interest, they asserted however, that a gift was not valid against the heir—"who might reclaim it" We should like to know upon what authority

We quite agree with the learned judge, that with such guides it was not easy to arrive at the right conclusion but this was not the fault of the Law The spirit and the text of the original law, in our estimation, recognise the widow's absolute right over property inherited from a husband, in default of male issue * In Bengal the authorities that are universally received have altered this law and restrict a widow to the usufruct of her husband's property They have not, however provided for its security, nor for its recovery if alienated, and by such neglect have virtually left the law as they found it, or the power if not the right of alienation with the widow it is open to the court, therefore, to make what regulations on this subject they please, as far as their jurisdiction extends and as far as they are authorised by the Charter, and the regulation most conformable to reason to analogy, and the spirit of the Hindu code, would be to give the widow absolute power over personal property and restrict her from the alienation of the estate, except with the concurrence of her husband's heirs

The second division of Sir F Macnaghten's work

---

* [Mitaksh a l Yaj II 135 Vir Mitramitrod p 193 a Vyavahara Mayukha IV, S 2 ff] Mitramitrod p 191 a Nilakantamani p 151 Vi

tients of the partition of property, made amongst the parties themselves,—partition amongst others, by the sole owner in possession, being, in our opinion not very methodically, transposed to a future chapter The learned judge then seems to take it for granted the subject is familiar to his readers, for, without stating either the law or the practice, he begins with considering a case which he admits he does not know ever to have occurred, the right of a great grandmother to share with her great-grandsons —on which after a discussion which appears to us very unprofitable, and very unnecessary he allows the old lady a share, provided some more immediate descendant is a party It is scarcely worth while to discuss the case, but it seems to us very easily settled If the partition is made of a husband s property, his widow and his mother have a right to their shares,—but whenever the character of that property is changed by transmission to persons not inheriting of the deceased husband in the degree of son or grandson their right ceases There is another criterion, too by which the question may be settled, partition is co ordinate with the gift of the funeral cake, * and that extends to the great grand son A great grandson taking a share of his great-grandfather s undivided property of course implies the great grandmother s participation, and then she will share as he does The learned judge does not seem

* [Yajnav I 139 D yabl p 396 157 पिण्डदो ऽंशहर पिण्डप्रत्यासत्तिक्रमेणाधिकार and the like]

to have been put in possession of the principle, nor even of the letter of the law by the Pandit of the court, who in reply to his queries, "invariably said the law is silent" For the author of the Digest propounds and answers the very question "When property left by "the paternal great grandfather is divided, should not "a share be allowed to his wife? That is admissible "from parity of reasoning, and the particle in the "phrase, "and wives of the grandfather', connects the "terms with what is understood but not expressed' 3, 24 The case, however, is of very unlikely occurrence, and to legislate for improbabilities is a work of supererogation It seems, however, to be a favourite topic with the learned judge, for he twice recurs to it in this chapter, in rules 13 and 27, and after all, in our estimation, gives the law incorrectly

The learned judge then proceeds to examine the rights of a woman derived from partition with her sons, and declares it as his opinion, that they are of precisely the same description as those with which she is invested as sole heir, and that she has but a life interest in both moveable and immoveable property so acquired

In the case of the widow's sole inheritance, we have granted that the Bengal lawyers limit her in all respects to a life interest, whilst the *Mithila* writers maintain her absolute right in moveables, and the old law authorities oppose *nothing to her absolute right* in every kind of property In the case of property, however, acquired by partition, the arguments in fa-

vom of absolute right are infinitely stronger, in as much as the Bengal authorities lean to the same view of the subject Jímutavahana starts no objection to such power, his remark being confined entirely to the case of sole inheritance, and the Vivada Bhangárnava concludes a long and satisfactory discussion of the question by the corollary, "Therefore a wife's sale or donation of her own share is valid' We are, therefore, very *much at a loss to conceive upon what* grounds the learned Judge has assimilated a widow's rights as derived from inheritance or partition, or on what the 'Supreme Court has considered them on the same footing' We cannot help being amused with the additional remark, 'if it ever had been doubted, the "two decisions of which I have spoken are sufficient 'to set the question at rest (p 45) For what are those decisions? 'That the parties take both moveable and immoveable property—*according to the rules of the Hindoo law*,' leaving the task of establishing the Hindu law for another law suit If these are the decisions that are to take the place of Hindu law, Heaven help the litigants

It is asserted indeed that a husband's heirs succeed to such property in preference to a woman s own heirs, and therefore her enjoyment of it is only for life but the postulate is supported only by analogy, not by any positive law, and therefore the inference is by no means proved besides even if admitted, preference of succession does not imply restriction of right in possession our law of primogeniture does not preclude, under or-

dinary circumstances, the father's right to sell, give, or bequeath his property as he pleases, and why should any order of succession exercise such influence here, when not specially provided for? "Heritage, and partition" are included by the text of the Mitakshara, which is good law in every part of India, even in Bengal, amongst the constituents of "woman's property", and a woman is acknowledged by all to be mistress of her own wealth It is argued, that lands and houses *given by a husband* to his wife must not be aliened by her after his death *therefore*, a share of land and houses *given by his sons* on partition of his wealth, must not be made away with by their mother, but this is surely a different case A husband, in undue fondness, might bestow upon a wife the *heritage of his sons*, and they would be deprived of that patrimony in which *they have a joint interest with the father* it is not unwise, therefore, to secure to them the reversion of such effects In the case of partition, however, they are already secured they cannot be left destitute, and if the property is ample, as it always is in contested causes they are fully provided for by the possession of their respective shares Again, as the partition is their own act, a mother cannot enforce it, although it cannot be made without her consent In acquittance then of her claims, in order to induce her to forego her lien upon the whole, the sons give her up her part they then only become fully masters of their own shares, and it would be in unfair bargain if they did not admit the mother's free disposal of what

may be considered the purchase money of their privileges *

Sir F Macnaghten admits that if it could be considered as an act of free will, the widow's share in a partition might be recognised as the gift of her sons, and consequently "as the gift of kind relations" would be placed at her absolute disposal but he observes, she may be influenced by one son in contradiction to the will of the rest, and as partition takes place at the desire of any one of the co-heirs, they will then be compelled to relinquish the mother's share against their consent This may be granted as a possible evil resulting from the law, but it does not alter the character of the law, and therefore, whatever may be the case in a widow's sole inheritance, we see no grounds whatever for restricting her interest in the property which she derives from partition, to a life interest only, whether the property be real or personal It is absurd to say that a woman was not intended to be a free agent, because the old Hindu legislators have indulged in general declarations of her unfitness for that character Manu, it is true, says of women "Their fathers protect them in childhood, their husbands protect them in youth, their sons protect them in age A woman is never fit for independence" ** but what does this prove in respect to their civil rights? Narada goes farther, and asserts, that "after a husband's decease the nearest kinsman should control a widow, who has *no*

* [See also Sir T Strange, 1 1 I 246 ff] ** [V I 3]

*sons, in expenditure and conduct"** But as we have observed this is neither the Law, nor the practice of the present day Besides it does not apply to the case of partition, as there the widow has sons, and they surely abandon a right to control property which they themselves have given To sanction any other mode of procedure would only tend to perpetuate the degraded condition of the female sex in *India* Admit that at present widows are generally unfit to be entrusted with the absolute disposal of property that devolves upon them by virtue of partition with their sons Is not that to be mainly ascribed to the restrictions with which they are already fettered? Accustom them to consider themselves as likely to be invested with important trusts, accustom their kinsmen and parents to contemplate them in the same capacity, and all parties will become interested in qualifying them to discharge with ability and conscientiousness the duties to which they may be called Sir T M thinks that "a life interest will secure to the mother a greater degree of peace, and contentment than she is likely to enjoy after having a proportion of the family property at her own absolute disposal" If neglect be essential to peace and contentment, she may certainly expect their enjoyment her sons and grandsons, secure of the reversion of the principal upon her death, will think it very immaterial how they treat a mother during life who has no power to reward affection or punish undutiful-

* [quoted in the Dayabhaga, p 269]

ness the bands of domestic life are already too lax in India to be further unloosed with advantage, and the authority of parents, particularly that of the mother, is too tottering to be further undermined without being utterly subverted Although, therefore, we give the learned judge full credit for the humanity of his intentions, we think he has adopted a hasty and partial view of a mother's rights, and that the restriction to the extent he proposes would be productive of infinitely more evil than good

A number of rules, affecting chiefly the rights of females in the case of partition, then follow In general we believe the law is correctly stated, although there is *any thing but distinctness in the detail or* method in the arrangement, the rules seem to have been laid down at random, with little regard to order, and with abundant carelessness of repetition Thus we have the same question as to a great grandmother's rights discussed in three different places, as we have already noticed, and the argument of the identity of a widow's rights by inheritance, or partition, which had twice been most fully discussed, p 11, to 27, and p 31, to 37, is again the subject of animadversion in p 73, 74, and 93, 97 We shall not imitate the learned judge's example, nor again go over the principles, on which we offer his doctrine We are satisfied that in the cases *in which the decisions of the court, awarding an* absolute interest in moveable property to a widow sharing on partition, have been reversed, the tenor of au-

thority, the guide of analogy, and the spirit of the law, have been entirely misconceived

The laws of partition amongst the Hindus have been always the fruitful source of family dissension The perusal of this section of Sir Francis Macnaghten's work removes all astonishment at this circumstance for *the conflicting claims become in time so complicated, that it is almost impossible to unravel them* It is evident, however, that the difficulty really arises not from the mere law of partition, but the delay of the practice It is the attempt to maintain unanimity that *engenders disputes, if Hindu families would take our* advice, they would never attempt to hold property in common, but make an absolute and final division, the moment they became possessed of it It is quite impossible as descendants multiply, that they should not *disagree, and in proportion to their number, and* variety of relation, is the intricacy of their pretensions Then, as Sir I remarks of the cause of Govindchurn Banakh, v Casinath, Ramnath, and Bishonath Banakh, come *bill*, cross *bill* and *pleadings*, in every variety of litigation, and the contest only ceases with the funds of the litigants That cause, for example, began in 1808, and is still carried on between the sons of Govindchurn and their Cousin , with unabated pertinacity The *following* case is illustrative of the complex interests involved in these disputes

IN EQUITY

Sree Moottee Jeeomony Dossee, the widow and legal representative of *Gungachurn Ghose*, deceased, and *Sree Moottee Dossee Dossee*, widow and legal representative of *Buddenchunder Ghose*, complainants,

AGAINST

Attaram Ghose and *Callachund Ghose*, defendants

The bill stated, and it was proved, that *Corrunnamoyee Dossee* and *Luckapriah Dossee* were resident at *Chandernagore*, and not subject to the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court.

The prayer of the bill was for an account and partition of the state of *Kissenmohun Ghose*, deceased —and that one-fourth equal part or share of the said state might be allotted to each of the complainants

The bill also praved an account and partition of the estate of the said *Kissenmohun Ghose* as against the defendant *Callachund Ghose* in particular,—and of all profits and purchases made by *Callachund Ghose*, with or out of the estate of *Kissenmohun Ghose*, since the death of the said *Kissenmohun Ghose*, and that each of the complainants be decreed one *third* equal part or share of the said last mentioned estate to be held in severalty by them the *said complainants*

The state of the family was as follows — *Kissenmohun Ghose* died in the Bengal year 1192 leaving two widows viz *Corrunnamoyee Dossee* and *Luckapriah Dossee* who are still living

By *Corrunnamoyee Dossee* *Kissenmohun Ghose* left three sons, viz *Gungachurn Ghose* who died in the month of *Bhadur* in the Bengal year 1207, *Buddenchund Ghose*, who died in the month of *Joistee* in the Bengal year 1211 and *Callichund Ghose*, who is still living and one of the defendants By *Luckapriah Dossee* he left *Attaram Ghose*, who is still living and the other defendant

Gungachurn Ghose had married two wives first *Jogah Dossee*, who died in the life time of her husband and in the Bengal year 1201 She left one son *Nimboochunder Ghose* who survived his father *(Gungachurn)* and died in the month of *Maghun*, in the Ben

gal year 1215 The other wife of *Gungachurn* is the complainant, *Jeeomonee Dossee* She had a daughter *Roopah Dossee* by *Gungachurn*, and *Roopah Dossee* is since dead

Buddenchund Ghose left one widow, the complainant *Dossee Dossee*, by whom he had one daughter only *(Doyamoyee Dossee) Doyamoyee Dossee* is still living and married to *Kissenchunder Cowar Callachund*, the other son of *Kissenmolun* by *Corrunnamoyee*, and *Attaram*, the *only* son of *Kissenmohun* by *Luckapriah*, are the two defendants

The defendant *Attaram Ghose* not only refrained from opposing the partition as between him and the other claimants under *Kissenmohun*, but alleged that a partition had already been actually made

An account and partition of the estate of *Kissenmolun* was in the first place ordered, as between the other claimants under *Kissenmohun* and him *(Attaram)*, he being declared entitled to one-fourth part or share thereof as one of the four sons of *Kissenmohun Attaram*, then, being *solely* entitled to a *fourth separate* part of the estate of *Kissenmohun*, it was understood and admitted, that his mother *Luckapriah* was *not* entitled to any separate property upon a partition made between her *only* son and his three half brothers, and that she was to look to him for her maintenance

If *Sumboochunder*, the son of *Gungachurn* and *Joyah Dossee*, had died in the life time of his father, it seemed to be agreed, *(Joyah Dossee* having died before her husband,) that *Jeeomonee* the surviving wife of *Gungachurn* would have been entitled to his estate, but *Sumboochunder having survived his father, it was held* that his father's estate vested in him, and that *Jeeomonee*, (not being his mother, although the wife of his father) could not take from him, *(Sumboochunder)* but that his *father's mother, (Corrunnamoyee)* was his heir

It was also declared that *Dossee Dossee*, the widow of *Buddenchund*, he *(Buddenchund)* not having left a son, succeeded as his heir, and was in his right entitled to one-fourth part of *Kissenmohun's* estate

It was therefore ordered that a partition be made of the estate of *Kissenmolun*, that it be divided into four equal parts or shares

and that *Attaram*, the only son of *Kissenmohun* by *Luckapriah*, do take one of the said *four* parts or shares in severalty

Of the other three parts it was ordered that *Corrannamoyee* do take one as the heir of her grandson *Sumboochunder*, that *Dossee Dossee* do take one as the heir of her husband *Buddenchund*—and that *Callachund* do take one as the survivor of *Kissenmohun's* son

This partition having been made, it was further declared *Corrunnamoyee* was entitled to a *fourth* part of the *three* which had been so divided, the *third* part which she had taken upon partition contributing to make up the said *fourth* part It then stood thus,—*Corrunnamoyee*, the representative of *Sumboochunder*, *Dossee Dossee*, the representative of *Buddenchunder*, and *Callachund*, the surviving son of *Kissenmohun*, having come to a partition—*Corrunnamoyee* as mother of *Sumboochunder's* father, as mother of *Dossee Dossee's* husband, and as mother of *Callachund*, became, upon a partition, entitled to a share equal to that of the several partitioners

The *three* parts were therefore again to be consolidated and then divided into *four*, of which *Corrunnamoyee* as mother was to have one,—the same *Corrunnamoyee* as representing her grandson, one—*Dossee Dossee* as representing her husband one—and *Callachund* in his own right one

Supposing then the three parts (*Attaram* having taken the fourth) to be divided into *twenty four* parts *Corrunnamoyee* would have eight *Dossee Dossee* eight and *Callachund* eight,—*Corrunnamoyee* then for the purpose of converting the *three twenty fourths* into *four twenty fourths* must contribute *two* parts out of the *eight* she had taken,—and *Dossee Dossee* and *Callachund* must each contribute *two* parts out of their *eight* Then each (*Corrunnamoyee* in her different characters being considered as two) will have *one fourth* or six twenty fourth parts The result will be, that two eights of the share of *Dossee Dossee* and two eights of the share of *Callachund* will be added to the eight twenty fourths of *Corrunnamoyee*, who will thereby have eight twenty fourths and four twenty fourths, or one half of that part of *Kissenmohun's* estate which went immediately from Kissenmohun to her own sons She

is now entitled to twelve twenty fourths or one half of three parts of *Kissenmolun's* estate

It was also ordered that *Corrunnamoyee* (not being a party to the suit) be at liberty (if she shall please to do so) to come in as a complainant before the Master in taking the account and before the commissioners in making a partition of *Kissenmohun's* estate

It is to be observed that on the death of *Dossee Dossee* her daughter *Dojamoyee* will succeed through her *(Dossee Dossee)* to the estate of her *(Dojamojee's)* father *Buddenelund*

As to *Jeeomonee* she has a right to maintenance out of her husband's estate, and may follow it for the purpose of obtaining her right into the hands of *Corrunnamoyee*, but from what has been already said, it is needless to state that she may now if she has just cause require security as to her rights or perhaps the Court would have been at the hearing justified in ordering her maintenance to be secured It was not asked and she having gone for a specific proportion and having failed in that, was I presume not apprehensive of the want of a maintenance during her life If she has grounds for fear she may yet come in for it upon petition

Another case which arose out of disputes in Muddun Bhukh's family is cited in this chapter as illustrative of the effect given to a will, in the Supreme Court, and the right of a widow as heir to a husband it is of considerable interest, but too long to be cited We notice the result for the "grave and important question" it involves, as stated by the learned judge Sentence was given in favour of the widow Hura Sundari, and she was declared entitled to her husband's estate, to be possessed, used, and enjoyed by her in the manner prescribed by Hindu law Accordingly it was ordered that the principal, and accumulated interest then in the hands of the Accountant-general

should be paid over to her. Her husband's brothers appealed against the decree for various reasons, but chiefly "because the decree declared Hura Sundari *entitled to the possession* of her husband's estate, whereas, she being entitled to the *use* and *enjoyment* only, it ought to be secured *in the hands of an officer of the court*, or *deposited with the relations* of Bishonath, who will after the death of Hoorasoondaree be entitled to it", assumptions that speak for themselves, as it is clear the Hindu law could not recognise *an officer* of an *English* court of justice, and common sense would deprecate the consignment of property to interested relations.

The Supreme Court, however, thought differently, and,

The petition of appeal having been filed it became necessary if she took the money to give security for its restoration in case the order should be reversed. She did not do so, and the principal sum with its accumulations up to the date of the order are still in the Accountant General's hands.

The learned judge observes,

That it has been usual to give a widow or a mother *possession* of the property to which she may succeed must be admitted—and that the money of her husband's estate would had it not been for the appeal have gone into the hands of *Hoorasoon luree Dossee*, is certain. Yet the right of her husband's heirs to it after her death is indisputable and the justice of restraining her from waste is a necessary consequence of this right.

What then is to be done? Possession will enable her to do all the mischief before any restraint can be applied.

In our opinion this case is conclusive of a widow's rights to moveable or personal property. If it is not

to be placed in the widow's own hands, to whom is it to be entrusted? certainly not to those, who are interested in dispossessing her of it Does the Hindu law enact that it shall be deposited with any other person?—if not, it follows it must go to the widow herself, and she must have the power to do what she pleases with it The original Hindu Legislators never brought themselves into such a dilemma they conceded a right where they could not prevent its exercise Lands and houses could not be made away with without formalities that admitted the interposition of authority but money and jewels could not be restrained, and therefore they did not attempt to legislate for their appropriation The learned Judge argues that the discipline of Hindu widows is relaxed, and that they are not under the same control as formerly what proof have we of this, and even if true what right has the Supreme Court to legislate for this new state of things? They are to administer justice to the Hindus according to the laws and usages of the Hindus, and we believe it would be difficult to fancy any allusion in either to a Master in Chancery or Accountant General If the laws are bad, abrogate them substitute English Law at once, but it is mockery to regulate decisions by institutions and arrangements wholly foreign to the Hindu system, and call the hybrid monstrosity Hindu Law

The learned Judge observes that the reversioner's right is as well founded as that of the widow, but he has by no means proved the nature of that right In

our estimation it is not absolute, but contingent The law is simply "after her death let the heirs take it" So with us it is said 'inheritance shall lineally descend to the issue of the person, who last died, actually seized", but does not therefore render it imperative that the property must be transmitted to the heirs The rule in either case applies to property left, and cannot be said to give to the reversioners a right or control over the acts of the possessor

Again who are these reversioners whom the learned Judge is so anxious to protect? and in answering this question we do not wish to dispute the equity, but the necessity, of their being made the objects of legal protection Where a widow inherits as sole heir, there can be no nearer heir than a daughter, who in the constitution of Hindu society, we may be well assured, is married or will be at the mother's charge she is therefore in her own person provided for, and if the property goes to her, it is transferred to another family, unconnected probably by name or descent with that of the deceased In this case we should think it very immaterial whether the integral inheritance was preserved or not, as far as the interests of general society, the only interests that should be looked to, are concerned

If there be no daughter nor daughter's sons, the estate will go to the husband s brothers here it remains in the family, but what necessity is there for the apportionment? The brothers should not be in want, as *they have already divided during the life*

time of the owner the patrimonial property, and have received a share severally equal to that of which the posthumous apportionment is in question it will be no very great hardship upon them, therefore, to be excluded from a remotely contingent share in that, to which as a whole they had long before foregone all claim

The same argument applies to a widow's rights upon partition The sons and grandsons, and even great grandsons, have had their shares, and the final alienation of a fraction, to which they assented for their mother's life, cannot expose them to distress—unless, indeed, the certain reversion of additional property at the end of the mother's life time, an event which they will be then tempted to desire, if not to expedite, should render them less careful of what they actually possess, and thus operate as a bounty upon extravagance On the ground, therefore, of necessity, and in our estimation of expediency also, we deny the claim of the reversioners to that peculiar protection which the learned judge is inclined to extend to them

In page 56 the learned judge has explained the law relating to the portions of sisters, and concludes that they have a claim rather than a right In page 97 he reverts to the subject thus

I do not expect that the value of what I now add will compensate for the irregularity of introducing it here After my manuscript had gone to the press I prepared this to be introduced at page 56 but it was misfound and when discovered the printing had advanced too far to admit of its insertion in the proper place

His conclusions are to the same effect, and whatever

may be the law, we admit the practice originally, however, a sister claimed a fourth of a son's share The commentators have made this simple law very indistinct by their explanation, supposing it to be necessary first to set apart a son's share, and then to give a daughter one fourth of that thus they say in the case of a brother and sister sharing, the estate shall be divided into two equal parts the son shall take one of these, the other is to be again divided into four, and one of those subdivisions shall go to the daughter, the rest shall devolve upon the son consequently he will have seven-eighths, and the sister one-eighth, but we cannot conceive the law to sanction any such clumsy construction It is true it does not authorise a sister s sharing in the whole estate to the extent of one fourth because, in that case supposing six sons, and one daughter, the son would only take one eighth, or half as much as the least worthy Now this would be quite contrary to the spirit of the Hindu law Again, a daughter cannot be said to take only one fourth of one actual share equal to that of a son, because in the case of there being five or more daughters, all above four would go without any share at all The inconsistencies of the law as thus understood are too obvious to have escaped the learned judge's notice, he has accordingly detailed them at considerable length but he has offered no solution of the difficulty, nor attempted to explain what is evidently the purpose of the law, and what involves no absurdity nor contradiction A sister shall have the

fourth part of a brother's own share that is she shall divide with a brother *in the proportion of one to three*, or to state it more distinctly, a brother shall take seventy-five in the hundred, and the sister twenty-five It is clear that, be the number of sisters, or brothers, what it may, this principle is of unvarying and ready application, and it is not the law, but the arithmetic of the case that has created any perplexity We shall not dwell upon the subject here, as we shall have again occasion to advert to it, when we examine the similar principle which regulates the portion of adopted after the birth of natural sons We may observe *that, if the Court were to do what* Sir T M *supposes* (p 104) it might by virtue of the laws of Manu*, it would be just as bad arithmetic as that of the Hindu Scholiasts, and worse law he states that, if there were three brothers, and one sister, and the estate 24,000 rupees, the court would declare the sister entitled to one-fourth or 6,000, placing the female heir on a par with the male in contradiction to the spirit of both English and Hindu law, the purport of which latter is clearly, that the brothers in such a case should receive each 7,200 rupees, and the sister 2,400 rupees We have a mighty simple rule called Single Fellowship, which is the best comment upon the Hindu law that can be offered **

---

* [IX 118 Manav II 124]

** [Sir W H Macnaghten I I, p 51 Sir T Strange I I I, p 173]

The next division of Sir F M's work is upon reunion, the return of a separated brother to the co-parcenary enjoyment of property In fact, however, the chapter treats only of one circumstance connected with reunion or the rights of a separated uterine brother and united half-brother to inherit, it being held by some lawyers, that a connexion by blood confers priority of right whether united or separated, and by others, that reunion places a half-brother on a par with a whole brother and entitles him to an equal division of the inheritance The learned judge admits that he knows no instance of such reunion, and the chief object of the section seems to be an attack upon the digest of Jagannath, an exceedingly useful work although it does not profess to save those who consult it the trouble of judging for themselves As little practical benefit would result from the investigation of this subject, we shall not dwell upon it further

The next section treats of a subject of great importance in Hindu law as giving rise to almost daily discussion, *Adoption* A Hindu *must have* a son to extricate himself and his ancestors from a kind of purgatory, and if he have no children of his own he must adopt one It is said indeed by Manu, that "amongst several brothers if one have a son they are all pronounced fathers of a male child *, and it might be supposed unnecessary, therefore for the uncles to adopt sons for themselves Upon this Sir F M observes,

* [IX, 182, quoted and discussed in the Dattakamimansa II 29ff]

in his own person, in the matter of religious rites That is, he offers no funeral cake to his uncle's spirit, except under a special rule, the exact purport of which is not admitted, but is supposed to imply only a confirmation of the undisputed doctrine that the son of a brother should be preferably adopted He benefits his uncle mediately in as far as he offers cakes to his grandfather and great-grandfather, the common ancestors of his father and his uncle In these oblations the latter has a share, but if he die without a natural or adopted son, he loses the certainty of his own oblation, and will consequently run the risk of purgatory To escape this chance, from which it is doubtful if the oblations of a nephew can secure him, he must adopt a son for himself, and it is therefore not only on secular but religious grounds that such adoption is imperative * The text of Manu does not contradict this view of the case, and the expression occurs merely in illustration of the figurative application of the term *Son* to an adopted son, who can bear such relation *actually* only to his natural father, although he is considered to bear it *legally* to his adoptive parent The conclusion affecting the right of uncles to adopt sons is Kulluka Bhatta's, not Manu's, and in this case and every other it may be observed, that the remarks of a scholiast, *however high his character, do not debar* the exercise of independent reasoning A Pandit of the present day, who should bring superior talent to

* [See T. Strang. 1. 1. 1. 9. 21. C. Dattaka Chandrika I. 21.]

dhavás), and those who are not heirs but kinsmen It is agreed that a son of one kind or other, there being no son of a superior class in his way, takes the inheritance of his adoptive father, and the specification of them as heirs does not apply to his wealth, but to that of such persons as the father would be heir to in the event of their deaths, as 'uncles and the rest", according to Maheswara, and as Sapindas, or the paternal grandfather and others connected by the funeral cake, according to Jímutavahana There is no difference of opinion on these subjects, but the question is, which of the adopted sons inherit thus collaterally, and which do not, and here we have some difference of classification We have taken some pains to ascertain the notions of the different authorities with respect to the comparative grade of the different sorts of adopted sons, and they seem to us to run as follows*

Authorities	*Manu*	*Katyayana*	*Baudhayana*	*Vidnana Parijata*	*Kalika Purana*	*Tirumalika*	*Lakshmana*	*Harita*	*Devala*	*Nárada*	*Vishnu*
The natural son (Aurasa)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
A daughter's son (Putrikaputra)	0	0	2	0	0		3	5	2	3	3
Son of a wife (Kshetraja)	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	2
A son given (Dattaka)	3	3	4	3	3	7	9	7	9	9	8
A son made (Kritrima)	4	5	5	4	4	9	10	3	11	11	12

* [See Sir T Strange L I II 134 ff Manu IX 166 ff Yajnav II 128 ff with the Mitakshara Dattakachandrika p 60 Viváda cl nt 147 a Vyavah ra May kh IV 41 ff Viramitrod p 151 v, C ff Sir W H Macnaghten, I I p 65 ff]

AUTHORITIES	Manu	Katyayana	Baudhdyana	Vamana Pur	Kalika Pur	Yajnavalkya	Yama	Harita	Devala	Narada	Vishnu
Son of unknown parentage (*Gudhotpanna*)	5	9	6	5	5	4	6	6	5	6	6
Son rejected (Apaviddha) ,	6	7	7	6	6	12	7	12	6	8	11
Son of an unmarried Girl (Kánina)	7	8	8	7	7	5	5	4	4	4	5
Son of a pregnant bride (Sahodha)	8	10	9	8	8	11	8	10	7	5	7
Son bought (Krita)	9	4	10	9	9	8	11	8	12	10	9
Son of a remarried widow (*Paunarbhava*)	10	11	11	10	10	6	4	3	8	7	4
Son self given (Swayamdatta)	11	6	12	11	11	10	12	11	10	12	10
Son of a Śudra or a Slave (Saudra) ,	12	12	13	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0

This enumeration extends to thirteen kinds of sons, but they are regarded usually as but twelve, a daughter's son, or a son by a female Sudra, being excluded from some of the lists It is immaterial, however, to adjust the scale throughout, for in the present age *there are but three descriptions of sons admitted*, the natural son, the son given or Dattaka, and in some places the Kritrima or son made The learned judge in concluding (p 132), that there are but two kinds known at present to Hindu law, the son begotten in wedlock, and the son given in adoption, seems to have lost sight of the case he had particularised but a few pages before, and which he states in p 128 was a Kritrima adoption This mode of adoption, however, is of restricted currency, and limited in Gangetic Hin-

Notwithstanding these considerations, however, a text of the sage Devala is adduced by Jimutavahana* unfavourable to the collateral succession of the Dattaka, and he seems to have been usually considered, in Bengal, as classed with sons who are kinsmen but not heirs. An opinion so opposite to that which is derived from the text of Manu and other legislators is certainly startling, and it might be supposed impossible to offer any satisfactory explanation of such a difference, or any attempt at the reconciliation of these contradictory positions. To us, however, it appears by no means difficult to understand how the difference of doctrine has arisen or to solve the inconsistency of Devala's text with principles that are uncontested, and to shew its utter inapplicability to the existing circumstances of Hindu Society.

The classification of the Dattaka, or son given, below several of the others, by which he is reduced to the lowest grade, depends upon a principle more subtle than just, and one contrary to established doctrines. It is argued that, whilst the son given, and his like, may be of *a different caste* from the adoptive father, the son of an unmarried daughter, of a widow remarried, and of concealed birth, may be, by the female side at least, *of the caste of the deceased*, and are *possibly* his own sons. The affinity, however, is not proven, and if it were, what would be the result? they would as bastards be excluded from all class and tribe what

* [Dayabhaga, § 27. Dattakamimansa, § 61.]

ever it is on this account in all likelihood that Manu ranks them lowest in the scale, Vishnu calls them Apiasasta, or not reputable, and Vrihaspati declares they are contemned by all good men Kátyayana* is more explicit, for he declares that they are Asavarnas, not of the same Varna or caste with the father, and the author of the Mitákshara observes "that although they may be deemed of the same class through their natural father, yet they are not so in their own character, for they are not within the definition of tribe and class**" In fact, as far as the question turns upon this point, and it is the only thing like an argument in the way, it may be easily settled Whatever might have been the difficulty in ancient times, there is none now, for whilst the mere possibility of affinity of tribe gave the three sorts of sons above named a contingent advantage over the son given, to whom such an affinity might not apply, that is not the case at present, as the Dattaka s being of the same caste is in the present age an indispensable condition of the validity of the adoption

The principle, on which the opposition, therefore, to the collateral succession of a son given rests, being fallacious, or inapplicable, the objection grounded on it becomes unsubstantial, and there can be no doubt, that the Dattaka inherits mediately as well as immediately from his adoptive parent he stands in fact in every respect in the place of a natural son, he presents

* [Dayabhaga p 279] ** [VI 3]

the funeral cake to his adoptive father and his ancestors, and he foregoes all claims upon his peculiar birthrights On the ground of equity and of equivalent, therefore, his claims are further corroborated, and the decision of the court in the case of Goorbullubh &c was perfectly consonant with the reason and spirit of the Hindu law

The learned Judge next proceeds to consider other contingencies of the act of adoption, and discusses the right of the adopted son in the event of a natural son being born after his adoption In that case he says, it is the general if not the universal opinion of the Pandits, that the adopted son is entitled to a third part of the estate, upon a text of Devala who lays it down that the adopted son shall in this case take one third, and the begotten son two thirds of the estate

In quoting texts of law, it is very necessary to adhere to the precise expressions as any partial change may alter the colour of the whole Now neither in the original nor translation is there a word about *the estate*, or the two thirds to be taken by the begotten son neither is the law so generally admitted as the learned judge seems to imagine If it were, indeed, what is the meaning of the next page and a half, complaining of the uncertainty of Hindu law and abusing Jagannáth's digest? At the same time, setting aside the texts of Manu regarding a fifth or sixth share as no longer applicable, we believe the only difference in the law in this case arises, like that in the case of a

sister's portion, from want of a knowledge of the elements of arithmetic

Devala says, adopted sons after the birth of a natural son are dividers of *a third share**, which Jímutavahana explains, a third part of the share of a natural son ** According to our notions of computation this does not mean *a third of the whole*, but a part equal to *one third of the portion* of the natural son, and consequently *a fourth* of the *whole* dividend accordingly, the Mitakshará*** awards a fourth share upon the authority of Vasishtha, and the doctrine is precisely the same as that of Devala, according as the application is made, for it is clear that, if out of 100 parts the natural son takes seventy-five and the adopted son twenty five, he may be said to take a fourth or a third share, according as the assertion is absolute or relative In no case, however, can it be argued that the adopted son is to have one third, and the natural son two thirds the proportion is not as *two to one*, but as *three to one*, and conclusions to the former effect are not warranted by any interpretation of the law, the supposed inconsistency of which lies, in our estimation, not in the law, nor even its commentators, but its living interpreters

The next consideration is the age at which a boy may be adopted, and which the learned judge con-

---

* [औरसे पुनरुत्पन्ने तेषु ज्येष्ठ न विद्यते।
तेषा सवर्णा ये पुत्रास्ते तृतीयाशभागिन ॥]

** [औरसपुत्रभागस्य तृतीयाशभागी]

*** [I XI 21]

ceives Hindu authorities to have limited to that of five years. He cites however a decision of the Sadr Dewanī, in which the adoption of a boy of eight was declared valid, and he expresses a hope, that henceforth that may be acknowledged as the limit, because it has once been so decided: a very odd reason, supposing the decision to have been incorrect.

The real spirit of the Hindu law, however, leaves the age of the boy a matter of perfect indifference. It is true, that authorities founded on a contested passage of the Kálika Puráṇa prohibit the adoption of a boy whose age exceeds that of five years: but even if the passage be authentic*, we deny its competency to constitute the ground work of a positive law. But the text of the Puráṇa does not prohibit the adoption, it says, "after the fifth year sons given, and the rest are not sons but slaves"—and why? because a son, having been initiated under the family name of his own father unto the ceremony of tonsure inclusive, does not become the son of another man: 'the ceremony of *tonsure and other rites of initiation being indeed performed* under his own family name, sons given, and the rest may be considered as issue, else they are termed slaves.'—Even on this very authority, therefore, the initiatory ceremonies are all that is essential and *the age of five years is specified only, because it*

---

* [Colebr. ad Mit. I. XI. 13. Vyavahāra May. khā. IV. 5. 90. Sutherland's transl. of the Dattaka Mimāṃsā. Madras 1850. p. 925 f. 937.]

are manifestly exotics,—the growth of an English, not of an Asiatic soil We are not aware either of the necessity, or the existence of any distinction in the age of a son who is adopted, whether he is nearly related, or not, to the adopter

In rule 8th Sir F M lays it down, *that the gift of* an only son is absolutely prohibited, acknowledging, however, that an only son may be so given, the donor being content to suffer the consequences Those consequences relate to his condition after life, and no Hindu will, very readily, incur them The evil, however, is only to the perpetrator, and as the measure does not affect the peace or well being of Society, it seems rather superfluous to have legislated upon the subject—except that it gives the learned judge an opportunity of impugning an extra judicial opinion of the Recorder of Madras Sir F M has not adverted here to the allowable arrangement, by which a case *of the kind is provided for*, and an *only son*, *though* not absolutely given in adoption, may be affiliated as *the son of two fathers—fulfilling the double capacity of* a son to his natural, as well as to his adoptive father

The law of adoption, as it stands in *the Hindu code*, is of itself a sufficiently fertile source of dissension, but it appears that in practice it has become still more *so, and that the decisions of the courts* have authorized innovations not contemplated and therefore not only prohibited by Hindu law, but foreign to its objects and productive of additional intricacy and doubt

A man requires a son, to perform his funeral cere

monies, and save him from purgatory This being done, the necessity for adoption ceases, and his *multiplying* adopted sons is an act of supererogation It has, however, been *recognised in a decision of the Sadr Court*, founded chiefly on the doctrine that "many sons are desirable, and that the wish for many is meritorious , but such an admission can scarcely constitute law The practice may be suffered, because it is not prohibited, but the principle will equally authorise adoption, where natural sons exist, and in many respects is likely to produce mischievous results,—to lay it down as a rule, as is done by the learned judge, (rule 23d) is only likely to promote litigation

Again the adoption is for the benefit of the father, and where a widow adopts a son, she does so under his instructions, and as his representative, and in no case is she supposed to adopt a son *for herself*, though permitted by her *husband so to do, except, agreeably* to the form of adoption practised in Mithila, that of the Kritrima, or son made, which is especially for the purpose of performing the woman's Sapiṅḍí Karana In this case a husband's assent is not necessary, and the widow therefore acts for herself, not as a husband's representative, as she does under all other circumstances the three rules therefore, advanced (p 156) upon the authority of the Sadr Dewanf reports, tend only to sanction anomalies incompatible with the spirit of the Hindu law

Another contingent perplexity attached to the sub ject arises out of the innovation of wills, by which the

regulation of adoption is made the matter of posthumous caprice We shall have more to say on the subject of wills hereafter, and it may be sufficient here to remark that, if the Hindus are to be authorised to make wills, they should be instructed how to make them, and not be suffered to bequeath absurdities, or to make the arrangements which they contemplate subject to improbable or impracticable conditions

Finally another source of perplexity is the arbitrary interference of the Courts

The following case singularly illustrates the mischief of all these innovations

Luckmaram Tagore (a Brahmin)* died possessed of considerable property, *moveable* and *immoveable,* mostly, I believe, *ancestorial,* but that circumstance was not relied upon in any stage of the cause Three wives, viz *Sree Mootee Taramonee Dabee, Sree Mootee Bhagabuttee Dabee, Sree Mootee Dagumbaree*** survived him, and at the time of his death he had not a child

Luckmaram made a will, by which he left 5000 rupees to each of his wives, and 1000 rupees, in addition to the 5000, to his second wife *Bhagabuttee*

In his will he recited the pregnancy of his younger wife *Dagumbaree*, and declared that her *(son or daughter)* should be the possessor of his wealth He constituted *Juggomohun Mullick**** his executor, *Juggomohun Mullick* some time after this died, having made a will, and constituted *Bustom Doss Mullick†* his executor In this state of things it was assumed, and received as a matter of course, that *Bustom Doss Mullick* became the executor of *Luckmaram Tagore* I am particular in noticing this, because

---

* [लक्ष्मीनारायण ठाकुर]

** [श्रीमोतीतारामणि देवी, श्रीमोतीभागवती देवी, श्रीमोतीदिगम्बरी]

*** [जगन्मोहन मालिक] † [वैष्णवदास मालिक]

mitted also the birth of a son, and his death, as the bill set forth, but he denied that the complainant was entitled to the estate of *Luckmaram*, and he *relied upon the will* by which the adoption of a son was directed He denied the complainant's right to an account of *Luckmaram's* estate, and insisted that no person had a right to such an account, except a son to be adopted *according to the terms of Luckmaram's will* The other two defendants put in a joint answer to the same effect with that of *Bustom Doss Mullick*

The will was established, and directions were given for the adoption of a son according to its provisions Such vexation as might have been foreseen was the consequence The widows could not be brought to concur in the selection of a boy for adoption A reference was then made to the master, who was directed to enquire and report to the Court concerning the fitness of a boy to be adopted as the son of *Luckmaram Tagore* The master reported in favour of *Taracomar Surmono** who had been nominated for adoption by the *second* widow *Sree Mootee Bhagabuttee Dabee This boy was the son of Bhagabuttee's* UNCLE

The Master's report was confirmed, and this furnished matter for further contention The boy *Taracomar* was to be adopted, but the question was, which of the three widows had a right to *receive* him in adoption The law is clear, and was undisputed The boy could not be received by the *three widows jointly* He must be received by *one* of them—and would then be considered as the son of *Luckmaram and the widow by whom he had been received* about this there was not, because there could not be, any dispute

Had it not been for the *natural* relation in which the child stood to *Bhagabuttee*, the second widow, the Court, considering the preference which had been given to her by her husband, might probably have declared her the properest person to act as adopting mother But it was a family of *Brahmins*, and her claim was impugned upon the ground of relationship, it being argued that she could not without incest be the mother of her uncle's son

---

* [तारकुमारशर्मण]

The argument was supposed to be conclusive, for she withdrew her pretensions

There was no dispute as to the eligibility of this boy He might have been adopted by *Luckinarain* himself, *but not as the son of Bhagabuttee* who was his first cousin

The first widow, *Taramonee,* founded her claim to receive this child upon seniority The *third*, *Dagumbaree,* founded hers upon the fact of her having borne a son to her deceased husband

The Master reported in favor of the *first* widow, and the Court confirmed his report not from a *conviction* of its having been right but because it was not opposed and because it did not appear that the *third* widow ought to have been preferred

I have added the will of *Luckinarain,* the Master's report and the opinions which were given by the *Pandits* in his office to the appendix If those opinions do not impart knowledge, satisfy curiosity, or remove doubt they will at least prove the deplorable state in which ministers of justice are placed, when they have recourse to *Pandits* for an exposition of the *Hindoo* law in a depending cause

We shall content ourselves with a few remarks on this case

The learned judge admits, that if Luckinaraun had died intestate, the infant would have succeeded to the property, and Digambari, his mother, would incontestably have succeeded to his property as his heir, the will therefore on the learned judge's own showing violated the law of inheritance

The learned judge states also that the greater part of the estate was ancestorial property In the following chapter on unequal distribution, he endeavours, it is true, to maintain a father's right to assign immoveable ancestorial property unequally *to his sons*, but admitting this, which is more than doubtful, he has

no right to give it away to others consequently the will of Luckmanum could not invalidate his own infant's claim to the ancestoral immoveable property at least, and the decree that gave it away from his heir was a violation of the law

The idea of compelling two of the widows to agree in the choice of a son was an absurdity, and as it was a condition that could not be enforced, it ought of itself to *have invalidated the will*, in which case the whole of the property, subject to deduction for the maintenance of the other widows, would have reverted to the younger widow

No *two* of the widows agreeing, the court assume the power of sanctioning *one* to adopt Did this assumption emanate from the will, or from the Hindu law?

The widow makes a choice, and it is declared to be within the proscribed degree of consanguinity to whom? not to her husband on whose behalf, and for whose benefit she acts, but herself a matter wholly irrelevant in regard to property on which she has no claim

This adopted son is then declared by the court not to be the adopted son of the mother who adopted him, but the adopted son of the mother, who did not adopt him a stretch of power that we certainly can find nothing in Hindu law, nor we imagine in English law, to justify

'Those who acted for the second widow would have held fast by her claim, if they had not known it to be untenable", so says the learned judge near the bottom

ity*: it might be argued upon the reason of the case, that "if a man desires one son to be adopted, this is clearly expressive of his wish to be at all events represented, and that the adoption is therefore to proceed toties quoties, until this object shall have been finally accomplished." But it is not so written in the bond, and we are not aware, that the courts of judicature are authorised to make the constructive wishes of deceased parties law. If the adoption is tenable, the mother, whichever it may be, is heir, and she will have very good grounds therefore to resist a second adoption. The cases referred to by Sir F. M. as proving the validity of successive or several adoptions, do not bear upon this, as in them special authority from the husband was established; that authority being held legal, of course, the acts emanating from it were legal also.

Above 40 pages are next occupied with a critical review of a dicision by Sir Thomas Strange, in the case of Virapermah Pillay *v.* Narain Pillay, and we shall content ourselves with this reference, as we have already dwelt too long on this chapter. It is impossible however to avoid drawing a very important inference from the result of the investigation. The Chief Justice of Bengal declares that a decision pronounced and argued with great pains by the Chief Justice at Madras *will mislead those by whom it may be followed, and that the doctrines which it inculcates are con-*

* [See Sutherland, 1 1, p. 233 ff Notes VI & VII]

woman (who was certainly entitled to no more than a life interest in the property she possessed) could lawfully deteriorate that property to the prejudice of him,* who had an unquestionable title to succeed to it after her death Here the question is, whether or not the man in possession of *ancestorial immoveable* property, has a right to dispose of it according to his own will If I could venture to draw a conclusion, it would be that he is invested with the temporal right, subject to the spiritual consequences, of its exercise

If a man has this right, why has not a woman? because, it is said, she has no more than a life interest in the property But how is this proved? because others have an unquestionable right to it after her death But who are these others? the *husband's remote heirs* Surely a son has a more unquestionable right to it than they, and the rights of a son in ancestorial property being unquestionable, the rights of the father are as much restricted to a life interest in it as those of a widow, and by the very same course of reasoning adopted by the learned judge the same absolute power must be conceded to or withheld from both

But the fact is that the father's control over ancestorial property *is* restricted by positive texts, whilst that of the widow or mother is only limited by analogy and general declarations, as we trust we have satisfied our readers On the contrary the authorities are clear and consistent with respect to a father's power, and although they do not invalidate *unequal* distribution, they declare that the gift of the *whole* is illegal and invalid We shall cite a few of these texts

What is bailed for delivery, what is lent for use, a

pledge, joint property, a deposit, a son, a wife, *and the whole estate of a man who has living issue*, the sages have declared unalienable, even by a man oppressed with grievous calamities, and what has been promised to another *Narada* *

The prohibition of giving away is declared to be eight fold, a man shall not give joint property, nor his son, nor his wife, nor a pledge, nor *all his wealth, if he have issue living Vrihaspati* **

A wife, or a son, or *the whole of a man's estate*, shall not be given away or sold without the assent of the persons interested *Katyayana*

Joint property, deposits for use, bailments, in the form called Nyasa, pledges, a wife, her property, deposits for delivery, bailments in general, and the whole of a man's estate, if he have issue alive, are things which the learned have declared unalienable even in times of distress *Daksha*

The ample support of those who are entitled to maintenance is rewarded, but hell is the portion of that man, whose family is afflicted with pain by his neglect Therefore let him maintain his family with the utmost care *Manu* ***

Even those who are born or yet unborn, and they who exist in the womb, require food for subsistence;

---

* [Vyavahara Mayukha IX, 2]

** [F E Sicé législation Hindoue Pondichéry 1857, p 130]

*** [quoted in Dayabhaga, p, 57 Cf Man. IX, 202 and Vira mitr p 221, v]

the deprivation of the means of subsistence is reprehended *Narada* *

We might multiply these citations abundantly, and of whatever modifications or qualifications they may be susceptible, they leave no doubt that a man has neither temporarily nor spiritually an absolute command over the whole of any description of his property he may certainly make away with a great part of it, but there is a limit, although the learned judge thinks it difficult to assign one That limit is an adequate provision for his family, and we can conceive no more difficulty as to the determination of this provision by the court, than there is in the ascertainment of the sum a widow is entitled to for her maintenance

In the above texts also is to be understood the existence of no distinction between self-acquired and inherited property, and they all apply to a man's wealth generally, making it imperative upon him to secure provision *for his family before he alienates* even self-acquired wealth With this reservation, he may dispose of property he has gained during his own life time, as he pleases, as according to Katyáyana "except his whole estate and his dwelling house, what remains after the food and clothing of his family, a man may give away **" Food and clothing are however not to be understood in their literal acceptation only, but imply maintenance, as appears from other texts

---

* [Dayakramasangr IV, 25] ** [Vyavah May IV, 4]

With regard also to moveable ancestorial property, there is authority for considering that to be at the father's disposal, according to the text of Yájnavalkya "Of precious stones, pearls and corals, the father is master of the whole, but of the whole immoveable property neither father nor grandfather is master"* The text of Vishnu, however, goes further and declares that "*the father and son have equal ownership* in the whole of the grandfather's wealth" As however the control over moveable property, consisting at least of money or jewels, is a nullity, the distinction may be admitted, and the power if not the right of a *father to dispose of such property at his pleasure* is in general undisputed, at the same time it may be safely said that the alienation of this property, like that of self-acquired wealth, is only allowable after provision made for the family, and that the unequal partition of both amongst sons, which is authorised by special considerations, may be set aside, if the least favoured son can establish undeniably, that he has been deprived of a due share of his father's wealth by that father's unjust anger towards himself, or undue partiality for another son We think the dialogue between the learned judge, and the court Pandits on the subject quite inconclusive It proceeds in the first place upon the inaccurate assertion of the latter, that a father may give a larger share of the ancestorial immoveable

* [quoted from the Mitakshara in the Vyavahára Mayukha IV, 1, 5, Dáyakramasangraha VI, 19 f, and Dayabhaga, p 56]

property to one son than to another, and that he may do this on account of being better satisfied with that son's conduct, of which, the learned judge observes, the father alone can judge, and that accordingly the act must be taken as proof of the equity of the preference. The first position is certainly contrary to the conclusions even of the Bengal lawyers, and the second is unfairly stated. The mere satisfaction of the father is not receivable in proof. Where all his sons have notoriously acted towards him in the like manner, and have been treated by him, during life, with no marked difference, he would not be justified in making unequal distribution; but if it could be proved in justification, that the son preferred had alone discharged his filial obligations to his father, and that his brethren had been notorious for contumaciousness, and had been known to have incurred the father's severe displeasure, the unequal partition of the father's own property should be upheld. In like manner, if the son excluded from a due share of his patrimony could shew, that he had never deserved the displeasure of his father, he would have a good right to such addition to his portion, as should provide for his support. There are other conditions, under which unequal distribution is allowable, but all are susceptible of proof, and this proof should be required, where the proportions are wholly inadequate. The mere unequal distribution of self-acquired or inherited moveable property by which one gets more and another less, but in which all are provided for, is not matter of litigation.

The learned judge states truly (p 247), that the right to make an unequal partition of ancestorial immoveable property is unequivocally denied He asks, 'will such a partition be valid, if made'? and he adds in the course of the chapter decisions and opinions, to shew that 'factum valet' has overcome the law, that the whole ancestorial lands were given to one son, that the illegality of the act was admitted, that it was declared to be immoral and sinful, but that having been done it was valid, and throughout the whole of the argument he evidently leans to an opinion founded on what he admits to be an absurdity, that a man may *make what disposition he pleases of his* property both ancestorial and self-acquired, and personal or real a doctrine which is wholly at variance with the letter and spirit of the whole Hindu law, whether as laid down by Manu, and ancient legislators, or as expounded by modern Scholiasts It is therefore worth while to examine this doctrine of the validity of illegal acts

In the first place then, where is the distinction found? In the most recent commentators, and those of a peculiar province only, those of Bengal, whose explanation is founded on a general position laid down by Jimutavahana, "therefore, since it is denied that a gift or sale should be made, the precept is infringed by making one but the gift or transfer is not null, for a fact cannot be altered by a hundred texts"* This remark refers, however, to the alienation of property

* [Dáyabhaga p 60]

of which the alienor is undoubted proprietor, as a father, of immoveable property if self-acquired, or a coparcener of his own share before partition but he himself concludes that a father cannot dispose of the ancestorial property, because he is not sole master of it "Since the circumstance of the father being lord of all "the wealth is stated as a reason, and that cannot be "in regard to the grandfather's estate, an unequal dis "tribution made by the father is lawful only in the in "stance of his own acquired wealth" Nothing can be more clear than Jímutavahana's assertion of this doctrine, and the doubt cast upon it by its expounders Raghunandana, Srí Krishna Tarkálankara and Jagannátha is wholly gratuitous In fact the latter is chiefly to blame for the distinction between illegal, and invalid acts

The distinction has clearly arisen from a confusion between the notion of acts, and rights to say that an act is not altered by a hundred texts is an obvious truism, as Raghunandana illustrates it, "it is highly criminal to kill a cow but if she be killed, the deed is done" That is incontestable, but there was no *right* to kill her, and the killer may be punished So though a thing be immorally given, the person had no right to make the gift, and the misdemeanour must be dealt with accordingly There arises something like a difficulty in determining who is the object of punishment The donor is the culprit, but by revoking the gift the donee who has committed no crime is punished Revocation of gift, however, is obviously the most

ready mode of preventing such alienations, and the enforcement of this is fully justified by the sort of discretionary power confided in this case to the ruling authority, as "he who foolishly receives what is deem-"ed ungiven, and he who gives what may not be le-"gally alienated, should be punished by a king who "knows the law" *Narada* And "he who receives a "thing which ought not to be given, and he who be-"stows it, both these are to be punished as thieves, and "amerced in the highest penalty" *Mitakshara* The *Vivada Chintamani, Vira Mitrodaya,* and other works of authority, declare also that illegal gifts shall be resumed * Besides this the ruling power is specially enjoined to enforce the observance of the legal code, and of all local usages or institutes not in contradiction to that code, and it is absurd to say, therefore, that the judge is to acknowledge as valid or to permit the validity of, that which sacred institutes and universal feeling denounce as immoral and illegal That the practice has been authorised and decisions passed accordingly, has arisen from a mistaken delicacy as to the right of authority to interpose The only argument of any weight adduced has been this the law certainly prohibits the practice, but it has not provided for its prevention or punishment, and therefore being done, it must be recognised But this is a very incorrect view of the case, and would, as observed by

* [Mitaksh ad Yajn II 176 Vyavahar chint p 30—61 Vira mitrod f 121 r ff]

Sir F. M., authorise the perpetration of a vast variety of crimes. The law has not been so improvident. It has stated what ought and what ought not to be done, and has left the enforcement of its prescriptions to the discretion of the executive power. We are confident, that the question between illegality and validity would never have been agitated under a Hindu administration.

Notwithstanding, therefore, "the right of a man to make any unequal distribution amongst his sons of *ancestorial immoveable* property seems never to have been doubted in the Supreme Court" (p. 268,) we cannot admit, that it exists, and the learned judge acknowledges that the law cannot be considered as finally settled. We cannot admit either, that the owner has more than a contingent right to make a very unequal distribution of any description of his property, without satisfactory cause. The onus of disproving such cause, it is true, rests with the plaintiff, and unless the proof were too glaring to be deniable, it would not of course be allowed to operate. We only mean to aver that it is at the discretion of the court to determine, whether an unequal distribution has been attended with such circumstances of caprice or injustice as shall authorise its revisal. It should never be forgotten in this investigation, that wills, as we understand them, are foreign to Hindu law; for there is clearly a bias in favour of full testamentary power in the practice of the Supreme Court, and in the arguments of Sir F. M., which cannot fail to introduce many innovations into the law, and many new and

vexatious sources of perplexity and litigation which are unknown to the Hindus, in the unsophisticated condition of their native code The following case was a clear violation of the law under this feeling

Soorjeecomar left a widow, but no child, and he made a will by which all his property, consisting in part of *ancestorial immoveable* estate, was given to his brothers By this will he had made a provision, which he considered to be a suitable one, for his widow

The will set up was alleged to have been forged, and upon that ground alone it was contested by the widow It was found to have been duly executed and here the case ended

But why should it have ended thus? The widow's advocate was not staunch to her cause, unless indeed he felt, that it was vain to dispute the determination of the court to maintain a will at all hazards Then he acted wisely, but his prudence does not justify the sentence Nothing is more positive in Hindu law, than that a widow shall succeed to her husband's estate, in failure of direct issue Here is no inconsistency nor perplexity, the law is precise and positive, and yet the will of an individual is to be maintained against it, that is, he is to subvert the whole system of succession It is a farce to say, that the Hindus have any law of inheritance, if its most unquestionable injunctions are to subsist upon the breath of individual caprice The learned judge's reasoning here is wholly irrelevant, he observes

If it had been established as law, that a man cannot make a gift or an unequal distribution of *ancestorial immoveable* property to the prejudice of any of his *descendants*, it might not have followed that he, being childless, would be held under the same

restraint when giving to his brothers where the widow is to be prejudiced by the gift

But it did not signify in this case what the property was, for whatever he might have done with it wholly or in part during life, he *could not control its disposition after his death*

With regard to the decisions of the Sadr, we have some on both sides of the question In that of Eshand Chand Rai *v* Eshorchand Rai, the gift of a whole estate to an eldest son was confirmed chiefly on the doctrine that though the gift was sinful, it was not invalid, whilst in the case of Bhawanicharn Bunhoojea *v* the heirs of Ramkant Bunhoojeea the gift of the whole of the ancestral property was declared to be invalid The last decision was most conformable to the spirit of the law, and most consistent with the duty of the Court, empowered as that is both by common sense, and the purport of the Hindu code, to *make* that *valid* which is *legal, moral* and *right*, in the estimation of all the authorities In our opinion this is a much more dignified course, and one which will do more to prevent litigation than that which the learned judge seems disposed to recommend, when he would wish to recognise the principle of "factum valet" He says, "this principle, whatever we may think of it, we must desire to see in the most active operation where it can be most beneficially applied', that is, he would connive at immoral acts, if he thought they led to useful results This is very like 'the end sanctifying the means' a principle, we trust, we shall never see in

troduced into English morality, nor even into English law.

We have so fully discussed the doctrine of alienation by widows that we need not advert to the cases illustrative of grants made by them There is clearly a difference between the situation of a widow inheriting, and a father in possession, because the sons and grandsons have a direct lien upon the estate, which remote heirs have not although, however, the law might be held to permit a widow's alienation of property to which she succeeds as heir, yet the obvious analogy of the case, and the general impression on the subject, operate to prevent her alienation of fixed property and chattels, and therefore the decisions of the Sadr Dewáni in the cases of Mahoda *v* Kalyani and others, and Vijaya Devi *v* Annapurna Devi, may be admitted as law, the authority of the Court having been interposed, as we have recommended it should be in every case, to *make* that invalid which was considered immoral

The next chapter treats of wills, and as the doctrine, on which the right of a Hindu to make a will has been recognised in the supreme Court, has been founded in a great measure upon the opinion of Mr Colebrooke, we shall cite it, as quoted by Sir F M

Upon the right of a *Hindoo* to dispose of his property by will, I have seen the opinion of *Mr Colebrooke*, and I need not add that there is not any man whose opinions may justly command a greater degree of deference

He says, 'According to the authorities of *Hindu* law which prevail in *Bengal*, a member of an undivided family may *give*

away or *otherwise alienate* property to the extent of his *own share* of the joint wealth, and I conceive his disposal of property by will would be here maintained (i e within the limits of that province,) in conformity with *Jimuta Vahana's* doctrine, that the *gift* or *other alienation*, by an *unseparated co heir*, may be an *immoral* act, but it is not an *invalid* one —*Dayabhaga, Chapt 2, Sect 28 — Jagannatha's Digest vol 2, Pages 57 and 290* It would be otherwise in the rest of the provinces"

Again *Mr Colebrooke* says, 'When writing a few days ago, I stated that I thought a *Hindoo's will* must be governed and controlled by the general rules concerning *gifts* It will hold good, I think, for the same things for which a *gift* made in his life time would do so, and not otherwise I should have added, however, that his *legacies to his family must be controlled by the rules regarding partition made by the father of* the family The principle I would lay down is, that a man cannot confer either on a stranger, or on one of his family, *by will* (which I consider to be a donation in contemplation of death) what he could not bestow, either by deed of gift, or partition of patrimony during his life time The utmost that can be said is, that he may do that by *will* which he could have done by *partition* or *donation* between living persons'

He proceeds, "Upon the principle which I have stated, a *Hindoo* in *Bengal* may leave by will *all his own acquisitions*, but is restricted, if he have sons, from distributing *ancestral property according to his own pleasure* In countries, in which the doctrines of the *Mitdkshara* prevail, he is restrained from giving away *immoveables*, and from making any other partition of his possessions among his male descendants, but such as the law has sanctioned, consequently, on the principle before explained, he would be restricted from distributing *immoveables* in a mode not sanctioned by *law, but may dispose of moveables, of which the law permits him* to make gifts on account of affection, not however to the amount of the whole property If there be no *sons* or *male* descendants, and the property be not shared by a co heir, *the whole of his pos*

sessions, being his separate and distinct property, may be disposed of by will *as he pleases*"*

It would be presumption in us to say we concur with Mr Colebrooke, and still more to dispute his doctrine, but we confess, we demur to the last clause of the above, as it might operate to the exclusion of the widow, the daughter, the daughter's son, and all the heirs who by the positive laws of inheritance succeed in default of male descendants It may be said indeed that the question of a man's being master of his property has never been agitated, except where there are sons or grandsons, who have a part right of ownership, and that during his life he may give, or otherwise alienate it without control In what he does, during his life, however, it may be supposed a wife exercises some influence, and may by expostulation or endearments, or by the interference of friends, escape being deprived of all share in her husband's wealth But when he is dead, the operation of a will would be final, and in proportion as the provisions of that will are unfavourable to her acknowledged claims, so far must the deceased have exercised a power not altogether analogous to that which he enjoyed whilst alive In addition therefore to the clause laid down by Mr Colebrooke, that his legacies to his family *must be controlled by the rules regarding partition made by the father of the family*, we would add, *and by the estab-*

* [Sir T Strange 1 1, II p 431 435 ff]

lished course of inheritance after his death These provisions might be thought to obviate the necessity for any bequests at all, and we do not see any objection to this contingency, for the practice is an innovation in the law, a very frequent violation of it, and a constant channel for the dissipation of the greater part of the bequests in interminable and demoralizing litigation

The Supreme Court, Sir T M observes, will support the devise or bequest of a Hindu, if it be made of such property as the testator could lawfully have disposed of by gift in his life time, but many considerations may influence bequests which would not have any effect upon a person, during his life, in making donations He adds, the court never professed to go further than to permit that to be effected by will, which might have been done "inter vivos" But can a man alter during his life time the course of succession to his property after his decease? If he is allowed to do this by will, if he is allowed to bequeath to a remote kinsman that which ought after his death to go to his widow, his daughter, or his brother, he does what he could not have done during life, he defrauds them of their just rights, and the court in supporting such a will makes itself a party to the violation of the law, and infringement of the rights of individuals We are at a loss to reconcile this confident announcement of the practice of the court with a variety of sententious positions laid down by the learned judge in various parts of his book "I do not recommend imno

vation, far from it I desire to adhere to the law in its substance, and to give to every body that which he is entitled to claim" (p 36) "If one be entitled to the immediate, and the other to the ultimate enjoyment of property, it is reasonable and just, that they should have equal protection, according to their several rights" (p 90) The interest of him in remainder is as well worthy of the law's protection, as the interests of him in possession (p 97) In opposition to these texts, we have every where the greatest innovation ever made in Hindu law strenuously advocated, and the rights of heirs completely kept out of view, by the support of a power to leave away from them, what every Hindu lawgiver declares they shall inherit It does appear to us, that there is nothing so contradictory in the Hindu code, or so inconsistent in the opinions of the Pandits, as may be found in the learned judge's notions of the rights of heirs, when a widow succeeds to the property, and when the deceased owner leaves a will

Again, we have the following statement of the practice relating to the administration of the law by the Supreme Court

In many instances the wills of *Hindoos* have been recognised and established in the Supreme Court

It is *Mr Colebrooke's* opinion that their right to dispose of their property by will is maintainable in the province of *Bengal*, in conformity with *Jeemuta Vahana's* doctrine that the gift or other alienation of property by a *Hindoo* is *not an invalid*, although it may be an *immoral*, act In the words *other alienation*" *Mr Colebrooke* supposes alienation by *Will* to be included

However this may be, it is certain that the Supreme Court grants probate of the wills of *Hindoos*, and administration to the next kin, when it is applied for in the case of an intestate

We presume the learned judge prefaced this citation with the following passage for the sake of contrast, or to shew that the Supreme Court pays as much respect to acts of Parliament as to the Institutes of Manu

The Stat 21st, Geo 3d, Ch 70, provides, "That their *Inheritance* and *succession to lands, rents and goods*, and all matters of contract and dealing, between party and party, shall be determined in the case of *Mahomedans* by the laws and usages of *Mahomedans*, and in the case of *Gentoos* by the laws and usages of *Gentoos*

The determination of the Supreme Court to uphold the wills of the Hindus is, we observe from the acts of the court, very oddly evinced, and the disposition, if not to set them aside, to tamper with them, and re-model them, is singularly conspicuous Now the Hindus are to make wills, or they are not If they are to exercise this power, their bequests are to be fulfilled If they are not, why deceive a dying man with an erroneous impression? for how their wills have been fulfilled, the following cases will shew

Goculchunder Corformah left all his property, with the exception of a bequest to his step-mother, to the family idol The will, with that exception, was declared *wholly inoperative*

Goculchunder Mitter devised that his heirs should succeed conjointly to his estate, and should never have the power to divide, and share the same

Partition was decreed by the court

In the will of Nemychurn Mullick it was directed, that the bulk of the family property should remain joint undivided family property under the management of the two elder brothers

On an appeal to the King in Council, it was ordered, that partition should be made, and the property held in severalty

In the will of Luckinaram Tagore, as we have seen, the deceased made the concurrence of two of his widows essential to the choice of an adopted son

The court committed that election to *one* of the widows, and because, they inferred, *she had been her husband's favorite*

The will of Raja Nobkissen was set aside in favour of an agreement *previously* granted by him, relating to the same purpose, the share of his adopted son, which the will professed to render less than the agreement

In his will Jugut Adie directed his property to be equally divided, in absolute ownership, between his widow and his son

The court ordered that the widow's right to her moiety should be that of *a life interest only*

Now in some of these revisals we do not mean to question the wisdom, or the benevolence of the court, but it is obvious, that such intermeddling with the intentions of the testator is far from compatible with the spirit of the English law, which provides that "the construction be favourable, and as near the minds and

apparent intents of the parties as the rules of the law will admit", and that when "the words offer no ambiguity there shall "be no interpretation contrary to their literal import" As to Hindu law, the whole proceeding is an anomaly The learned Judge would seem to infer the legality of wills in the estimation of Hindus themselves, because very frequently the right of the testator to make particular bequests is not disputed, but we think, this is a very unsatisfactory proof of the legality of the document in Hindu law, although it exhibits a sensible acquiescence in the decisions of the court in which the cause is to be adjudged The parties forbear to dispute the force of the will, because they know it will be in vain they are informed, that the court is determined to uphold wills, whatever it may do with their clauses, and therefore very prudently submit to what they cannot help But let it be understood that the court will receive arguments against the validity of wills and there will be no lack of objectors nor objections That they are often gross violations of Hindu law, the learned judge is compelled to admit thus he says of the will of Sonatun Mullik, "*if it had not been for that will*, it is clear that Bidyamani (his widow) would have been entitled to the whole of his estate for her life, and that after her death it would have gone to her daughters (p 366), and he observes of another case "From this state of the family, if Joogul Kishore had died intestate, it is quite clear that his son Nundolall would have succeeded him", instead of which an only son, the undisputed heir of his father's

whole property, was restricted to half As to the intricate and unreasonable entanglements to which the wills of Hindus lead, we have abundant proofs of them in the two cases of Hura Sundari and Lukhinarain Thagore, which we have cited from the pages of the learned judge and under a conviction, that wills are in the present constitution of Hindu society a mischievous innovation, we rather lament the determination of the Supreme Court to uphold the practice at any rate, if still permitted, we think, that clear and positive rules should be laid down for their construction, and that none should be capable of being proved which are manifestly incompatible with the provisions of the Hindu law

The next chapter treats of *contracts* and is made up almost entirely of the reprint of the texts collected in Jagannátha's Digest, translated by Mr Colebrooke We shall transcribe the concluding remarks as explanatory of the learned judge's object, and as vindicatory of a part of the Hindu code, at least, from the reproaches of absurdity and inconsistency so abundantly charged against it in other parts of the volume

Although it is declared by statute that all matters of contract and dealing, between parties, shall be determined in the case of *Hindoos* by the laws and usages of *Hindoos*, I never knew, or heard of, an instance in which the Supreme Court was called upon in a case of contract to decide by such laws and usages I did not, therefore consider a chapter upon contracts to be *necessary* in this work, but I conceive that the texts which I have collected, and brought together, will be thought interesting and curious

Those who may take the trouble of reading *Jagannatha's* commentaries upon this particular subject, will wonder perhaps, at the indefatigable industry with which he has endeavoured to make simplicity complex, and to render that which is obvious *unintelligible*

I have merely given some of the leading texts which relate to the law of contracts, and to my mind the system (generally speaking) appears to be rational and moral No less moral, and possibly more rational, because it is, in a great degree, abstracted from the *Hindoo* religion, and dependent upon ethics alone, upon principles which are universally admitted, which are immutable in themselves, and which cannot but be eternal in their duration

Whatever may be said by metaphysicians of the moral sense, it is plain that good faith and fair dealing are required by the institutes of all civilized people, and although there are offices, the performance of which must depend upon the feelings and the consciences of individuals—although duties must still be distinguished by those of perfect, and those of imperfect, obligation, honesty and rectitude are enforced in all civil polities, if they can be enforced by a legislative sanction

The merit of having been founders of their jurisprudence cannot be denied to this people, and those, who are at all conversant with the decisions of our own Courts, will acknowledge the analogy which exists between some of their doctrines, and some of the texts which I have cited from the *Hindoo* law Where this is not to be found, a comparison may, in several instances, be made without disadvantage to the *Hindoos*

But I must restrain myself, for it is not my purpose to run into a dissertation

There are certainly extravagancies, although I have not brought them forward, even in this part of the system, but if a prevalence of common sense is to be discovered in the laws of the Hindoos, it must be sought for in that portion of them, containing the precepts by which dealings between one man and another are to be regulated

The next two chapters on *judicial proceedings* and *evidence* are translations, by Mr W H. Macnaghten,

of the two first sections of the Mitákshará That they are highly valuable, the merits of the original and learning of the translator are sufficient guarantees they are rather however part of the text than considerations on the law We should wish to see the rest of the Vyavahara portion of the Mitákshara translated by the same hand and published entire, for as given by Sir F M it has lost much of its value by compression It is unnecessary for us to offer any detailed comments upon this part of the publication, as the law there laid down is not reduced to practice, and is so far matter rather of curiosity than use, at the same time, the Hindu System is to be regarded as a whole to be properly appreciated, and the laws of inheritance can scarcely be thoroughly understood without acquaintance with other and, in appearance, remotely connected branches of law For this reason the institutes of Manu are particularly valuable, and the chapter of the Mitakshara on the Áchára or daily rites, and usages, would equally contribute to the illustration of the parts by conveying a view of the whole We think the work of the learned judge has been less satisfactory than it might have been, in consequence of his enquiries having confined him to a partial investigation of the subject There are two topics also, upon which we regret the learned judge should have abstained from offering any opinion, particularly as there is no doubt that they have a practical bearing, these are, title from possession, and the rules of evidence, at least as far as the administration

of an oath is concerned this latter we know to be a daily question of painful interest, and the other we observe from a case recorded by the learned judge has been made the subject of discussion in the Sadr Dewáni In the absence of any remarks from the learned judge we shall offer a few observations on what we conceive of the law as it appears in the translated chapters of the Mitakshara, and other authorities

In the case of Bhawanicharn Banerjea, and the heirs of Ramkant Bunhojea (p 283), a deed of partition was declared by the judge to be invalid, because possession was not actually taken, the elder son having instituted a suit to disprove the legality of the apportionment

The deed was invalid on other grounds, as we have had occasion to observe, but the two Pandits Chaturbhuj, and Subha Sastri, two of the ablest Pandits we have encountered, disagreed with regard to the effects of actual possession The former stated that the Hissanama could not be available without possession, and Subha Sástri urged much more rationally that the gift was valid though possession was not taken, as that, being obstructed by the suit instituted by the plaintiff, argued no neglect nor relinquishment of right on the part of the defendants We have no doubt of the correctness of his conclusion according to Hindu law

Possession is in Hindu law, as well as in English, a very substantial title, no doubt, but Chaturbhuj himself admits that, to become a valid one, it must be in sight of the adverse party, and without molestation

on his part, and that even possession for three generations is not sufficient, if not in sight of the adverse party, and with his acquiescence Upon his own shewing, therefore, mere possession does not constitute right One would think the converse of this must naturally follow, and that the absence of possession could not invalidate what its presence could not bestow No, this would not have answered his object, and therefore he proceeds, "a title deed *unaccompanied by possession* must be disallowed as evidence of right" Where did he find this to be the law?

In Mr Macnaghten's translation from the Mitákshará we find "Loss accrues to him, who for twenty years observes his land enjoyed by another *without interfering*, and in the case of moveable property for ten years"* In such a case it would be reasonable, certainly, to infer relinquishment of right or defect of title, but this is very different from the delay of possession arising out of a *disputed claim* Even in such case, however, it would appear that, if right could be ultimately established, it might be claimed, for no length of enjoyment, without title, can constitute property, as "He who *enjoys without right*,** even for many hundred years, the ruler of the earth should inflict on that sinner the punishment of a thief' (p 424)

At the same time it may be admitted, as the Mitákshara argues, that there may be some difficulty in

---

* [ad Yájn II 27]

** [अनागमम् Mit I 1]

reconciling these texts, and although in the latter case a right is not created, yet it is forfeited by long protracted neglect, unless adequate cause be shewn; as, supposing the parties to be minors or incapable of acting for themselves, or to have been absent from the country, then the property is open to recovery, otherwise a certain period, that of three uninterrupted descents, for example, is sufficient to confirm the right of a fourth, although he have no better title to produce. This applies to fixed property; in the case of moveables the term will be limited by their nature, the difficulty of their recovery, and their liability to decay.

The main arguments in favour of the necessity of possession are the following:

"What is obtained by partition, purchase, or inheritance, or what is received from a king, is secured by possession, and lost by neglect." *Vŕihaspati.*—"Ownership lost by neglect is not resumable at will." —*Dáyatattwa.* "Possession without a deed, and not a deed without possession, but proof is firmly established by the union of both."—*Brahma Sanhitá.* "A title to land *may be* established by possession alone, or by an incontrovertible deed, if it is established by the concurrence of both, no otherwise."—*Vŕihaspati Sanhitá.* All this, however, only proves, that *wilful neglect* may forfeit right, and that title-deeds and actual possession confirm each other.

The strongest text, however, is that of Nárada: "Though there be a writing, though there be living

witnesses, yet in the matter of immoveables especially, such as is not possessed is not confirmed"* Not *Sthira* stable, firm The purport of this law turns very much on the meaning of the word *Sthira*, and in its most obvious acceptation is does not mean that the right is lost, but that it is less secure, writings and witnesses being proofs of an inferior description to possession That the latter does not convey right, the same authority positively declares, "Narada has said, possession with a clear title, affords evidence, but possession constitutes no evidence if unaccompanied by a clear title"** (p 430) this must be understood, it is true, of the first acquirer of property, but it leaves no doubt of the real intentions of the lawgiver

Again we have a text from Yajnavalkya *** "A deed is not strong where there is not a little possession', but what does this imply? The title requires one of its conditions to be rendered indisputable This being wanting, it is so far weak Mr Macnaghten translates this "where there is not the least possession, "there a title is not sufficient", but this *might* be understood to signify what the law does not propose, the text being literally as we have given it and being

---

* [Vramitr 63 r 4]

** [Mitaksl ad Yajn II 27 Viramitr 63 r 3 Vyav May II 2 1]

*** [आगमेऽपि बल नैव भुक्ति स्तोकाऽपि यत्र नो। Yajn II 27 acquisition by title is of no avail without possession for a short time Dr Roer's translation]

explained by the commentator that "the strength in the deed is not entire" The same indeed with its context explains clearly its purport, the author states that "deeds, witnesses, and possession are the three kinds of proof, that deeds are of more weight than possession, except where possession has been hereditary, and that deeds are weak where there is no possession whatever" That is, the commentator observes, of three persons the first may plead the deed of gift, and the last may urge possession, the second may plead the gift, and the 'little' possession, the family has had of it The term 'little' here, although literal, is therefore to be understood in the sense of 'limited' and as applied to individuals, or to time, not to a portion of the thing possessed The Vyavahára Matrika, however, argues upon the literal sense, and concludes that, 'as the law declares the occupation of a part of a field &c granted by a royal edict to be the virtual occupation of the whole, so the possession of no part is the relinquishment of the whole", founding this on one of the above cited texts, that the neglect of fixed property is its relinquishment This conclusion, however, implies voluntary indifference or abandonment, and does not regard the delay of possession occasioned by adequate cause

It is, therefore, in our estimation quite clear, that the Hindu law and common sense go hand in hand A man may forego his rights, if he pleases, and any capricious abandonment of them for an unreasonable time is to be punished by their forfeiture But he is

not to be deprived of what is really his, because legal proceedings, interested opposition, accident, distance or disease, debar him from taking possession of it when it first becomes his due

The other question which calls for a few observations is the practice observed in the courts, of taking evidence upon oath the usual form is that of placing a copper vessel of Ganges water with a leaf of the *Tulasí* plant in it in one hand, whilst the witness touches the water with the other, and utters the following or similar declarations

"Having touched Ganga water, copper, and Tulasí, I swear that I will truly answer the questions put to me if I utter untruth, may I perish in a future life", or "may I perish both in this world and the next"

Popular feeling in Bengal is decidedly hostile to this practice, and it is considered highly disreputable to have taken such an oath The disgrace attaches to a man through life, and is made a serious subject of reproach, even by his friends and connexions Accordingly, no man who has any regard for his character will enter a court of Justice, if he can possibly avoid it, and few decent individuals will subpœna respectable witnesses, however essential to their cause, they will rather pay high and multiply the false testimony, that is to be had for hire When subpœnaed, a man of any reputation is painfully distressed, and he secretes himself or flies beyond the jurisdiction of the court, until the suit is decided These circumstances are too notorious to be questioned, and it can

be as little doubted that they are unfavourable to the ends of Justice, as they banish from the court the only individuals from whom veracity might be expected

The objections of the people are so far well founded, that the practice does not appear to be authorised by any of the works *on law*, ancient or modern, the provisions of which in the first stage of investigation at least are summed up in the following translation from the Mitakshara (p 447) *

Manu has propounded a rule to be observed in taking the depositions of *Brahmins* and others 'Let the Judge imprecate a priest by his veracity, a soldier by his horse, elephant, and weapons, a merchant by his kine, grain, and gold, a mechanic, or servile man, by imprecating on his own head, if he speaks falsely, all possible crimes" The meaning is, he shall adjure the *Brahmin* by saying, if you speak falsely, your truth will be destroyed, a *Kshatriya* by saying your horse, elephant, weapons will become useless, a *Vaisya*, your cattle, seeds, and gold, will be unproductive, a *Sudra*, if you speak falsely, all sins will be on your head

"Regenerate men, who tend herds of cattle, who trade, who practise mechanical arts, who profess dancing and singing, who are hired servants or usurers, let the Judge exhort and examine, as if they were Śudras"

The Vyavahara Mayúkha** adds this text from *Vrihaspati* "Truth, carriage, arms, kine, seed, and gold, the feet of gods, and Brahmans, the head of a wife or of a child, these are declared to be oaths of efficacy in matters of minor concern, but in oaths of

* [Man, VIII 113 10°]

** [III 3 Vyavahara Tattwa, 57 Viramitr 88, v, 1°]

the highest importance the truth must be established by ordeal" The Ordeal is of five kinds,—scales, water, fire, poison and sacred libation An interesting account of each is to be found in the Asiatic Researches (vol I),* but in no stage of the evidence is touching the Ganges water or any other sacred object made a test of the truth of evidence by any legal authorities

As however the practice was introduced throughout all the courts, both civil and military, under the British Government, it is impossible to suppose that the measure was adopted without due enquiry, or that it was an innovation unwarranted by the code or customs of the country accordingly it may be defended by the practice of the act, as alluded to in the Puranas and Tantras, and by local usages

In the villages of Bengal, in the case of private disputes or arbitrations, the feet of a Brahman or the head of a child are the most usual attestations of assertion, the same are commonly referred to in the western provinces But the sacred poems, the Tulasí leaf, Śálagram stone, Ganga water, and even spirituous liquors, are in more extensive use, and they are not unusual though less frequent in Bengal, the practice is therefore perfectly consistent with the customs of the country

In the Tantras, *Siva* appeals to the Ganga, which is supposed to flow from his head in proof of his asser-

* [See also Stenzler, "die indischen Gottesurtheile", in Zeitschrift der d morgenl Ges, IX, 661-82]

tion Thus he observes "How is it possible to doubt the truth of my words when uttered in contact with the Ganges, the Snake, and the Bull?"

The chief authority for the practice is, however, the Brahma Vaivartta Puraṇa, and this declares various hells to be the portion of those who utter untruth after touching the Salagram, the Tulasí, or the Ganga

"Whoever, having taken the Tulasí leaf in his hand, "does not adhere to his engagement, is punished in "the hell *Kalasutra*, as long as the Sun and Moon "endure Whoever takes a false oath by the Tulasí "leaf, is sentenced to the *Kumbhipaka* hell for the "reigns of fourteen Indras Whoever, holding the "Salagram stone, utters a lie, suffers in the *Kurma-* "*danshtra* hell for the life of Brahma Whoever touch- "ing the Salagram stone breaks his promise, is con- "signed to the *Asipatra* hell for a hundred thousand "Manvantaras"

"Having heard the words of Brahma, the lord of "wisdom, the chief of the wise took the water of the "Ganga in his hand, and pledged his faith if any one, "having touched the water of the Ganges, utters a "false assertion, he is punished in the Kálasutra hell "during the existence of Brahma"

These texts therefore shew that the practice was no innovation, and their authority, although not law, will scarcely be denied by any class of Hindus At the same time, they are really very worthless, and apply to a state of things wholly foreign to the primitive systems both of religion and law The Tantras

are the authorities of the worshippers of Siva and Sakti, the Brahma Vaivartta is a decided Vaishnava work Most of the Tantras are modern, and the Brahma Vaivartta is of very recent date, being a collection of absurd legends relating to Krishna and Radhá, objects of veneration as conjoined not more than four or five centuries old Under any circumstances, the Tantras and Puránas cannot be received in opposition to the Sruti and Smriti, the religious and legal codes, and in this instance the individual works appealed to are of the worst description Although, therefore, there is authority for the form of oath administered by the English courts to Hindu witnesses, it is still unquestionably foreign to the spirit of the law, neither does it derive any weight from being a rite of popular practice for its observance chiefly prevails amongst the inferior castes, and rather loses than gains respectability from its popular adoption We should therefore wish to see some other system introduced, and are confident that, wherever the form was objected to, a simple affirmation subject to the penalty of perjury would be much more conducive to the ends of public justice than the existing usage

The importance of the subject must plead our excuse for devoting so large a portion of our pages to the examination of this work we now take leave of it Upon the whole we cannot deny the general utility of the Considerations on Hindu Law, and in the information it unfolds of the objects and practice of the Supreme Court it will be a most serviceable record

at the same time it will not answer the purpose of its publication, as the conclusions are too loose, and, as we have presumed to argue, are in many instances too inaccurate to entitle it to become a safe and sure guide in matters of Hindu law. The utility of the work is also much impaired by the utter want of arrangement which prevails throughout, and for which the learned judge pleads in extenuation the haste with which the work was put together, as we have cited his apologies to prove. But what occasion was there for so much precipitancy? Why could not the materials have been taken home, and digested by the learned Judge in the *otium cum dignitate*, he is about to enjoy? We might then have had a work worthy of his known powers and long experience, and which would have entitled Sir F. Macnaghten to the permanent gratitude of the profession, and of the public.

XII

REVIEW

of

BHAGAVAD GITA,

id est

ΘΕΣΠΕΣΙΟΝ ΜΕΛΟΣ,

sive Almi Krishnæ et Arjunæ Colloquium de Rebus divinis Bharatæ Episodium Textum recensuit et interpretationem latinam adjecit AUGUSTUS GULIELMUS SCHLEGEL

BONN (1823), 1 vol 8vo

From the Oriental Quarterly Review Calc 1825 Vol III p 1 51

THE cultivation of Sanskrit by the Scholars of the Continent is no pretended nor unprofitable pursuit they have not engaged in it merely to acquire the repute of mastering a venerable and difficult language, or to familiarise themselves with a new branch of literature for their private gratification, but they have come forward to exhibit public proofs of their proficiency, and have enriched the community of letters with the spoils of individual research Amongst the foremost is AUGUSTUS WILLIAM SCHLEGEL, a name long known in the highest departments of literature, and one particularly dear to Englishmen, as that of the enthusiastic and able commentator of Shakespeare

To an almost universal conversancy with the languages of ancient and modern Europe, Schlegel has superadded a very extensive knowledge of the Sanskrit language, proofs of which attainment have been for some time before the public, in his Indische Bibliothek, particularly in his elaborate and masterly review of Wilson's Sanskrit Dictionary. He has however now adduced one still more convincing in his edition of the text of the Bhagavad Gítá with a Latin translation and critical annotations, and he is about to furnish still more ample testimony in the text and translation of the Rámáyana. How far he is equal to this latter undertaking may be inferred from the success of the present, of which we shall proceed to offer some account. The learned translator has a right to demand this at our hands, as the worthy rival of our countrymen in a path they have hitherto almost monopolised: the monopoly is theirs no longer, and Frank, Bopp, Chezy, and Schlegel have all preferred respectable claims to participation in the walk of Sanskrit literature, claims which it is to be hoped will only serve to animate our zeal, and urge us to prove that we have not been indebted for distinction only to the absence of competitors.

The first object of Schlegel, in publishing the Bhagavad Gíta, was the wish to employ in a *becoming* manner the fount of Nagarí types presented by the King of Prussia to the university of Bonn. This fount was executed at Paris under the professor's inspection, and is a creditable specimen of typographic art. We

are not disposed, however, to admire exceedingly the forms of the letters the vowels, which occur above and below the lines, are inelegantly engraved and clumsily connected, many of the conjunct consonants are much too slight, and the heads of the letters are too square Such as they are however, the characters are neatly executed, and, with the advantage of Europe ink and printing, make the handsomest book, except the Nalus of Bopp, which has yet been published in the sacred language of the Hindus

The text of the Gíta has been printed from a copy made by Schlegel himself from four Manuscripts in the Royal Library at Paris, three of these were described by Hamilton in his catalogue, the fourth is a recent addition from the collection of Col Polier Schlegel's copy was also compared with the Calcutta Edition of 1808, which it appears is no longer procurable even in England, and which Schlegel obtained from a friend The text now printed, it must be admitted, is singularly correct, much more so than that of the Calcutta edition a circumstance not very surprising, however, as the latter was the work of Native Editors without any assistance from European superintendance

Of the translation we shall speak in detail, and shall particularise such passages, as seem to be erroneously rendered In doing this, however, we must observe that particular exceptions are not to be regarded as detracting from the merits of the whole on the contrary, as the objections are almost entirely verbal,

and few mistakes or misconceptions of any moment occur, the necessity of seeking for blemishes in matters of so little moment is the highest compliment that can be paid to the accuracy and knowledge of the translator.

That the translation made by Mr. Wilkins in 1787 was of essential service to the present translator is fully acknowledged by him. "In interpretatione Bhagavad Gitæ elaboranda interpretationem v. cl. Caroli Wilkins magno mihi adjumento fuisse, non modo non diffiteor, sed ultro gratoque animo id agnosco:" he adds however, what is correct, that he has occasionally deviated from the steps of his predecessor, and that, if the original translator were now to revise his performance, he would no doubt authorise such deviations. In this we are disposed to concur with him, as there are several passages in Wilkins's translation, which might be amended; on the whole however it is very correctly executed, and with reference to the early period of Sanskrit study, at which it was accomplished, and the absence of all assistance from Grammars and Dictionaries, a publication of singular merit. Some of Schlegel's variations are very justifiable, and he might have made them more numerous still, as we think in some places he has been misled by the English version. Occasionally, however, his variations are not warranted by the text, as we shall have occasion to observe.

The Bhagavad Gítá, as is well known, is a treatise on theology, communicated by Kŕishńa to his friend

and pupil Arjuna during a short suspension of the engagement between the Pándava and Kuru armies It is a section of the Mahábhárata and, as observed by Schlegel, is proved by the concurrence of the Parisian manuscripts, the printed text of Calcutta, and the translation of Wilkins, to be a genuine and unadulterated work Schlegel and Wilkins both regard it as a composition of high antiquity, but this requires proof We may admit with the former, that the origin of philosophy amongst the Hindus is remote, "sine dubio valde antiqua fuit apud Indos philosophiæ origo", and that the Brahmans of India investigated subjects of theological philosophy long before Plato or Pythagoras, but, upon examining the doctrines of the Bhagavad Gíta, we shall find many as foreign to the theology of the Vedas as to philosophical speculation, and indicative of a deviation from the primitive system, *which we shrewdly suspect is the work of a* comparatively recent date

The original Hindu system comprised a two-fold division, and inculcated the worship of the minor deities by works, or sacrifice, gifts, and penance, and the knowledge of the great universal spirit by abstract and secluded meditation These two divisions were not incompatible works were proper for men engaged in active life, and who had to discharge the positive duties of their caste and condition But when they arrived at an age, at which they could no longer take a useful share in social pursuits, it was enjoined them to leave the world, and in the silence and solitude of

the hermitage to devote themselves to the cultivation of divine knowledge, performing in the first stage of this course the essential ceremonies, but finally depositing, as it is quaintly expressed, the holy fires in the mind, or discarding further attention to the ceremonial offices of religion With the natural tendency of enthusiasm it soon happened, that the period of seclusion was accelerated, and men adopting the notion, that works were altogether unworthy of human attention, deserted their active duties for ascetic contemplation It may be doubted, however, whether this was the spirit of the Vedas,* and it may be most probably referred to a period subsequent to those works, prior however to the Grecian invasion, for at that time a number of sects were already in existence, as the Gymnosophists, Sarmanes or Germanes, and Hylobii, all of the ascetic class

---

* Manu permits the householder to become a Sannyasi at once, or to pass over the intermediate stage of the hermit with attention to ceremonies His commentator adds, a Brahman may proceed from the *first order*, or the student, to the state of a Sannyasi, but this is quite at variance with the text, which declares that, if a Brahman have not read the Vedas, begotten a Son, and performed sacrifices, and yet aim at final beatitude, he shall sink to a place of degradation These are called the three debts—to the sages, the manes, and the gods, and it is repeatedly declared that the man who has not acquitted *himself of those* obligations shall not pretend to supreme felicity, or to divine wisdom derived from contemplative devotion The text is therefore entirely consistent with the old system the comment interpolates more modern ideas —Manu, Ch vi, v 25 35 38, also 91 95 [Lassen, I, 580]

In compliance with the purport of the Vedas, the Vedánta philosophy, though it did not prohibit works, countenanced them only so far as they prepared the soul for that state, in which it should be capable of acquiring divine knowledge, and when purified from all mundane illusion it might be fit to recognise its identity with the Supreme The union with the supreme spirit resulting from this identification, and the consequent exemption from returning to any human form, are, according to the Vedanta, attainable by divine knowledge alone, and at all events, such knowledge being attained, the performance of rites and the observance of ceremonies became objects of comparative indifference, as*—"If purificatory rites be considered essential, (it may be said) they are indifferent, for if Janaka and others observed rites and ceremonies, yet wise men have offered no sacrifice with fire", *Brahma Sutra* 3, 4, 9 And the doctrine was further extended to the neglect of all instituted observances whatever "If it be said, the attainment of (divine) knowledge cannot be without the observance of the stated orders, (as student &c and the ceremonies incident to caste,) that is not the case—it may be attained in them, that is obvious but it may be attained out of them, as well as in them, as was seen with Raikka and others, and it is also confirmed by the law, for

* आचारदर्शनादिति चेत्तुल्य तु दर्शन जनकादेर्यथा कर्म्माचारदर्शनम्। विद्वांसोऽप्यग्निहोत्र न तु हवासक्रिरे [The author has omitted to mention, which of the many commentaries on the Brahma Sutras he follows in these quotations It is not Śankara s]

the sacred codes relate that Samwartta and others, belonging to no instituted order, attained perfection" Ibid 3, 4, 36 * Passages from the Upanishads also may be adduced, undervaluing the ritual "Nothing obtained through perishable means can be eternal, hence what use of rites?" "Neither can he (the Supreme) be conceived by the help of austerities or religious rites" "All votaries who repose on God alone their firm belief, originating from a knowledge of the Vedanta, and who by forsaking religious rites obtain purification, being continually occupied in divine reflections during life, are at the time of death entirely freed from ignorance, and absorbed into God "*Mundaka Upanishad,*** *translated by Rammohun Roy*"

In permitting however the practice of the ritual, even after divine knowledge is obtained, the Vedánta makes a wide distinction between its ceremonies, and positively interdicts all those performed for any particular objects, as sacrifices supposed to be the means of obtaining power, wealth, progeny, and other worldly goods the only works authorized are the Nitya and Naimittika, the constant and occasional rites incumbent on every order of Hindus, as the daily Sandhyas, oblations with fire, and libations to the manes In these also the performer must propose to himself no

---

* अथानाश्रमिणां सर्वथा विद्यानधिकार इति चेन्न । अन्तरा चापि तु तद्दृष्टे । आश्रममन्तराद्विनापि च ज्ञानं स्यात्॥ ऐक्षादेस्सद्भावादपि च स्मर्य्यते ॥ अनाश्रमे सम्वर्त्तकादीनां सिद्धिस्मृतौ स्मर्य्यते ॥

** [III, 1 8 ° 6]

benefit, and must observe them merely in conformity to the directions of the Sastras With such a reservation, recommendation of the observance of certain ceremonies is not unfrequently urged "Those rites, the prescription of which wise men found in the Vedas, are truly the means of producing good consequences" *Mundaka Upan* "All acts are to be regarded, before knowledge is obtained, for the purification of the intellect, as a steed to convey a traveller to his home" *Brahma Sutra* *

"The duties of the order are to be discharged, according to the text that is, it is said in the Kaushárava—Beholding this as himself, let him perform rites without hesitation,** meaning that the individual, possessed of divine knowledge, shall discharge the duties of his order, not those which do not appertain to his caste" *Ibid*—The work we are about to examine also admits, though rather reluctantly, the superiority of divine knowledge and contemplative exercise over works and faith Thus Arjuna is directed to fix his mind on Krishna if he cannot do that at once, he must endeavour to effect it by practice, if that is above his strength, he is to perform works, abandoning their fruit, and if that is still too great an effort, he is to put his trust in Krishna The subsequent

---

* सर्वापेक्षा च यज्ञादिश्रुतेरश्ववत् [III 4 २८]

** विहितत्वाच्चाश्रमकर्मापि । ३२ । पश्यन्निममात्मानं कुर्यात्कर्मा विचारयन्निति कापारवश्रुतेा विहितत्वादाश्रमस्य अपि नावर्णस्य च कर्म ज्ञानी कुर्यात् ॥

passage in a great manner disarranges this series, but the scope of the section corroborates its tenor, and it harmonises with the general spirit of Hindu theology.

It may therefore be concluded, that the Vedánta philosophy, although it places the ritual as subordinate to divine knowledge, rather inclines to the performance of disinterested acts of devotion, and recommends those ceremonies, which propose no particular fruit to the performer. This is not the case with some other philosophical divisions of the Hindus, and as observed in the Gítá, "some learned men have taught that acts should be shunned as faulty." *Lect.* 18, 3.* This, as *Sankara* and *Srídhara Swámí* observe, refers particularly to the Sánhhyas, who object to all the sacrificial ceremonial of the Vedas, and declare that all acts are to be relinquished: although, however condemning the ritual of the Vedas as sanguinary and futile, the Sánkhyas do not exclude all attention to outward observances, and they enjoin particular postures, modes of breathing, and looking, which they profess contribute to constrain the wanderings of the spirit, and force it into a conjunction with its primitive source.

These practices are properly the invention of a different School, the Pátanjala, in which in like manner they are substitutes for the ceremônies of the Vedas. They effect the *Yoga*, or Union of the individualised with the universal spirit, and hence the system by

---

* त्याज्यं दोषवदित्येके कर्म प्राहुर्मनीषिणः ।

which they are inculcated is called the Yoga Śastra The like identification, however, or consequent exemption from transmigration, is the great object of all the philosophical sects, and the term is, therefore, familiar to them, as well as to that to which it is particularly applied They have also adopted more or less extensively the ceremonial of the yoga, or the contrivances by which intellectual aberration is to be restrained, and the mind forced into exclusive meditation on spirit In this light the Bhagavad Gitá is a Yoga work, and the different divisions treat of various kinds of *Yoga*, or the various means by which union with the supreme spirit is to be attained

Whether the ritual of the Vedas be observed or not, there is no reason to conclude, that either the Sánkhya or the Vedánta School admits the compatibility of divine knowledge with the discharge of active social duties Up to the period of seclusion, the student or the householder may be preparing himself by a tranquillised mind, and indifference to the objects of human solicitude, for that perfect calm in which knowledge is alone attainable, but it is not possible that a man engaged in worldly occupations should accomplish the requisite condition of quiescence Hence the institution of the different degrees or ásramas, and particularly those of the Vanaprastha and Bhikshuka, or Hermit and Beggar, as indispensable stages in the scale of perfection

In the course of time it was probably found, either that the encouragement given to ascetic devotion was

injurious to social happiness, or that it was considered an unfair monopoly of emancipation, and a kind of compromise was admitted, by which the acquisition of divine knowledge should be placed within the reach of all whom it was worth while to conciliate Hence the practice of mortification and penance came to be discountenanced,* and the discharge of social duties according to station and caste of the individual to be insisted on, at the same time that emancipation was pursued Indifference to the result was all that was stipulated for men were to adore, as Epicurus worshipped, nulla spe, nullo pretio inductus the doctrine of the Vedas, that those works especially which propose some benefit should be abandoned, was strained into the position that no works should be abandoned, but that the fruit or consequence of all should be disregarded, and that this disregard of consequences was alone the real abandonment of works ** This principle

---

* In the Gita the practisers of austere penance and mortifications are denounced as followers of a demoniac faith

अशास्त्रविहितं घोरं तप्यन्ते ये तपो जनाः । दम्भाहंकारसंयुक्ताः कामरागबलान्विताः ॥ कर्शयन्तः शरीरस्थं भूतग्राममचेतसः । मां चैवान्तःशरीरस्थं तान् विद्ध्यासुरनिश्चयान् ॥

Those men who, filled with pride and hypocrisy, perform horrid penance undirected by the ritual, and characterised by violence passion and desire, are fools who torment the aggregated elementary essence of the body, and me who am present in it know them to put their faith in fiends 17 5 6

** सन्न्यासं कर्मणां कवयो विदुः 18 2 The wise declare the abandonment of fruits to be abandonment. अनाश्रितः कर्मफलं कार्यं कर्म करोति यः । स सन्न्यासी च योगी च न निरग्निर्

was applied not only to the ceremonial of religion, but to the duties of origin and profession, and even to the faculties and organs of the body * In this enlarged interpretation of the doctrine of the Vedas the Puranas were chiefly instrumental, and amongst the principal agents was the Mahabharata or at least the Bhagavad Gítá

The doctrine thus promulgated might be considered to deviate from the original faith only as far as the interpretation was questionable It was still founded on the text of the Vedas, and might be held by some interpreters to be no more than what was fully warranted by those works The Puránas, however, did not stop here they superadded a dogma wholly at variance with the spirit and letter of the Vedas when they made Faith—implicit reliance on any one deity —sufficient for emancipation In this new sentiment the Bhagavad Gíta stands pre-eminent, and it is re-

---

चाक्रिय. 6, 1 He who performs proper acts without regard to the consequences of acts, is the real Sannyasi and Yogi, not he who is without a sacred fire or abstains from acts

* यज्ञदानतपःकर्म्म न त्याज्यं कार्य्यमेव तत् Sacrifice, alms and penance are acts never to be relinquished, but to be performed 18, 5

स्वे स्वे कर्म्मण्यभिरत. ससिद्धिं लभते नर A man attains perfection by the diligent discharge of his peculiar duty [18, 45]

कायेन मनसा बुद्धा केवलैरिन्द्रियैरपि । योगिन. कर्म्म कुर्व्वन्ति सङ्ग त्यक्त्वात्मशुद्धये ॥

The adepts perform acts in body, heart, and mind, and with the simple organs, for the purification of the spirit, abandoning desire 5, 11

peatedly declared in it that trust in Krishńa is of itself exemption from all return to worldly existence.* Such assertions are a clear proof that the work which maintains them is the production of a more modern and degenerate Hinduism **

According to all the systems of Philosophy derived from the Vedas, the most assiduous worship of the Gods of the Hindu Pantheon can only elevate the worshipper to equal rank with them "Those observers of ceremonies who perform the adoration of celestial Gods as well as the worship of the sacred fire and oblation to sages, ancestors, men and other creatures,

---

* सर्व्वधर्म्मान्परित्यज्य मामेक शरण व्रज Abandoning all duties take refuge with me alone, 18, 66 मय्यावेश्य मनो ये मा नित्ययुक्ता उपासते। श्रद्धया परयोपेतास्ते मे युक्ततमा मताः॥ Those who have imbued their minds with me, and worship me constantly, being endowed with firm faith, are held most perfect by me [XII, 2]

भक्त्या त्वनन्यया शक्य अहमेवविधोऽर्ज्जुन। ज्ञातु द्रष्टु च तत्त्वेन प्रवेष्टु च परन्तप॥ I am such, oh Arjuna, that by faith placed in me, and in no other, alone may men know, behold, and be absorbed in me, subduer of thy foes 11, 54

** The worship of particular deities for particular purposes is the main purport of the practical portion of all the Vedas, but no one divinity occupies more than his share or swallows up the rest neither is mere faith, trust without worship, enjoined formulæ are however produced from the Atharvana or fourth Veda, which differ very essentially in this respect from the hymns and prayers of the other three The authenticity of these is rather questionable, and even if proved establishes but little, as the history and character of this Veda are far from being ascertained the style of the fragments is much more modern than that of the other Vedas

From these observations the character of the Bhagavad Gítá will now be understood, and it was the more necessary to offer some such preliminary view, as the learned translator has abstained from commenting upon the philosophy of his text He pleads want of leisure for the undertaking, having been anxious to publish the book without delay He urges also in further excuse the absence of the best commentaries We doubt whether he would have received that aid from the commentators he seems to have anticipated —they are far from explicit, and make extensive use of previous and collateral knowledge, the references to which they rarely explain In addition to their glosses, therefore, it would be necessary to enter more deeply, than perhaps the means attainable in Europe permit, into the systems of Hindu theology, to do full justice to the speculations of the Gita We do not think, indeed, that they deserve the labour, as they are of too popular and spurious an origin, and we should rather see such acquirements and acumen as Schlegel's exercised upon some of the more abstruse and unadulterated performances of the Vedanta and Sánkhya schools

---

cipation from knowledge only, and not from works nor faith availing himself of Krishna's injunctions to take refuge with him alone, and identifying Krishna with the Supreme he labours very assiduously to prove also that works are only recommended as the means of obtaining knowledge, but his arguments are more subtle than satisfactory, and he is evidently influenced by the popularity of the Gita in the attempt to derive from it that support to his own doctrines which it does not afford

Having thus taken a general view of the doctrine of the Bhagavad Gíta, we shall now enter upon an examination of the work in detail, with accompanying reference to the Translation The work is divided into eighteen adhyáyas or lectures, each in the original described by its appropriate denomination These Wilkins has translated—Schlegel has left them untouched, in consequence of entertaining some doubts of their being the work of the author of the text We do not see any reason for the doubt, as the titles invariably occur in the manuscripts, and are sufficiently applicable to the subject of each section

The Bhagavad Gitá opens with the report made to Dhritaráshtra, the father of the Kurus, by Sanjaya, his charioteer, of what has recently occurred at Kurukshetra, where the hostile hosts of the Pándavas and Kauravas are assembled Previous to the engagement, Arjuna, observing kinsmen and connexions in either army, expresses to Krishna, his friend and charioteer, great reluctance to engage in a contest so unnatural Krishna, to overcome this feeling, enters upon a dissertation on the nature of spirit, his own divinity, the merit of various kinds of worship, and the propriety of discharging religious and moral obligations, in consequence of which Arjuna consents to fight This is the business of the episode Arjuna's regret, *Arjuna-vishada*, forms the subject of the first *adhyaya*, rendered lecture by Wilkins, and lectio by Schlegel

It has been the avowed object of the translator to be as literal as possible, and he has therefore trans-

lated most of the epithets,—thus he renders *Mahara-tha*, the common title of the leaders, signifying one fighting in a chariot, magno curru vectus, and *sarva-maharathah* omnes æque magnis curribus vecti although *maha* does mean great, it does not bear that acceptation only in these places, and might have been omitted or modified without any violation of fidelity

V 10 Schlegel has substituted non satis idoneus [impar, 2nd ed] and idoneus, for Wilkins's translation of *Aparyaptam* and *Paryaptam* innumerable and numerable The commentators differ in their explanation of these terms Sridhara Swami explains them by *Asamartha* and *Samartha*, which authorises Schlegel's translation Nilakantha however prefers *Bahu* and *Alpa*, which agree with Wilkins The sense of the passage renders Wilkins's translation preferable in our opinion, as it would be rather inconsistent in Sanjaya to detract from his own friends, of whom he is actually speaking, by asserting that they were unable to face the enemy The readings may be reconciled by considering the expression elliptical, as suggested by the second named commentator, *Aparyaptam* meaning not capable of being surrounded or opposed by the enemy, and not, unfit to contend with them

V 13 *Panava* and *Anaka* are here translated cymbals et cornua, for the sake of variety, we presume, as they signify no such things, but merely differently formed drums Wilkins has avoided all dispute here by grouping them as warlike instruments

V 15 The conch shell is the favorite instrument of the Hindus, both in war and sacrifice In the Mahábhárata, we find every hero provided with his conch, and in general it bears a distinct appellation —in this place several are enumerated, and we mention it to show how well the Latin Language translates these denominations, and how dexterously Schlegel has adapted the terms thus *Panchajanya*, once the shell of Panchajanya a giant, he renders Gigantea *Devadatta*, Theodotes *Paundra*, from its being of the colour of sugar cane, Arundinea *Anantavijaya*, Triumphatrix and *Sughosha* and *Manipushpaka*, literally Dulcisona and Jemmiflorea

V 30 *Bhramatiya cha me manas* is rendered mens mea quasi titubat This translation is scarcely correct, for titubo does not signify to wander or whirl round, the sense of *bhramati* perhaps torquet or vagatur would have been preferable, or *perturbatur* would have been closer to the purport, if not to the letter of the expression

V 38 *Lobha* is rendered libido, but we think cupiditas would have been less ambiguous Arjuna's remark is that the minds of the opposite party are distracted by the desire of supremacy and wealth, or, as Wilkins expresses it, by the lust of power

V 40 Some indistinctness pervades the translation in this and the following verses with regard to the term *Kuladharmah*, rendered by sacra gentilitia, and *Jatidharmah*, by *familiarum* [ordinum] sacra *Dharma* is not merely sacred or religious, but moral and social

duty,* the obligation contracted by birth, and station in this sense *Kuladharmah*, should be rather familiarum munera or officia Wilkins renders these terms "family virtue, and virtue of a tribe", but virtue is too general a term The service of Brahmans is the *Dharma*, the duty, of Sudras, for instance, but it could scarcely be called either piety or virtue The sense of the original would be tolerably well conveyed by the ritus familiæ patrumque of the old Roman Law

In the same passage the term *kulastriyah* is rendered feminae nobiles by Schlegel, women of a family by Wilkins, neither is quite correct as *kulastri* means a woman of character, a chaste and respectable woman, one who preserves a family, attends to its duties, and adds to its reputation, being explained कुलरक्षिका, अत्यन्त कुलधर्म्मा and कुलकीर्त्तिकरा by different commentators on the Amara Kosha, where the term occurs synonymous with कुलपालिका, the preserver of a race

V 47 *Sankhye*, in acie, is more accurately rendered by Wilkins, "in the war"

In the second Lecture Krishna undertakes to prove the unreasonableness of Arjuna's reluctance to combat with his relations in the Kuru ranks, and draws his arguments chiefly from the *Sankhya* philosophy, hence the section is denominated *Sankhya Yoga*, Spiritual union according to the Sánkhya doctrines Wilkins has translated it, 'Of the nature of the soul and spe

---

* धारणाद्धर्म्म Dharma is so named from upholding preserving mankind and the universe —Haradatta on Gautama's aphorisms

culative doctrines"; but the principles of the Sánkhya are no more speculative than those of any other school. Schlegel, as observed, avoids translating these titles, but in the body of the text, when the term Sánkhya occurs, he renders it Ratiocinium, and Scientia rationalis,—terms as little applicable as specific designations, as speculative, and founded probably upon the previous employment of that word. It is true that it is not easy to render the meaning of the original. Śrídhara Swámí defines it etymologically* as the real nature of spirit made manifest by perfect knowledge. Śankara explains it discrimination between matter and spirit, or Prakriti and Purusha: and it is elsewhere defined as the doctrine of enumeration, or in which the sage proceeds by regularly enumerated steps to perfection: *sankhyá* meaning number, enumeration.** It had better therefore we think, have been left untranslated, in the same way as we use the denominations of the Greek schools, Stoic, Platonic, &c. with little or no modification. As employed in the Gítá, the followers of the Sánkhya doctrines mean those who disclaim works, as opposed to those who observe them, who are called Yogís, a term which is likewise calculated to create some perplexity: thus Śankara

---

* सम्यक्ख्यायते प्रकाश्यते वस्तुतत्त्वमनयेति सख्या सम्यग्ज्ञान तस्मिन्प्रकाश्यमानमात्मतत्त्वं साङ्ख्यं ॥ [v 39]

** *सङ्ख्यायते यस्मिन्शास्त्रे तत्साङ्ख्यं ॥ [See Professor Goldstücker's "Pánini" London 1861, p 151, and Professor F. E. Hall's Preface to his edition of the Sánkhyapravachanabhashya Calc. 1856, p 1-6]*

says the Sankhyas are those who are possessed of knowledge, arising from discrimination between that which is spirit and that which is not, who, abandoning works from the period of studentship, have acquired certainty in the understanding of the Vedanta, who are known as mendicants and hermits, and stand firm in Brahma The Yogis, as mentioned in the text of the Gita, he contents himself with calling *Karmis*, those performing karma or acts It might have been preferable therefore, when speaking of the disciples of these systems, to have distinguished them as Contemplationem colentes, and Ritus asservantes, instead of Scientiæ rationalis and Operum devoti

V 5 *Bhunjya bhogan* is translated much too literally, vescar dapibus', as it implies, 'I should enjoy enjoyments The preferable reading attaches the epithet *Arthakaman* to *Bhogan*, signifying the enjoyments arising from pleasure and wealth, hence Wilkins renders it, 'I should partake of possessions, wealth and pleasures' Schlegel has added the epithet to *Gurun* the elders of the Kurus, desirous of wealth, Magistris opum avidis and this is allowable

V 7 *Dharma sammudha chetah* Arjun says of himself, his mind is bewildered as to his duty that is whether as a warrior he ought to fight the enemy, or whether as of kin to them he ought to avoid the combat This idea is far from being correctly conveyed by the expression 'religione mentem attonitus' Wilkins has preserved the thought, though he has not expressed it very happily, "my understanding is con

founded by the dictates of my duty " the note he adds, however, shews that he perfectly understood it

V 14 *Mātrāsparśāḥ*, elementorum contactus, is a palpable mistake, committed too in the face of the more correct translation of Wilkins, "sensibility of the faculties", at least with regard to the first of the two terms *Mātrā* is explained by both Sankara and Śridhara, as he renders it, Faculties the *Mātras* they say are those, by which the objects of sense are measured or perceived,* they constitute the *Vritti*, the peculiar practice or faculty of the organs of perception *Sparśa* again, though literally touch or contact, has not that limited sense here it is the exercise of the faculties or their being acted upon** by the objects of their exercise So far Wilkins's translation of the word by sensibility is objectionable, as it is not ability, but action, that is intended

V 39 Krishna, having exposed the folly of Arjuna's regret by arguments drawn from the Sankhya doctrine of the eternity and imperishableness of both matter and spirit, now proceeds to combat his sentiments with the doctrines of the Yoga School "This knowledge thus expounded to you is derived from the Sankhya,—now hear what the Yoga declares, being possessed of which knowledge you shall break at the bonds of action Yoga in the Gita most usually implies the performance of works as subsequently ex

---

* मात्रा आभिर्मीयन्ते शब्दादय Sankara Bhashya

** मात्राणा स्पर्शा शब्दादिभि सयोगा ॥

plained, being only so far modified that the absence of all desire of benefit is indispensable * Sankara asserts that the *Samadhi Yoga*, or performance of certain meditative acts, is also comprised *Sankhya*, as already noticed, is explained by Schlegel Ratiocinium, in our estimation much too loosely, and in like manner we object to his rendering *Yoga*, Devotio, although he follows Wilkins, who renders it Devotion As we however understand devotion, we can scarcely conceive it to be identifiable with the performance of religious ceremonies, pro forma, with an utter disregard of consequences Ritibus Assensus would perhaps better convey the sense of the term, and A ritibus Dissentio, the opposed purport of the Sankhya, but as these phrases would still only convey a partial impression

---

* सिद्धसिद्धो समो भूत्वा समत्व योग उच्यते Being alike indifferent to the attainment or non attainment (of the object of the act), that equality (or indifference) is termed Yoga, v 48

Yoga is also said to be the absence of pain दु खसयोगवियोग योगसज्ञित 6, 23 Sejunctionem a doloris conjugio sciat devotion s nomine designari Again Sankara calls Yoga आत्मनो घटन योगैश्वर्यसामर्थ्य सर्व्वज्ञत्व योगज योग उच्यते ' Restraint of spirit, faculties derived from the accession of superhuman power, omniscience derived from abstract exercises that is called Yoga", and again he describes it as the exercise by which the intellect is delivered up to the supreme deity for the purpose of union, ईश्वरार्पणबुद्धयनुष्ठीयमान योगार्थत्वाद्योग उच्यते In the Linga Purana Yoga is considered *Nirvana* final emancipation or identification with Siva, it is there said also that it cannot be expounded by the learned but the knowledge of it is easily obtained by discipline or the practice of those personal and mental restraints which coerce the senses, and purify the spirit.

of the purport of the terms, we should prefer, as we have before observed, the use of themselves to any translation

In the same stanza also we object to the translation of *Buddhi* by Sententia it is not merely the doctrine or opinion of either school that is communicated to Arjuna, but the result, the knowledge of the divine nature of spirit and matter *Buddhi* therefore is in this place considered by Sankara as synonymous with *Jnana*, a word we should rather have translated by Sapientia, than Scientia, usually preferred by Schlegel, and which, though literally correct, is exceptionable from its extended and in a manner indefinite application on the contrary Sapientia, as a term of the schools, is precisely equivalent to *Jnana* 'Sapientia autem est, ut a veteribus philosophis definitum est, rerum divinarum et humanarum caussarumque, quibus hæ continentur, scientia' Cic de officiis, 6 2

V 42 *Vedavadaratal', Partha, nanyad astiti vadi nah*, "Librorum sacrorum dictis gaudentes, nec ultra quidquam dari affirmantes" We are rather at a loss to understand what the translator intends in this place The original contains not a word about 'giving The passage is simply, "Those who are attached to the doctrines of the Vedas assert, that there is nothing else" that is, they maintain, that the Vedas offer the only path to salvation, sacrifice and prayer are all, there is no other path to heaven There is considerable obscurity in the rest of this stanza, and some faulty translation *Swargapara* is not "sedem apud superos

finem bonorum prædicantes", but sedem apud superos summam felicitatem existimantes, those who hold the paradise of India to be the supreme happiness The translator is also so far wrong, in rendering *Janmakarmaphalapradam* insignes natales tanquam operum præmium pollicentem, that the phrase is general, not restrictive, and "lofty birth" and "reward" are not the simple senses of *Janma*, and *Phala*, which signify only 'birth' and 'fruit or consequence' The dogma alluded to is said to be one, "assigning birth as the consequence of acts " that is, according to the act of an individual in a previous existence, he is born again either to misery or happiness

V 45 The Hindu system arranges all the attributes of spirit in action under three heads or qualities—the *Sattwa*, *Rajas*, and *Tamas* the first comprises the presence of all good and absence of all evil, the last the presence of all evil and absence of all good and the middle one is a mixed quality in which the operation of the affections and passions is strongest, and gives occasional predominance to good and ill In this verse Krishna recommends to Arjuna to eschew the two latter qualities, and to cultivate the first alone, to be *Nirdwandwa*, exempt from the double alternatives of existence, as pleasure or pain &c and to be *Nityasattwastha*, always firm in *Sattwa*, the quality of Sattwa, which is perhaps best rendered purity or excellence Schlegel however translates it essentia, a sense the word bears as derived from *Sat, ens*, being, but certainly not applicable to it as one of the three

gunas That the quality is intended, is obvious from the context, as Sankara explains the term accordingly, सदा सत्त्वगुणाश्रितो भव What the *Sattwaguna* is, is equally indisputable, as we may learn from the following definition, सत्त्व निर्मलत्वात्प्रकाशकमनामय, the Sattwa from its purity is light and tranquillity, 14, 6 Sridhara and Nilakantha, it is true, interpret *Sattwa* by *Dhairya*, firmness, fortitude, but they intend the same thing in fact with the Sattwa quality, or the mens solida of the upright man who is unmoved by ignorance and passion It appears to be the same as the Temperantia or Tranquillitas of the Stoics, whilst the *Rajas* might be expressed by Perturbatio, and *Tamas* by Intemperantia

In the same stanza, *Niryogakshemo bhava* is rendered, expers sollicitudinum esto, following no doubt Wilkins's "Be void of care The compound admits of such a translation, it is true but it is not very precise *Yoga* means here acquisition and *Kshema* preservation, and Krishna recommends to Arjuna to be neither desirous of obtaining what he does not possess, nor of preserving what he possesses *

V 48 The word *Sanga* is we think rather injudiciously interpreted by ambitio as well observed by the translator, it signifies the attachment of the French, "studium vel affectus quo animus vehementer tenetur',

---

* [अनुपात्तस्योपार्जन योग । उपात्तस्य रक्षण क्षेम । See Sankara and Sridhara ad 9 22 Benfey's Sama Veda Gl p 150 Colebrooke's transl of Mitákshara I 4 23]

the eagerness evinced in the attainment of any object, or the close and intense connexion that subsists between the individual and the thing affected It is accordingly rendered in the Trikanda Sesha *Gridhnuta*, cupidity, greediness In the note attached to this passage, Schlegel objects to the etymology of *Sanga*, as given in Wilson's Dictionary, सम्, with, and गम, to go, with the affix ड, and the etymology there is so far defective, that it applies only to the leading sense of the word, i e "meeting, encountering", and to the two last, "union, joining, and the confluence of rivers" —in the remaining sense "desire, cupidity", it would have been as well if the root षञ्ज, to be attached to had been also inserted Schlegel however mistakes when he concludes, that *Sanga* so formed must necessarily be an adjective, for it is given by Amara* as a synonyme of सङ्गम or, as explained by Krishna Swami, सङ्गति, both of which are substantives, and nouns, of the act or action, not names of the agent Again Schlegel proposes to derive *Sanga* from षञ्ज, in preference to *Shanja*, on the principle that the latter root occasionally drops the nasal,—that is true, but whence does षञ्ज ever derive a nasal? for, unfortunately for his theory, all the lists of roots, and the Siddhanta Kaumudí, explain षज by सङ्गे 'embrace association', and षञ्ज by गतौ 'going, motion' He has taxed the author of the Dictionary with confounding

* [Bhanud kshita ad Amarakosha III 3 29 and Lassen's note on the passage in question]

these two roots, but we suspect the charge is urged against the wrong quarter the Kaumudí, the first grammatical authority current, confirms precisely what is there stated, and षञ्ज सङ्गे makes सजति in the 3d person present, while षस्ज गतौ in the same tense and person makes सज्जते and सज्जति We are unable to see any perplexity here, or any deviation from indisputable guides

V 52 A wide difference occurs here in the reading of the two translators, and Wilkins calls *Nirveda* 'knowledge', whilst Schlegel makes it 'ignorantia [neglectus'] It is clear that they cannot both be right, and to a certain extent both are wrong We apprehend, however, that, although Wilkins's translation of the whole passage is no doubt faulty, yet *nirveda* is rather knowledge than ignorance Schlegel's error arises partly out of a spirit of system to which the learned of Europe are too ready to incline —a Pandit however eminent is content to follow established authorities, and admits the law that they have laid down, a European scholar is suspicious of authorities, and carries this so far as not unfrequently to question them without cause Now Amara, Maheswara, Hemachandra, Medinikára, and every other Lexicographer of note, have explained the particle *Nir*, (निर् निश्चयनिषेधयोः) 'certainty, and negation', it being sometimes an affirmative, and sometimes a privative particle We think this might satisfy the most scrupulous, as, although the senses are opposed, the interpretation is positive Schlegel however endeavours sometimes to explain

way the sense of affirmation, as we shall have occasion hereafter to notice, and at others, as in the present case, alters the sense Now all the commentators* agree to interpret *Nirveda* by वैराग्य, the absence of passion properly, but here, indifference But of what nature is this indifference? it is the result of ascertained knowledge (from *Nir*, certainty, and *Veda*, knowing) of the futility of the dogmas of the Vedas, Krishna telling Arjuna, that, when his understanding shall overpass the glooms of infatuation, he will have attained independence, or the knowledge, which will make him independent of all that he has heard, or may have to hear** To say that he shall have attained ignorance of what he has heard or has yet to hear, would be a strange step towards perfection *Srotavya* and *Sruta* imply the lessons of the Vedas that which is to be heard, or is heard Schlegel has made a rather unwarranted addition in explaining it by "disputable doctrine" "Pervenies ad ignorantiam omnium quæ de doctrina sacra disputari possunt vel disputata sunt" He must know that the Vedas collectively are called *Sruti*, the knowledge heard, in opposition to *Smriti*, Law, the knowledge remembered—the one being preserved by traditional communication, from sage to sage, the other being the recollection of previously divulged codes there is no allusion therefore to dis-

---

* [See also Sankara ad Mundaka Up I 2 12]

** यदा ते मोहकलिल बुद्धिर्व्यतिरिष्यति । तदा गन्तासि निर्वेद श्रोतव्यस्य श्रुतस्य च

putatious matters The whole of Krishna's arguments have been directed against the assertors of the sacrificial injunctions of the Vedas, and in the same spirit he assures his friend, that, when he becomes wholly indifferent to the consequences of acts, he will possess a knowledge to which the wisdom of the Vedas will be foolishness

V 89 This stanza is at best rather obscure Wilkins has by far the best notion of it, but has rendered it too literally Schlegel has mistaken the whole purport of the passage, the verse is—विषया विनिवर्त्तन्ते निराहारस्य देहिन । रसवर्जं रसोऽप्यस्य परं दृष्ट्वा निवर्त्तते ॥

"The hungry man loseth every other object but the gratification of his appetite, and when he is become acquainted with the supreme, he loseth even that'—*Wilkins*

"Res sensibus obvie recedunt a mortali abstinente, temperantia ejus insigni animadversa ipse appetitus recedit" *Schlegel* The first half of this version might be allowed to pass unquestioned, but abstinens should be taken in its widest acceptation, as implying one who is engaged in self denial, and refraining from every kind of gratification The latter portion however is altogether erroneous, and not very intelligible temperantia occurs nowhere in the original, and so far from its leading to the supreme, ascetic practices are said in the text, and in the commentary, to be characteristic of a fool or a fiend The translator has here lost sight of the spirit of his original apparently, or he would have adhered closer to his English guide,

who errs only in making that special which is general, being misled by the double sense of *Nirahara*, which means *without food*, or *without seizure or possession*, that is, of the objects of sense The doctrine laid down is, that, however much a person may restrain his inclination towards the natural tendency of the senses, the attractive quality of sensual objects still exists, they continue to be temptations still, successfully a man may resist them but let him once obtain a knowledge of supreme spirit, a correct view of his own nature, and that of the divinity, and he is not only able to resist temptations, but in fact he is exposed to none, every thing that made them seductive wholly disappearing Krishna accordingly says in this stanza —"The objects of sense recede from the incorporated spirit, which refrains from their enjoyment, the taste for them excepted (i e it remains), but this taste or flavour, (affecting the) individual ceases altogether, when he has seen the supreme "*

There are several other passages in this Lecture to which we might take exception, but not to be too minute in our comments, we shall allow them to escape animadversion, and not to confine our remarks to blemishes, shall cite the following passage** in this book as one specimen of many that might be adduced of accurate and spirited interpretation many of the

---

* [This construction, proposed by Śridhara Swami, is against the diction of the Bhag Gita]

** [II, 17 25]

ideas forcibly recall the language of Scipio's dream "Indelebile autem, hoc scias, est illud, a quo Universum hoc expansum deletionem inexhausti istius nemini efficere licet Caduca hæc corpora dicuntur, immutabili spiritu animata, indelebili atque immenso quare pugna, o Bharata! Qui eum arbitratur occisorem, quive eundem censet occisum, hi ambo non recte intelligunt, neque occidit ille, neque occiditur Non nascitur moriturve unquam, non ille exstitit, exsistitve, non exstiturus innatus, immutabilis, æternus ille, priscus, non occiditur occiso corpore Qui novit indelebilem, æternum illum, innatum, inexhaustum, quomodo is homo quempiam occidendum curet, vel ipse occidat? Perinde ac obsoletis vestibus abiectis, novas sumit homo alias, sic abiectis corporibus obsoletis, alia ingreditur nova spiritus Non illum penetrant tela, non illum comburit flamma, neque illum perfundunt aquæ, nec ventus exsiccat Impenetrabilis ille, incombustibilis ille, imperfusibilis ille, nec non inexsiccabilis, perpetuus, omnivagus, stabilis, inconcussus ille atque æternus, invisibilis ille, inenarrabilis ille, immutabilis ille declaratur Quare, quum talem cognoveris, non luctu eum prosequi te oportet"

Lecture 3 If knowledge is superior to works, or the active discharge of moral and religious obligations, then Arjuna very pertinently enquires why Krishna should urge him to a deed so horrible as the slaughter of his relations Krishna replies to his question in this chapter, and shews from positive precept, the impulses of nature and other arguments, that man must neces

surely discharge active duties, taking care only to hold himself indifferent as to their results, and endeavouring, with more subtilty than truth, to establish, that such indifference is the only real abstinence from these actions, a doctrine which, as we have already observed, it is one of the two great objects of the Gita to promulgate We shall not enter so minutely into the questionable passages of the translation of this and the following chapters as we have done in the preceding, as we should otherwise make a very disproportionate claim upon the attention of our readers indeed the celebrity of the translator is our only excuse for devoting so great a portion of our pages to the analysis of this translation

V 15 is explained very differently from the acceptation, in which the commentators concur *Karma Brahmodbhavam* is rendered by Schlegel opus e numine ortum, but *Brahma** does not mean here *numen*, but the *Veda* works originate with the Veda Again, *Brahmaksharasamudbhavam* is not numen e simplici et individuo ortum, a translation the purport of which is not very intelligible, any more than the grounds on which it rests, but "the Veda originates with the Imperishable" The line thus expresses a clear and connected sense which is quite lost in the translation

V 16 *Iha* does not mean in hoc sæculo, but in hoc mundo, and *Aghayuh*, leading a sinful life, is strangely rendered by 'meriste ævo transacto'.

---

* [According to Śankara and Śridhara.]

V 18 *Arthavyapasraya* is not so much ullius commodi expectatio as 'the object on which he may place dependence' of Wilkins *Vyapasraya* is the means or aid, the commodum itself, not the expectation of it

V 35 *Sreyan swadharmo vigunah paradharmat swanushthitat* is rendered, satius est suo officio, etsi deficientibus viribus, fungi, quam alienum officium accurate implere The translation is not correct *Vigunah* is an epithet of *Dharma*, implying void of *Guna*, quality or merit, *Swanushthitat* is also an attributive of *Dharma*, implying well or excellently regulated the passage therefore conveys the doctrine, that a man's own duty, although inferior in degree, is, with respect to himself, superior to another of a more lofty description as, for instance, the servitude of a Sudra is preferable for a Sudra to study, which is the peculiar province of the Brahman

In a note on the 10th verse of this section Schlegel has very justly called in question the accuracy of the form *Prasavishyadhwam*, propagabimini, as such an inflexion is unknown to the verb at the same time all the manuscripts concur in the reading, and it is therefore considered as a poetical license, the word being properly *Prasavishyadhwam*, the first long vowel being made arbitrarily short for the adaptation of the metre *

* [Schlegel in the second edition calls it 'formam quam definire possis terminationem et vim imperativi ad futurum secundum

Lecture I In this discourse Krishna enters upon further explanation of the union of spirit by means of divine knowledge, and endeavours to prove, that it is but that indifference to the consequence of actions, of which he had before treated The performance and non-performance of works, intending in this section social duties, is therefore the same, and disregard of their results is virtually their relinquishment, as in the 20th verse "He who, having abandoned every desire of fruit, is always satisfied and independent, although he may be engaged in action, verily doeth nothing"

V 10 *Manmaya* is better rendered by Wilkins "filled with my spirit", than by Schlegel 'mei similes', the affix मयट् implying made or consisting of, so that *Manmaya* literally means consisting of me, or one with me it is explained by Sankara, those who see no difference in Iswara, or supreme spirit, ईश्वरभेददर्शिन

V 17 and 18, profess to explain what *Karma* or action, and what *Akarma* or inaction are, and which have, as Krishna declares in the stanza preceding, puzzled the wisest His definitions, however, are calculated to fasten rather than untie the knot, and are good specimens of the style, in which the Gita throughout evades the question between social and ascetic practices, the merits of sacrifice, or the claims of ab

---

translatam The commentators on this passage and on Ramy I, 29 95 explain it by the Imperative Modern languages, e g Bengali and Hindi, present similar formations]

straction The passage is somewhat obscure, and it is not wonderful therefore that the translation is not very distinct it is rendered however less clear than it might be by one or two mistakes Schlegel renders the first verse 'ad ipsum opus est attendendum, attendendum quoque ad secessionem ab opere,* attendendum tandem ad otium, obscura est operis ratio' Now in the first place, the *Karma* here discussed refers to active occupation, the duties of social life or of caste, actio would therefore, we think, have been preferable to opus In the next place *Bodhavyam* is not attendendum, it is intelligendum rather, and refers to a noun understood, *Tattwam*, the real nature or character of action, inaction &c Again *Vikarma* is erroneously rendered by secessio ab opere, as it means, as Wilkins correctly translates it, 'improper works', deeds that are prohibited by the Sástras, *Akarma* is secessio ab opere or inactio Otium is a rather equivocal word The second of these two stanzas is thus rendered 'Qui in opere otium cernit, et in otio opus, is sapit inter mortales, is devotus, cunctis operibus peragendis aptus est' Otium and opus are both very objectionable here, as they do not convey the spirit of the original, and therefore heighten the obscurity There is but one position in both assertions, and the doctrine implied is, that, as there is no such thing as individual spirit, those who think they are performing deeds of which they must singly reap the fruit, or those who

* [Quid ed ad opus haud rite impensum]

in like manner think they abstain from works in their individual capacities, are both mistaken. The actions of the first lead to nothing, and the expectations of the latter put them on a level with the former: both are blinded by terrestrial illusion.

V. 23. *Yajnáyácharatah karma samagram pravillyate*, Hominis sacrificii gratia sese accingentis opus integrum quasi evanescit. The translation is literal enough, but it is rather too close to convey the sense of the original, which states that, when a man freed from all desire performs sacrifices, merely to *promote their observation by others*, or preserve them in the world, then those acts are annihilated together with their fruits, that is to say they are not to be considered as acts at all.

V. 25. Divorum porro alii devoti religionem colunt, in theologiæ igne alii religionem ipsa religione denuo sacrificant. The translation here by no means conveys the sense of the original: we doubt indeed whether in this as in many of the doctrinal passages, particularly where verbal subtleties occur, the translator has entertained a precise idea of what he would express. In this passage he has further contributed to the obscurity of the original by rendering *Yajna*, Religio. It is, as he has frequently translated it in other places, sacrifice, and although it may be extended to every ceremonial rite of religion, it cannot be applied in the widest sense of that term. The translator has also lost sight of the antitheses of the two lines, the one of which alludes to the performers of rites for specific

purposes, and the other to the cultivators of divine knowledge who observe rites only as the *means* of its acquirement The sense of the stanza in this point of view is, 'Some observers of acts address sacrifice to the Gods, whilst others* offer up sacrifice with sacrifice in the fire that is identical with spirit,'—that is to say, in the performance of ceremonies they seek only the means of acquiring divine knowledge, and *that* being obtained, they yield or relinquish to it the benefit of all ceremonies, to be consumed by it, as it were by fire

Lect 5 Arjuna, and he is not singular perhaps, is now completely puzzled, and begs Krishna to inform him which is really the best, the performance or abandonment of works Krishna replies to his question by stating that there is no real difference between them, according to the predominant doctrine of the whole treatise that the abandonment of consequences is the real abandonment of works, and that, although the bodily or intellectual functions may be discharged, yet as long as no interest is felt in them the spirit is wholly inactive

V 2 On the word *Nisśreyas*, final emancipation, Schlegel has a note in support of his theory of the meaning of the particle *Nir*, in opposition to the etymology in Wilson's Dictionary (नि for निर्) implying eternity or certainty, and श्रेयस् best, अच् added He

---

* [Sridhara अपरे तु ज्ञानयोगिनो ब्रह्मरूपेऽग्नौ यज्ञेनैवोपायेन ब्रह्मार्पणमित्यादियुक्तप्रकारेण यज्ञमुपजुह्वति यज्ञादिसर्वकर्माणि प्रविलापयन्तीत्यर्थः ।]

maintains that श्रेयस् is not the superlative, but the comparative, and that *Nir* prefixed has a negative sense the compound implying "*That*, than which nothing is better" We should have no great objection to this explanation in this place, although it is probably more ingenious than just *Sreyas* is constantly used in the superlative sense, and in the very passage of Amara* referred to in the Dictionary *Sreyas* occurs, uncompounded, as one synonyme of final beatitude It can not there be regarded as possessing only the force of the comparative degree Again, of the commentators on Amara, Ramasrama explains *Nir* in *Nisśreyas* by *Nitaram*, perpetually, eternally, and Raya Mukuta by *Nischitam*, certain, ascertained, whilst Kshíra Swami** explains *Sreyas* by *atisayena praśastam*, or most excellent We must confess a disposition to lean to authorities like these in preference to the theory of a novice, however plausible it may appear, or however dexterously it may be maintained

The sixth Lecture continues to explain the identity of the relinquishment of works with their performance independent of desire It also recommends the exercise of those practices which constitute the technical Yoga, or postures and gestures by which attention to outward objects is restrained, and the mind devoted to the undisturbed consideration of its own nature Some indication of the other chief doctrines of the

* [Amarak I 1 4 15 See also Sank. ad Kaushit. Up II 14]
** [ad Am K III 58]

laws," *Dharmaviruddhah kamo'smi* The first Schlegel renders by Robur robustorum, but this does not convey the idea of moral strength which the epithet attached to it, 'void of passion or desire', implies The next is however more important, as the sense has the appearance of being the reverse of that which the original intends Nulla lege refrenata* in animantibus Libido sum the desire restrained by no law may indeed be admitted to signify that which there is no law to restrain, but the first impression is that it is desire which does not acknowledge moral control Wilkins renders the passage with tolerable correctness, "I am desire regulated by moral fitness", it is however rather desire not opposed to moral fitness than regulated by it Sankara explains it as the natural desire by which the body is preserved, the natural wants, as hunger, thirst and the like Sridhara gives us in illustration of it the procreation of legitimate offspring **

The close of this section furnishes the subject of the next Krishna states that those who put their whole trust in him, and seek for liberation from infirmity and death, are acquainted with the *Brahma*, the *Adhyatmam*, *karma*, *adhibhutam*, *adhidaivam*, and the *adhiyajnah* and Arjuna asks for an explanation of these terms The explanation is brief, but as they all resolve themselves into Krishna as the *Paramah*

---

* [Lege non impedita 2nd ed]

** [Śank देहधारणमात्राद्यर्थो ऽशनयानादिविषय कामो ऽस्मि। Śridhara स्वदारेषु पुत्रोत्पादनमात्रोपयोगी कामो ऽहम्।]

Purushah, he proceeds to explain the meaning of that expression, which forms the subject of the 8th Lecture

The explanation of these terms is of importance, as conveying a summary of the whole system the literal translation of *Brahma* is the imperishable and supreme *adhyatmam* is the innate disposition, the individuated portion of the soul *karma* is that letting go of the divine particles, which produced the substance of the elements *adhibhutam* is the property of dissolution *adhidaivatam* is Purusha, the embodied male supreme being who occupied the universe before creation and *adhiyajnah* is declared by Krishna to be himself, that is, he as one with all the gods is the object of all adoration We do not think the following translation very accurately conveys these ideas "Essentia simplex ac individua est summum numen Indoles supra spiritum dicitur, animantium genitura efficax emanatio operis nomine significatur, super animantia est natura dividua, Genusque supra divos, supra religiones ego ipse sum "* The attempt at closeness is injurious not only to perspicuity but accuracy, for though *adhi* in all the compounds means supra, *adhyatma* does not mean supra spiritum, it is rather spiritus qui præest, or corpori individuo præsidens

* [2nd ed 'indoles intimus spiritus dicitur, animantium genitura efficax emanatio operis nomine significatur, anima animantium est natura dividua, Genusque numen deorum, auctor religionum ego ipse sum' See on these terms Goldstucker's Sanskrit Dictionary s vv *adhibhuta* and *adhijajna*, Jolly ntgen, Ueber das Gesetzbuch des Manu , Berlin 1863 p 57 ff]

The translation of *Purusha* by Genius, we look upon as happy, although it is not perhaps exactly the sense. It conveys however the spirit of the term, and we prefer that in all cases to the letter.

Lect. 9. contains the pith of the whole doctrine, the royal knowledge, and prince of mysteries, or in other words, as we have already observed, the superior efficacy of faith in Kŕishńa over every other system of worship, or tenor of belief. Śankara admits that this is the scope of the section, or that the sum of the argument is *Vásudevaḥ sarvam*, Kŕishńa is all. Kŕishńa, however, he per force renders *Íswara*, the supreme, or *átmá*, spirit, and then asserts that the Gítá harmonises with the text of the Vedas, *Idam sarvam eva adwitíyam*, 'Spirit was this universe without a second.' There is nothing in the translation to call for any particular remark.

The tenth chapter continues the subject of Kŕishńa's supremacy, or the pre-eminent conditions in which he is to be contemplated, in association with existing objects, as the sun amongst the planets, Kŕishńa amongst the gods, Meru amongst mountains, Gangá amongst rivers, the soul of all beings, and the beginning, middle, and end of all things. These identifications are called *Vibhútayaḥ*, varieties of supreme being, or as Wilkins translates it, "the diversities of the divine nature". Schlegel seems to have been undecided in his choice of an equivalent, and calls *vibhúti* sometimes majestas, sometimes virtus, and at others miraculum, neither of which is precisely applicable.

V 7 presents the terms *Vibhuti* and *Yoga*, both rather stumbling blocks, but particularly the latter However in this place it has clearly no reference to connexion with works, and is confined to the mysterious relation between supreme and individuated spirit Krishna says, एता विभूति योग च यो वेत्ति तत्त्वत । सोऽविकम्पेन योगेन युज्यते नात्र सशय ॥ which Schlegel translates, Qui hanc meam majestatem et facultatem mysticam novit penitus, is indefessa devotione sese devovet* sine ullo dubio This is by no means the purpose of the stanza, the sense of which is that, whoever knows the various conditions of spirit and its connexion with that of the universe, becomes united with mystic powers which identify him with the deity The *Yoga* of the first stanza being the power resulting from the possession of union, may be called the mystic faculty, but in the second place it implies the same thing, and is therefore very inaccurately expressed by devotio

The 11th Section prosecutes the same subject in a manner singular enough Krishna desires Arjuna to look into his mouth, which having done the latter beholds there the whole of creation The metre changes here to the *Upajati* species of the *Trishtubh* verse, a more stately measure, and whatever may be thought of the ideas, there is no disputing the magnificence of the style, the majestic, and at the same time exquisite melodiousness of the verse In general the translation is close and correct

---

* [2nd edit : inconcussa devotione imbuitur]

Lecture 12th is introduced with a question from Arjuna, pertinent enough, 'which class of worshippers is preferable, those who adore Krishna in his visible or invisible form?' The reply which occupies the whole section is after all evasive however, it appears that there are four modes of attaining, final emancipation, absolute knowledge, the exercise of contemplation, the practice of works independent of desire, and simple faith, the latter is placed the lowest in the scale, but the gradation is regulated by the ability of the mortal, for as the result is but one, they are of course equally efficacious *The section is short and the translation* calls for no remark

Lecture 13th is chiefly occupied with the explanation of the distinction between matter and spirit as intended by the terms *Kshetra*, (क्षेत्र) the body, *Kshetrajna*, (क्षेत्रज्ञ) that which knows its nature, *Jneya*, (ज्ञेय) the object to be known, *Jnana*, (ज्ञान) true knowledge, and *Purusha*, (पुरुष) the active, and *Prakriti*, (प्रकृति) the passive principle of creation There is in this section much that is very indistinct and equivocal, and it has given *Sankara* no small trouble to reconcile the doctrines it obscurely expresses with the pure Adwaita theology, in which one only object is admitted Thus in the 13th verse, *Prakriti* and *Purusha*, matter and spirit, are declared to be both without beginning, a doctrine involving the eternity of matter, as maintained by the Sánkhyas and Naiyayikas To get rid of this, Sankara asserts that their eternity is understood of them only as attributes or manifestations of

Iswara, the supreme eternal being, as parts of whose nature, without beginning or end, they may also be called interminable and from the first an explanation which is clearly an evasion, not a solution, of the difficulty

Kshetra, which implies properly a field, expresses the bodily aggregate, rather than the body, or the site of the elementary matter, the senses, the feelings, the passions, and the understanding it is not badly rendered therefore by Terrenum *Kshetrajna* is literally Terreni gnarus, spirit being understood *

V 4 We doubt if *Hetumadbhir vinischitaih* is correctly rendered by 'circa principia rerum versantibus, clare demonstratis' the expression is of a more technical character, and refers to the logical predicates of the texts inculcating divine wisdom, which comprehend motives and proofs Wilkins is more near this sense of the original in his "including arguments and proofs"

In V 5 an exception may be taken to the translation of *Avyaktam* by invisible, although it is literal, but the order of the series in the original leaves no ambiguity, whilst the unfamiliarity of the subject in the translation involves considerable uncertainty Krishna enumerates the eight parts that compose bodily substance, or the five elements, individuality, divine intellect, and the invisible portion This invisible portion we should naturally infer to be spirit,

* [See Jolaentgen I I 1 30]

but then an obvious perplexity arises in considering as one predicate of the *Kshetra* or body that, which is declared to be the faculty by which it is known, the Terrene gnarus as separate from the Terrenum In fact no such confusion is made in the original the *Avyaktam* is not divine spirit, it is the *Mulaprakriti*, the primeval delusion by which terrestrial substances and acts appear to be real, the illusory or passive principle which we may call nature, and which before creation was, and in fact still is, an attribute or portion of the supreme Schlegel has elsewhere termed this principium naturæ, and had he employed the same in this place, all uncertainty would have been avoided

V 6 Amongst the properties or constituent parts of the *Kshetra* here enumerated are *Sanghata* (सघात), *Chetana* (चेतना), and *Dhriti* (धृति) Those are rendered, multiplex conditio, cogitatio, pertinacia, but these are by no means close interpretations, *Sanghata* meaning aggregation, *Chetana* sensation, the collection of the organs and faculties or susceptibility of impressions, and *Dhriti* is the principle by which the whole are preserved from decay, the vis conservatrix naturæ In the long list of terms that ensues, several others might be questioned

We doubt whether the translator has understood the definition V 20 of *Prakriti* or nature by *Karya karana kartritwe hetuh*, which he renders In actu ministerii rerum agendarum principium * The literal

* [2nd edit in actione ministerii corporalis principium]

translation would be, the principle that operates in the agency of the instrumental causes of action but this would be scarcely more intelligible, and the sentence required to have been analytically or paraphrastically rendered the purport being that *prakriti*, nature, is the principle, or rather the cause, by which the combination of passions and feelings with the elementary particles of matter was effected, and the universal frame independent of spirit was evolved, the passions and perceptions incident to the human being would however be inert without the presence of spirit, they therefore still constitute the passive principle which requires association with the active principle before their influences are felt or exerted, this active spirit is the *Purusha*, the male or genius, the subsequent description of which is very well rendered in the following passages *

Genius in doloris ac voluptatis perceptione principium declaratur Genius naturæ infusus nimirum particeps fit naturalium qualitatum propensio erga qualitates causa est generationum ejus e bono vel malo utero Spectator monitorque, sustentator, perceptor, magnus dominus, summus spiritus quoque dicitur in hoc corpore Genius ille eximius

The 14th Section treats of the three *Gunas* or properties of nature, the *Sattwa*, *Rajas*, and *Tamas*,

* पुरुष सुखदुःखानां भोक्तृत्वे हेतुरुच्यते ॥ पुरुष प्रकृतिस्थो हि भुंक्ते प्रकृतिजान्गुणान् । कारणं गुणसङ्गो ऽस्य सदसद्योनिजन्मसु ॥ उपद्रष्टानुमन्ता च भर्त्ता भोक्ता महेश्वर । परमात्मेति चाप्युक्तो देहे ऽस्मिन्पुरुष परः ॥

whose leaves are the Vedas, &c Wilkins has mistaken the object of the comparison, and has substituted the "incorruptible being" for 'the world' he was probably misled by the term *Avyayam*, unexpended, perpetual, which however is only an epithet of the tree, as meaning the *Sansara* or world Schlegel has assigned the adjective to its proper substantive, but his translation affords no clue to the purport or object of the simile, he calls the tree Ficum religiosam *quandam*, the last condition being an accessory to his text, and shewing that he was not quite certain what the resemblance referred to besides this, there is considerable mysticism in the whole section, and though rendered literally enough, and with a few exceptions accurately enough, by the translator, it is still very unintelligible from the want of annotations *

The 16th Section is upon the difference between good and evil natures, and an attack upon the professors of an adverse creed rather than any further elucidation of the doctrine of the Gita It is entitled *Daivasura-sampad-vibhaga-yoga*, the doctrine of the apportionment of divine and demoniac condition Wilkins renders it good and evil destiny, and Schlegel, following him most probably, explains it divina et daemoniaca sors The latter term is no doubt preferable, for it may be questionable how far destiny is properly in-

* [These have been amply supplied in the 2nd edition *See also* A Kuhn, 'Die Herabkunft des Feuers Berlin 1859, p 193 ff W Mannhardt, Germanische Mythen' Berlin 1858, p 53 ff]

ally intended as the beings of the demoniacal order Sankara states them to be the members of the Lokáyatika sect, a sect which was a division of the Baudhas *

The 17th Section is upon the three kinds of faith, or faith as influenced by the prevalence of the three Gunas severally, and all acts, whether of the ceremonial or abstractive class, performed without faith, are declared to be *Asat*, non existent and null

The question put by Arjuna which leads to this exposition is, what is the condition or classification of those who worship in faith without regard to the rules of the Sástras? *Nishtha*, rendered Statio by Schlegel, and guide by Wilkins, is rather *Avastha*, or *Ásraya*, manner or place of being, and the 'Legis scriptæ præceptis neglectis' conveys perhaps more than is intended by the text, as it is the inattention to forms or ceremonial rites, rather than to legal or moral precept, that is alluded to

In V 10 we think the term *Yatayama* is imperfectly rendered by vapidum it is literally that of which the time is past, but is described as signifying food illdressed, or that which has been suffered to get half cold before it is eaten *Paryushitam*, stale, is omitted altogether, and it is similarly left out, or at least misplaced, in the English translation

V 19 *Mudhagrahena* is the person, not the thing,

* [? Comp Colebrooke's Essays p 259, and Zeitschrift der Deutschen morgenl Ges XIV, 590]

it is he who assents to a foolish doctrine, and not ex mepto commento only

In V 26 we are disposed to question the translation of the explanation given of the term *Sat,* which is there said to signify the properties of what is real and righte ous and all excellent acts It is not therefore merely said or used 'de veritate ac honestate', but it actually imports the abstract properties of being and excel lence, and the term essentia, which has been rather exceptionally applied to the *Sattwa Guna,* would have been very correctly substituted in this place for veri tas whilst, in lieu of honestas, prestantia would have been more apposite Again, *prasaste karmani* should be 'in', not 'de', laudabili opere, for in the marriage ceremony and other rites the formula '*Om tat sat*' is repeated, and it is the repetition of *Sat* on such occa sions that is here especially alluded to, conformably to the tenor of the two preceding stanzas In the fol lowing stanza *Karma tadarthiyam* is of disputable translation the word *tat,* that, being applicable either to what has gone before, sacrifice, &c , or to *Brahma* or supreme spirit Schlegel follows the first, Wilkins the second interpretation we are rather disposed to prefer the latter

The eighteenth and concluding section is a sum mary of the doctrines of the Gita, and explains particu larly what is intended by the abandonment of works This is, as before, resolved into relinquishment of con sequences, and it is declared, that acts of worship, mortification, and charity are not to be forsaken, nei

ther are the duties of caste and condition to be neglected it is only indispensable, that all hope of benefit from the discharge of these obligations shall be utterly discarded, and this, with trust in Krishna as the supreme, secures emancipation from corporal existence

V 13 The word *Kritanta* has not been understood by the translator He has rendered *Sankhye kritante proktani* by 'rationali demonstrationi explicata', but *Kritante* is not an epithet of *Sankhye* it is the denomination of a different school of philosophy, or as Wilkins accurately translates the passage, 'declared in the Sankhya and Vedánta Sastras', *Kritanta* meaning literally the end of works, or the Philosophy which crowns the previous observances enjoined by the Veda

In the following stanza again *adhishthanam* has been misunderstood it does not mean regimen,* but site, receptacle, or in other words the body as the seat of the elements and faculties five instrumental causes being necessary, it is said, to the accomplishment of all acts, viz place, agent, instrument, active effort, and the will of God, not as Schlegel writes, fatum

In the specification of the different kinds of knowledge in verse 21 and 22, the translation is far from distinct or correct Knowledge is affected by the three gunas in conjunction with the *sattwa guna* it recognises the universality and identity of spirit in all states, this is the doctrine of the Vedanta and of the Gíta When influenced by the *rajo guna,* it contemplates

* [2nd edition]

spirit as individualised by eternal impressions, and when associated with the *tamo guna*, it limits spirit by its connexion with matter, and gives it only local and temporary existence the stanzas conveying these notions relatively to the two last qualities run thus "That knowledge which by the individuality of bodies conceives distinct properties and forms (of spirit) in all being, that is knowledge influenced by passion But that knowledge which attaches itself to a single object as to the whole, which springs from no cause, which possesses not the truth, and is contemptible, that is considered as the knowledge that is affected by darkness" The passage is thus rendered in the Latin translation Singularum autem quæ cognitio varios existendi modos peculiares novit in omnibus quæ existunt, hanc *cognitionem* scias esse impetuosam Quæ vero ad singulum negotium applicata est, quasi sit universitas rerum, principiis carens, veri summæ haud *consentaneæ atque angusta*, ea *cognitio* caliginosa nuncupatur

An emendation is made in the last stanza of the poem, and Schlegel reads the second line *Tatha śrir vijayo bhutir dhruvanitir matir mama*, hic felicitas victoria, principatus, cuncta ea stabilia Sic stat sententia The last phrase would at any rate be so far incorrect that it omits 'mea' Sanjaya, the speaker, giving it only as *his* conviction that all the blessings enumerated result from Krishńa's presence Instead of *dhruvanitir*, stabilia, however, the common and no doubt correct reading is *dhruva*, Fortitudo, and *nitir*,

Consilium, *niti* being detached by all the commentators, and explained by its cognate synonyme *naya* royal leading, state policy or the wisdom of administration Although, therefore, the emendation is plausible, and according to European taste might be an improvement in the construction of the sentence, it cannot be admitted in the face of all concurrent testimony against its necessity

We have now completed our survey of Schlegel's translation of the Bhagavad Gita It will be seen that we have been able to point out many questionable and defective passages in the translation, and we might, if so disposed, have extended their number With very few exceptions however the criticisms are merely verbal, and the instances in which the sense of a passage is materially misapprehended are by no means frequent that this should be the case, is we think highly creditable to the translator The subject is abstruse, and the manner of treating it not always perspicuous, and that the translation should have been so generally correct, is therefore matter of more surprise, than that it should have been occasionally erroneous Schlegel also mentions that he was, as we have noticed, not possessed of any commentaries on the work, and this is evident, for many of the faulty passages would no doubt have been given correctly if he could have had the advantage of the light thrown upon their purport by the observations of the annotators In some of the latter books we can trace a partial reference to *Sridhara Swámi*, the circumstances

of which are explained in the preface to the translation, but the references are not frequent and leave to the translator the merit of independent interpretation On the whole therefore we are of opinion, that the translation of the Bhagavad Gitá is not unworthy of Schlegel's reputation, and that he is entitled to that rank amongst oriental scholars, which he has long enjoyed amongst the scholars of the west

In entering so largely as we have done upon the analysis of the doctrines of the Gíta, we have not had in view only the necessity of their being understood in order that the character of the work might be duly appreciated, but we have wished to direct the attention of our readers to those suggestions which the Hindu dogmas are calculated to inspire It is impossible to avoid noticing in the double doctrine of the Gítá an analogy to the double doctrine of the early Christian church, and the same question as to the merits of contemplative and practical religion engendered many differences of opinion and observance in the first ages of Christianity These discussions, it is true, grew out of the admixture of the platonic philosophical notions with the lessons of Christianity, and had long pervaded the east, before the commencement of our era it would not follow, therefore, that the divisions of the Christian Church originated the doctrine of the Hindus, and there is no reason to doubt that in all essential respects the Hindu schools are of a much earlier date at the same time, it is not at all unlikely that the speculations of those schools were

re-agitated and re-modified in the general stimulus which Christianity seems to have given to metaphysical enquiry, and it is not impossible that the attempts to model the ancient systems into a popular form, by engrafting on them, in particular, the vital importance of faith, were indirectly influenced by the diffusion of the Christian religion It is highly desirable that this subject should be further investigated, as besides the illustration of the history of the Hindu religion and literature to be derived from it, it is of some importance to the early annals of our Church, and still more to the history of philosophy

XIII.

PREFACE

TO THE

SANSKRIT DICTIONARY

(1st edition. Calcutta· 1819)

THE cultivation of the Sanskrit language by European scholars has been hitherto much impeded by the absence of such assistance as that which is now proffered them. The elements of the language have been fully and distinctly developed and explained, and they have been studied, in a few instances, with a zeal proportioned to the difficulty of the task, and a success that could scarcely have been anticipated from the arduousness of the attempt and inadequacy of the means: in general, however, the want of a Dictionary has tended to deter application, and retard advancement, and has proved a serious obstacle to the intimate and extended acquirement of a language, which holds a most important place in literary history, and should be an object of primary interest to all who are charged with the government and happiness of Hindus.

To supply a deficiency as fatal to nascent curiosity, as embarrassing to study more advanced, the present translation of an extensive original compilation has been prepared. The original work was commenced

shortly after the institution of the College of Fort William, for the use of which it was designed, being evidently connected with the objects and interests of that excellent establishment Having been necessarily entrusted to native scholars, and being a task not very familiar to their literary habits, it is not surprising that much time should have been expended upon its execution, and that a delay more than commensurate with the extent and value of the work should have occurred it was not finished till the year 1809, under the final superintendence of the late Raghumani Bhattacharya, and then constituted, although far from *complete*, *the only compilation in the Sanskrit language* to which the name of Dictionary could with propriety be applied

The Koshas of the Sanskrit Lexicographers, of which the best, the Amara Kosha, has been published with a translation by Mr Colebrooke, are with a few exceptions mere vocabularies their contents are classed according to analogy of import, and distributed into sections or chapters, according to the judgment or fancy of the author, and the synonymes of each signification are then strung together in a metrical combination, in which they take the situation that rhythm and quantity prescribe The inconvenience of this arrangement is obvious it is not always easy in the first instance to know in what division of the work the word we are in quest of is to be found, when this is ascertained, the discovery of the stanza or line in which it occurs is an occupation of some time and

trouble, and when in imagination we have reached the goal, and attained the situation where the object of our search should be, the amalgamation of Sanskrit vocables by the laws of Sandhi, or the euphonous combination of letters, frequently renders the extraction of the individual term a task that requires more skill and experience than a student, nay even a Pandit, is found to possess This last difficulty is of invariable occurrence, but in some Koshas, and in the *Nanartha* chapters of all, or those chapters which treat of words possessing various senses, an approach is made to an alphabetical arrangement and the form of a Dictionary The alphabetical arrangement, however, is in some cases only partial, as in the Amara Kosha, where words terminating with the same final letter are contiguously placed, but they are then disposed at random in others, as in Ajayapála, the initial letter is the connective characteristic, but there, as in the former case, the arrangement goes no farther In the Medini again, which is exclusively appropriated to homonymous terms, a methodical system is adopted, perplexing from its fastidious exactness The vocables are in that compilation arranged first according to their final letters, they are then classed agreeably to the number of syllables they contain, and they are then placed in an order, which is in general strictly alphabetical The method adopted in the Medini, of arranging words according to their final letters and syllabic extent, is the prevailing plan of the Nánártha Koshas, but that work, and the last chapter of Hemachandra, are the

only ones in which much attention is paid to their subsequent disposition, and these vocabularies therefore, although consulted with more facility than those which are described above, still present many difficulties to the practised scholar, and are of little value or advantage to the early student

The Sanskrit vocabularies still in use are in considerable number they repeat each other of course, but each has in general made some additions to the labours of its predecessors, and is consequently deserving of reference the words of the language are thus scattered through a variety of authorities, none of which can be readily consulted or understood with ease

To collect these different authorities into one compilation, and arrange their united contents in an accessible shape, were the objects of the work undertaken for the use of the College, and to these were added the citation of the authority, and the synonymes there given, the specification of the genders of nouns, and the etymological analysis It was written in the Bengalí character, and occupied four large folio volumes

A copy of the Dictionary thus described came into my possession shortly after I commenced my Sanskrit studies, and I anticipated the most valuable assistance to them from such a source I found, however, that it comprehended in its etymological details, and lengthened quotations of synonymes, much more than I then required, and that from its unwieldy size it was

inconvenient and embarrassing in use I therefore effected its conversion into a more commodious form, and prepared a translation of its abbreviated contents for my private reference I state these circumstances to obviate the charge of presumption in engaging at so early a period, and with such inadequate powers, in a task of this nature, and for undertaking which at all this explanation will account —I may further add, that even at this time I was encouraged in the attempt by the approbation of the late zealous and accomplished Orientalist Doctor John Leyden, whose own unwearied ardour in the prosecution of Asiatic literature delighted to cherish in others the faintest dawn of a congenial spirit

Upon the completion of my task, circumstances led to the communication of its results to Mr Colebrooke, a name which in Hindu literature and science carries with it a weight and authority that all must bow to and by his advice I was induced to revise my labours, and to hope that they might be rendered serviceable to the study of Sanskrit lore How far that hope is likely to be realized, depends now upon the opinion of the Public, but it may be necessary to the accuracy of its decision to be apprised of the intentions I proposed to effect and the means I had of executing them, so that I may not be unjustly censured for failing in a project I never entertained, or imperfectly accomplishing a task that my materials were incompetent to perform

The preparation of the Dictionary as it now ap-

I cannot associate the name of any one of them with my own, as a partner in the little credit I may hope to derive from the present publication

The plan of the original compilation, including the contents of the vocabularies alone, left the work exceedingly defective the Roots of the language are all excluded from those collections, as are most technical terms and words of common occurrence, and none of these accordingly were comprised in Raghumani's Dictionary I am disposed, indeed, to question the expedience of the primary plan, and to conceive that a more useful Lexicon might have been drawn up from the classical compositions of the best Hindu writers, instead of deriving it from the Koshas only At the same time, as these last are the received authorities of all India, and as the interpretations resting on general writings may be contested, as they are also perpetually cited in the ablest commentaries, and their omission might have given undue importance to their supposed contents, it was absolutely necessary to comprehend within the scope of the work as many of them as were procurable To have added to these authorities the general body of Hindu compositions would have involved an amount of labour, cost and time, and a voluminous extent of preparation, which the state of Sanskrit study does not yet require, and perhaps does not permit, and it is therefore with some hesitation that I suggest any objections to the original plan To remedy its deficiencies at all was obviously not incumbent upon one who appeared in the humble

one view all the information we possess at present of those writers who are celebrated as lexicographers by the Hindus

The *Amara Kosha*, or vocabulary of Amara Sinha, is a compilation too well known in Europe to need any particular description It is in India the vocabulary most generally celebrated, and of the widest circulation, being a work of unquestionable authority in all the schools, and with every sect The author, by his appellation *Sinha*, would seem to have belonged to the *Kshatriya* or military tribe, but it may merely designate his eminence, in which sense it is often conjoined to words in like manner, though in Amara Deva, another appellation by which he is known, the latter term is a Brahmanical surname, yet it may be merely an epithet alluding to his superior or divine merit He is generally, and apparently with reason, considered to have been a follower of *Buddha*, although this is denied by one of his late commentators, Rámáśrama, and all tradition concurs in enumerating him amongst the learned men who, in metaphorical phraseology of the Hindus, are denominated the "*nine gems* of the court of Vikramáditya

The era at which the author of the Amara Kosha flourished, connected as it is by these long and universally current traditions with a period of peculiar splendour in the literary annals of India, is an object of profound interest to ascertain it within any reasonable bounds would fix the date of a number of celebrated compositions, whose age is involved at present

in utter obscurity, and would determine the time and existence of a monarch, of whom, notwithstanding he furnishes an epoch by which Hindu dates are still regulated, the accounts are contradictory and perplexed How far it is practicable to effect this object, will best appear from the following considerations, the extent of which will find a sufficient excuse in the important associations which are involved in the result Authorities which assert the contemporary existence of Amara and Vikramáditya might be indefinitely multiplied, and those are equally numerous which class him amongst the "*nine gems* The specification of these worthies, including the name of Amara Sinha, occurs however in a verse which appears in a great measure traditionary only, as I have not been able to trace it to any authentic source, although it is in the mouth of every Pandit, when interrogated on the subject It has been published by Mr Bentley, in his Essay on the Hindu systems of Astronomy, in the 8th volume of the Asiatic Researches, and as it is sufficient for the purposes of the present enquiry I shall here insert it *

धन्वन्तरिक्षपणकामरसिंहशङ्कु
वेतालभट्टघटकर्परकालिदासा ।

* As at e Researc l es VIII 249 It may be observed that in this verse as printed in t l e Researc l es t l e name o f Ghatakar para is m sspelt Gl atakarpura t l e name is itself a poetical one and t l e meaning of it with the passage in wl ich it originally oc curs are g ven in Mr Colebrooke s Essay on Sanskrit and Prákrit l oetry As atic Researc l es X 402 [M sc. Essays II 75]

ख्यातो वराहमिहिरो नृपते सभाया
रत्नानि वै वररुचिर्नव विक्रमस्य ॥

"Dhanwantari, Kshapanaka, Amara Sinha, Sanku, Vetala Bhatta, Ghatkarpara, Kalidasa, the celebrated Varahamihira, and Vararuchi, were the nine gems in the court of the Monarch Vikrama"

From the identity of some of the names, contained in the above stanza, with some which occur in a work entitled the *Bhoja Prabandha*, a collection of literary anecdotes relating to the prince of Dhara named *Bhoja*, and from its being undoubtedly true that the term Vikrama is a title rather than a proper name, and applied in Indian history to many different princes, it has been inferred that the Vikrama mentioned in the stanza is either Bhoja himself, or his immediate successor whose name is said to have been Vikrama, and that the "*nine gems*" flourished during the reigns of these two princes, being first in the council of Raja Bhoja, and afterwards in that of Vikramáditya, his successor * The reign of Bhoja is placed by Mr Bentley at the end of the tenth, and beginning of the eleventh century, or from 982 to 1082,† and by Major Wilford Bhoja's death is placed in the year 977 or 982 at latest * In either case, if Amara, and the other writers enumerated in the verse, were contemporary with Bhoja the golden age of Hindu literature will be transferred from the century preceding the

---

* Asiatic Researches VIII 243 Asiatic Researches IX 157

† Asiatic Res VIII 243

Christian era to which it is usually referred, or the commencement of the era of Vikramaditya, to a comparatively modern period, and be not much more than eight centuries remote

The accuracy of this conclusion, opposed as it is by the concurring and consistent traditions of the country, and by a belief that has existed, unaltered and unassailed, for many centuries, cannot be unhesitatingly admitted, especially when upon investigation it appears to have been advanced upon grounds of a slight and frail texture in themselves, and loosely or partially examined

The first subject of doubt is the authenticity and weight of the stanza, which is given to us, as the authority for the contemporary existence of the individuals it particularises, no mention is made of the work whence it is extracted, nor the writer to whom it is ascribed, nor are we furnished with any data by which to judge of the claims it possesses on our credit * In truth, it appears to be little more than traditionary, as although familiar to every Pandit, I never met with one who could tell me its origin or author It is attributed by some to the *Vikrama Charitra*, but they have only asserted this upon report, and I have not been able to procure a copy of that work in order to

---

* [Prof F E Hall was the first to assign the verses in question to the author of the Jyotirvidabharana a modern composition See his edition of Wilson's Vishnupurana I, p VII IX, comp also Bhau Daji in Journal As Soc Bombay Vol VI, p 25 ff]

verify the assertion, it may be doubted indeed whether the entire work exists, as Major Wilford at Benares could not meet with it, and was indebted to extracts supplied by Colonel Mackenzie from an imperfect manuscript procured in the Peninsula * If the statement be correct, however, it adds but little strength to the argument, as the *Vikrama Charitra*, like the *Sinhasana Dwatrinsati*, and *Vetala Panchavinsati*, is a collection of mere fables, and of no historical weight As a composition of apparently modern date, it is probably a mere transcript of some popular tradition in the list of Vikramaditya's "*nine gems*", and we are therefore obliged to assail this tradition with the instruments itself supplies, and derive from traditionary evidence our only proof, that all such evidence is unworthy of belief If the verse under discussion be a proof that Amara was the contemporary of any of the literary characters there named, it proves also that he was contemporary with *Raja Vikrama*, and in order to be consistent in the argument which reduces Amara's antiquity, it becomes necessary to affix the name of the monarch to some other than the original Vikramaditya to whom it is usually assigned That the enumeration is not entirely accurate, may be inferred from its comprising Vararuchi as well as Amara, as the former is considered by the commentators as one of Amara's authorities, and necessarily

* Asiatic Researches X 30 [Mackenzie Collection I 343 ff Journal Asiatique, IV^me^ Serie VI, 288 ff Lassen, Ind Alt. II 759]

therefore his predecessor, but as it is consistent with general belief, we need not place any stress on this exception That by Vikrama however is intended either *Raja Bhoja* or his successor, may confidently be denied

The principal argument for placing the nine writers in the court of Bhoja is supposed to be furnished by the *Bhoja Prabandha*, a work in which it is said, that the "*nine gems*" are stated to have flourished under that prince, and that they are specified as the very persons named in the list above cited assertions of which the first is untrue, and the second but partially correct

The *Bhoja Prabandha* is a work of no estimation nor authority its purport is the commemoration of the liberal patronage which men of letters experienced from Bhoja, the sovereign of Dhara or Dhar in Malwa, and it introduces a number of the most celebrated writers in India as interlocutors in short dialogues with each other, or with the prince, in which they are supposed to display peculiar readiness of wit, brilliancy of imagination, or beauty of expression * It might have been conceived to hold the character of a Sanskrit Anthology, or a collection of 'Elegant Extracts', had not the author neglected the variety of characteristic selection for the sameness of original

* [Prof F E Hall justly observes It is high time to give up speaking of this prince as a great patron of literature His pretensions to be so considered rest on the frailest foundation possible Bengal Journal for 1862 p 13]

composition According to Mr Bentley it was written by *Vallala Sena*,* a prince who lived in the twelfth century † Mr Ward says, it was written by Bhoja himself, which is an evident inaccuracy ‡ One copy in my possession agrees so far with the first of these statements, as to term the writer *Vallala Pandita;* but another, and a more correct copy denominates him *Vallabha Pandita* The last is a name of no note, nor are any data given for conjecturing his age, but from the names of authors contained in the work, whose dates are ascertained, it is clear that Vallabha Pandita, if a correct appellation of the writer, could not have composed it earlier than the age of *Vallala Sena,* and neither of these authors therefore can be supposed peculiarly well qualified to report conversations, which they describe as having been held some centuries before, and the whole is a fiction of no historical value If any weight can be attached to it, it can only be supposed as intended to give an account of the writers who were distinguished in the three or four centuries preceding the Author's own time, and cannot be admitted as an accurate notice of those of any particular age or reign We have proofs of this indeed in the contemporary association of individuals, who we know lived at distant intervals, and Maheswara whose date is A D 1111, and Madhava who

---

* Asiatic Researches VIII, 244 † Ibid V, 61

‡ Ward on the History, Religion, and Literature of the Hindus, I, 516

wrote about A D 1340, are both present in the court of Bhoja, who himself died at the latest in 1082 such being the consistency and the accuracy of a record, which has been supposed to establish for the works of "Varáha, Amara Sinha, Kalidasa, and Vararuchi an antiquity of little more than seven hundred years "*

The general unfitness of the Bhoja Prabandha to be regarded as an authority, which has thus been pointed out, might be sufficient to show, that no inference of Amara's existing at Bhoja's court as drawn from the Bhoja Prabandha is entitled to our attention, but as an object of literary discussion, and to shew the spirit in which some part of this enquiry has been conducted, I must be permitted to dwell a little longer on the subject

The most correct copy of the work I have been able to procure, and which was brought by my friend Captain Price from Benares, I have perused with the closest attention In no part of it is it asserted that there were "nine gems" at Bhoja's court, nor do the names of more than two of those *nine gems* occur in it Individually and successively introduced as interlocutors in the dialogue, the different writers are very

---

* [See Journal As Soc Bombay, VI, 23 Prof Hall in the preface to his edition of the Vasavadatta p 7, who calls the author of the Bhoja Prabandha *Ballala Misra* Lassen, Ind Alt , III 836 ff Prof Aufrecht (Catal Sanscr MSS I 151) places the Bhojaprabandha at the end of the 16th century, which is more probable than the 13th to which M Pavie (Journal Asiatique, V^me^ Série IV, 429) assigns it]

numerous, but amongst them the only name that occurs in the stanza above given is that of *Kalidasa* we have however in the following enumeration of Bhoja's learned men one other appellative which may be identified with one in the verse

> अथ धारानगरे न कोऽपि मूर्खो निवसति। क्रमेण पञ्चशतानि सेवन्ते विदुषा श्रीभोजम्। वररुचिसुबन्धुवाणमयूररामदेवहरिवंशशङ्करकलिङ्गकर्पूरविनायकमदनविद्याविनोदकोकिलतारेन्द्रप्रमुखाः।*

"Then there was no blockhead in the city Dhára, but five hundred learned men severally attended on Sri Bhoja, as Vararuchi, Subandhu, Vana, Mayura, Ramadeva, Harivansa, Sankara, Kalinga, Karpura, Vináyaka, Madana, Vidyavinoda, Kokila, Tarendra, and others,"—a very different series of names, and authors, from the 'nine gems' we have enumerated, with the single apparent exception of Vararuchi

It is now perfectly well understood, that in India identity of name is by no means identity of person, and that the celebrity of any particular denomination is the cause of its being assumed by many besides its original possessor In the history of the Mogul empire in Hindustan many Vikramadityas or Bickermajits are found amongst their tributary Rájas, and at this moment many *Kalidasas* are to be met with in India as poets at the courts of men of opulence and rank, as if they expected to inherit with these attributes of their

---

* [In M T Pavie's edition p 21 and v I p 131 Journal Asiatique, I l., p 396]

illustrious prototype some spark of his divine spirit That there were at least *two* eminent bards of this name, is the general opinion of Hindu scholars, and the belief is borne out by the internal evidence of the compositions ascribed to Kalidása, which have every mark of having been written at different periods, both of time and taste The existence of a Kálidasa at the court of Bhoja is therefore no argument against Amara's being contemporary with another bard of that name, or to their both having flourished long anterior to the reign of that prince *

That the name of *Vararuchi* has in like manner been applied to different individuals, might fairly be inferred from the practice thus described; but we have in this case a remarkable confirmation of our conjectures, and find, from the varying statements of several writers, that two, if not three, persons of this denomination are celebrated in the literary history of the Hindus.

In the *Katha Sarit Sagara*, the same work in substance as the Vrihat Katha, and by the same author Somadeva, who declares that his abridgement has omitted no essential part of his own original, the ancient Grammarian *Katyayana* is said to be the same person as Vararuchi, and to be called indiscriminately by either name The opening of this work, in the usual style of Hindu story-telling, introduces us to

---

* [See Journal Bombay As Soc Vol VI, 19ff , 207ff Lassen, Ind Alt , II, 1157ff Weber s ' Malavika und Agnimitra" Berlin 1856, Introduction]

superhuman agency a demi-god named Pushpadanta, an attendant upon Śiva, has incurred the displeasure of his irascible mistress Durgá, and is condemned to expiate his offence by wearing for a certain time the human form, in obedience to this sentence, he appears upon earth as a learned Brahman, named indifferently Katyáyana or Vararuchi, तत स मर्त्यवपुषा पुष्पदन्त परिभ्रमन् । नाम्ना वररुचि किञ्च कात्यायन इति श्रुत ॥*

Proceeding a few pages, we find Katyáyana narrating his story to the Brahmans Vyádi and Indradatta, and he tells them that his birth was proclaimed by a voice from heaven, in the following stanza

एकश्रुतधरो जातो विद्या वर्षादवाप्स्यति ।
किञ्च व्याकरण लोके प्रतिष्ठा प्रापयिष्यति ॥
नाम्ना वररुचिर्लोके तत्तदर्थी हि रोचते ।
यद्यद्वर भवेत्किञ्चिदित्युक्त्वा वागुपारमत् ॥†

"A Srutadhara is born who shall acquire knowledge from Varsha (a foolish Brahman so named), and shall give perfection to grammatical science amongst men something of whatever is excellent (varam) shines (rochate) in him, and therefore he shall be known in the world by the name Vararuchi Having thus spoken the voice ceased"

That Vararuchi, alias Katyáyana, was a different person from the Vararuchi of Bhoja's court, will scarcely be disputed the latter is regarded as the Purohita, or family priest, of the monarch, and is little known as an author He is said to have written the

* [Tar II, 1] † [Tar II 69 70]

garh, or rather Vrihat Katha, is not a much better guide than the Bhoja Prabandha, and that a collection of idle stories is bad historical evidence It must be remembered, however, that those tales are not of Somadeva's invention he has only the merit of telling them in his own way, and of having collected them together from various quarters Thus we have most of the legends relating to Vikrama, which constitute the Sinhasana Dwatrinsati, and Vetala Panchavinsati, and we have also a very considerable portion of the Hitopadesa, or Panchatantra, comprised in this selection such as the tales are, therefore, they are of remote origin and existence, and are at least faithful records of the state of popular belief many ages ago The compilation itself is of some antiquity Major Wilford states that it is to be considered to be between 6 and 700 years old, and this antiquity is corroborated by the mention* of the work made by *Govarddhana*, the author of the *Sapta Sati*, who flourished probably in the twelfth century Now seven centuries would bring the Vrihat Katha very near to the reign

---

* Catalogue of oriental works presented to the Royal Society by Lady Jones, No 15 Sir William Jones' works XIII 409 The original verse is

श्रीरामायणभारतबृहत्कथाना कवीन्नमस्कुर्म
त्रिस्रोता इव सरस्वा सरस्वती स्फुरति यैर्भिन्ना ॥

thus rendered by Sir William Jones we do homage to the poets who composed the Ramayana the Mahabharata and the Vrihat kathá by whom delightful eloquence blazes forth divided like the river with three streams [F E Hall in the Preface to his edition of the Vásavadattá p 2ª]

of Bhoja himself, and if the date of that reign has been ascertained with any correctness, the prince and his minister must have been characters familiar to the recollection of the author, that they were so, and consequently not yet objects of traditionary fiction, may be inferred from no notice being taken of them in any of the fables contained in the collection At all events, if there had been a Vararuchi in Bhoja's court, it is not likely that at so recent a period he should have been confounded with the ancient grammarian Katyáyana nor would Somadeva, or any of the old fablers, have asserted, what all their contemporaries could contradict, that Bhoja's Vararuchi lived prior to the birth of Sátavahana or Sálivahana, the founder of the Sáka era, an antiquity which is assigned to him in one of the early chapters of the Vrihat Kathá Whatever therefore we may think of Vararuchi and Kátyayana being identified, it is very clear that a writer of the former name did precede the Vararuchi, who appears to have flourished in the reign of Bhoja, and the earlier of the two is most probably intended by the tradition which makes him the contemporary of Vikrama and Amara Sinha *

I have thus shewn that the supposed coincidence

* [Lassen Ind Alt II 1156, IV 805, Muller's Ancient Sanskrit Lit, 239 ff Cowell's edition of the Prákrita Prakasa, Preface Goldstucker's 'Panini London 1861, p 81 ff, Weber's 'Indische Studien', V, 93 ff, James d'Alwis 'Introduction to Kachchayana's grammar of the Pali language Colombo 1863 p XXXVI ff]

of the persons in the verse which assigns the '*nine gems*' to Vikrama, and those enumerated in the Bhoja Prabandha, has no satisfactory existence, and that, except in the two doubtful cases I have specified, it has no existence at all as far therefore as depends upon the Bhoja Prabandha, we have no reason to consider its hero as the same prince with the Vikrama of the verse, nor that Amara Sinha lived at so comparatively recent a period as his reign That the latter was not the case, however, we have other and more probable testimony

An inscription found by Mr Wilkins at Buddha Gaya, of which he published a translation in the Asiatic Researches,* and which was written to commemorate the foundation of a temple of Buddha by Amara Sinha, bears the date 1005 of the era of Vikramaditya, answering to the Christian year 949 the authenticity of this inscription we have no reason to question, as it professes no object to which suspicion of fraud or interest can be attached, and it is perfectly consistent with the character and traditions of the place in which it was found the identity of the person is also indisputable, as all ancient authorities concur in representing Amara as a worshipper of Buddha, and he is designated in the inscription in the usual manner as one of the nine gems of Vikramaditya's court

Admitting therefore the testimony of this record, it follows that Amara Sinha was a person of some ce

* Asiatic Researches I 284

lebrity prior to the date given in it, or A D 949, and it is not likely therefore that he should be contemporary with Bhoja, who had scarcely commenced his reign according to Major Wilford's theory, or who did not ascend the throne till 30 years subsequent, according to Mr Bentley's view of the subject In fact however the tenor of the inscription renders either equally impossible, since that absolutely authorises our placing Amara long anterior to the period of its composition

The author states his having derived his knowledge of Amara's being the founder of the temple from its records, or as it is translated "from the authority of the place ', an authority which no doubt existed, as most celebrated shrines are furnished with a legend, a lying one it may be granted, which professes to give their history and it matters not here, of what description was the record of the temple of Buddha, as, if in the middle of the tenth century it had converted Amara Sinha into the hero of a holy fable, it at least proves his prior and remote existence

To return to the inscription the writer states that 'Amara was the favourite and minister of Vikramaditya, who was certainly a king renowned in the world', and whom he intends by Vikramáditya, can scarcely be doubted, as he dates from that prince's era it is therefore perfectly clear that at so distant a period as A D 949, *if the inscription* is to be trusted, the same traditionary account of Amara's date prevailed, which is still received, and however accurate or incorrect

this tradition may have been, its existence is fatal to the supposition that the subject of it was alive at the period when such a belief was current, and still more so to the opinion we have noticed of his flourishing at some subsequent date

That the inscription is worthy of credit,* I see no reason to doubt, and it is assuredly an authority of more weight than the notions of nameless Pandits,† the sole impugners of the belief it sanctions

The certainty that Amara Sinha lived before the time of Bhoja, and the inference fairly deducible from the only positive record we possess of his living *long before* that period, leave us still in doubt as to the precise time at which he flourished

Tradition, uniform and consistent, and as we see by the inscription, and as we know from other testimonies, of unvarying tenor for seven or eight centuries, places him in the court of Vikramáditya, in the origin of the Samvat era, or 56 years before Christ, an antiquity far from unreasonable or improbable, although deemed by modern scepticism too remote The only grounds on which this belief could ever have rested, the authority of the Bhoja Prabandha, I have shewn to be untenable, and I cannot therefore conceive the argument by which it can further be supported The dispute, to use the words of *Harris* on a similar topic,

---

* [Comp also Col A Cunningham's Report in As J of Bengal, Vol XXXII No 13 ff]

† Asiatic Researches, VIII, 243

appears to arise from the 'disputants running into the opposite vice of incredulity, in order to avoid being thought credulous' for even in his day there was occasion to notice a defect, which is outrageously conspicuous in the writers of the present, when ancient India is their theme, "and whose opposition to the many claims of the Hindus is not so much founded in greater learning or superior talents, as in strong prejudices in favour of their own countries, and in high conceit of their own abilities"

The real date of Vikramáditya's reign is however still a desideratum in Indian History,* and in spite of the learned labours of that profound and patient investigator Major Wilford† we have yet to ascertain whether the voice of tradition be that of truth The circumstances of Amara's being contemporary with him depends upon no positive proof, and there is some inconsistency in making the Buddha philologist the favourite and minister of a monarch, who is always described in the legends recorded of him as a pious worshipper of the orthodox divinities‡ and the liberal

---

* [Lassen, Ind Alt II, 800 ff Weber, Ind Stud , II, 416 Ind Literaturgeschichte, p 188 Bombay Asiatic Soc Journal, VI 27 ff]

† Asiatic Researches IX Essay on Vikramaditya and Salivahana [See Prinsep's Essays ed 2 Thomas II 210]

‡ The Jains, I am informed, consider Vikramaditya to have been of their persuasion, in the Vrihatkatha, Sinhasana Dwatrinsati and Vetala Panchavinsati he always appears as the worshipper of Durga or Devi especially

patron of the regular priesthood The age of the Amara Kosha can scarcely be fixed within any narrow limits, and we can only feel satisfied of its composition at some period long anterior to the tenth century,* an opinion further warranted by the grammarian Vopadeva, who is generally assigned to the twelfth century,† and who enumerates Amara amongst the eight *old* grammarians,‡ an epithet he would no more have attached to a writer but two or three centuries anterior to himself than any grammarian of the present day would think of giving to Bhattoji Dikshita, who compiled the Kaumudi about 200 years ago Amara Sinha may therefore be left agreeably to tradition to the beginning of the Christian era, or, as connected with other traditionary notices of names and events which I shall proceed to describe, he may be brought down to a later date, and placed about the middle or end of the fifth century after Christ

In the verse which specifies the names of the *nine gems*, and which I have inserted above, we find the name of the astronomer Varaha Mihira who, according to this authority, is therefore contemporary with

---

* [Lassen Ind Alt, IV, 633 f, now places Amarasinha before Varahamihira, about 200 years later than he had done before, II, 1155 See also Goldstucker s Sanskrit Dictionary s v Amara sinha]

† Asiatic Researches VIII, 467, [to the second half of the thirteenth See Burnouf, Bhag Pur I, CI, and Lassen, Ind Alt., IV, 599]

‡ Preface to Colebrooke s Sanskrit Grammar [Misc. Ess , II, 39]

Amara Sinha; this astronomer, upon grounds similar to those on which Mr. Bentley reduced the antiquity of Amara, has accordingly been placed by him in the twelfth century, an opinion contrary to the general belief, and one which there is every reason to conclude is far from correct

From astronomical data derived from the work of *Varáha Mihira*,† the Vrihat Sanhitá, it appears that an antiquity must be assigned to this author of either 1216 or 1440 years, reckoning from A.D. 1800; the mean of this is assumed by Mr Colebrooke, or A.D. 472, as being the probable era of his existence, and as agreeing sufficiently with the date usually given him, or A.D. 499, and not far from that contained in a list of astronomical writers prepared at Oujein, or A.D 505-6. According to the same authority‡ he is

---

* Asiatic Researches, VIII, 243

† Colebrooke on the age of Varaha Mihira, introduction to Indian Algebra &c [Miscell Essays, II, 466 ff Lassen, Ind. Alt, IV, 841 ff]

‡ See also introduction to Colebrooke's edition of the Hitopadesa, p II The notice occurs in the first Tantra or chapter [v 238] in the same story but somewhat differently told, which is in the Hitopadesa, (Sir William Jones's works, Vol XIII, 177) of a Vaka killed by a crab The astronomer is thus introduced the Vaka tells the crab that by the position of the planets a twelve years' drought may be expected, as it is said by Varaha Mihira "when the son of Surya (Saturn) divides the car of Rohini, then in the world Madhava will shed no rain upon the earth for twelve years"

उक्तञ्च वराहमिहिरेण।

also named in the Pancha Tantra, the original of the Hitopadesa, and which was translated into Persian in the reign of Nushirvan, in the latter part of the sixth and beginning of the seventh century, and this early notice is a decisive confirmation of the accuracy of the above date It seems therefore to be ascertained, with as much precision as the subject admits, that an astronomical writer denominated Varáha Mihira did flourish at Oujein in the course of the fifth century of our era and it is further possible that he was cotemporary with a prince named Vikramaditya, and the subject of our Researches

Major Wilford, in his elaborate Essay on Vikramaditya* and Salivahana, has succeeded in disentangling from the perplexity occasioned by the repetition of names, and the confusion of dates, *three* princes of great power and celebrity, who were all known under the former appellation the first of these is the sovereign from whom the era in use is denominated, and whose claims to be the patron of the *nine gems* we are contented to leave undetermined, the second Vikrama† is the same with Sri Karna Deva, called also Sudraka and Suraka and Aditya,‡ he began his reign A D 191, and this date agrees with the age of an elder Varaha Mihira, placed by the astronomers of Oujein in the

---

यदा भित्त्वे सूर्य्यपुत्रो रोहिण्या शकटमिह लोके।
द्वादशवर्षाणि तदा न हि वर्षति माधवो भूमौ॥

[See on this quotation Benfey's Pantschatantra II 392 f]

* Asiatic Researches, IX † Ibid 146 ‡ Ibid 139

year of Christ 200,* his capital is called Charchita or Charchita Nagara,† the city of investigation, as the struggle between the original Hindu system, and the innovation of the Bauddhas and others is supposed to have commenced there and at this time As Sri Karna Deva, however, was the sovereign of Magadha or Behar, and resided at a place now called Jangira,‡ half way between Mongir and Bhagalpore, it is impossible that Charchita Nagara could be the same as Ujjayini or Oujein, in which city alone we are to look for the patron of the *nine gems*, and this prince consequently cannot be the Vikramáditya in question The third Vikramaditya has better claims,§ he is not designated by any other name or title, his capital was Oujein, and his reign began in 441, a date that accords with the existence of Amara, as the cotemporary of Varaha Mihira in the fifth century, and with his being implicated in the changes which the temporary ascendancy of the Brahmans apparently began about that time to effect in Hindustan

The persecution of the followers of Buddha by the Brahmanical order is a subject on which both sects are agreed, one of the earliest and most harmless effects of it, it is generally believed, was the anathematising of the Bauddha works, and amongst

---

* Colebrooke's Indian Algebra introduction dissertation E [*Misc Essays II 467 Lassen Ind Alt II 1133*]

† Asiatic Researches, X 91

‡ Asiatic Researches IX 108 § Ibid. 139 [E Thomas edition of Prinsep's Useful Tables p 249 f Lassen I 1, II 709]

them, of the compositions of Amara, all which consequently perished, with the exception of his Vocabulary * As the persecution is thus restricted to Amara's literary existence, we may infer his personal exemption from its fury by his existence prior to that event, and by ascertaining therefore the time of its commencement we may be able to add another conjecture to those we have formed of our author's age Celebrated as is the persecution and temporary suppression of the Bauddha heresy, it is an occurrence of which the date is as uncertain as of any other event in Hindu history its institution is generally attributed to *Sankara Acharya*,† and with his age therefore must originate our enquiry

The birth of Sankara presents the same discordance of opinion as every other remarkable incident amongst the Hindus

The Kadali Brahmans, who form an establishment following and teaching his system, assert his appearance about 2000 years† since, some accounts place him about the beginning of the Christian era,* others in the third or fourth century § after, a manuscript history of the kings of Konga, in Colonel Mackenzie's collection,‖ makes him cotemporary with Tiru Vikrama Deva Chakravarti, sovereign of Skandapura in the

---

* Asiatic Researches VII 214

† Buchanan's Mysore III 301

* Wilks's History of Mysore I, Appendix No V

§ Buchanan's Mysore II 74

‖ [Madras Lit Soc. Journal, Vol XIV, pp 6 and 65]

Dekhan, A D 178, at Sringagiri, on the edge of the Western Ghauts, and now in the Mysore territory, at which place he is said to have founded a College that still exists, and assumes the supreme control of the Smárta Brahmans of the Peninsula, an antiquity of 1600 years is attributed to him, and common tradition makes him about 1200 years old The Bhoja Prabandha enumerates Sankara amongst its worthies, and as contemporary with that prince, his antiquity will then be between eight and nine centuries The followers of Madhwácharya in Tuluva seem to have attempted to reconcile these contradictory accounts by supposing him to have been born three times, first at Sivuli in Tuluva about 1500 years ago, again in Malabar some centuries later, and finally at Padukachatra in Tuluva* no more than 600 years since, the latter assertion being intended evidently to do honour to their own founder, whose date that was, by enabling him to triumph over Sankara in a supposititious controversy The Vaishnava Brahmans of Madura say that Sankara appeared in the ninth century of Sálivahana or tenth of our era,† Dr Taylor thinks that, if we allow him about 900 years, we shall not be far from the truth,‡ and Mr Colebrooke is inclined to give him an antiquity of about 1000 years § This last is the age which my friend Rammohun Roy, a diligent

---

* Buchanan's Mysore III 91 † Ibid I 143

‡ Dedication to the translation of the Prabodha Chandrodaya

§ Preface to the translation of the Daya Bhaga [p XI]

student of Sankara's works, and philosophical teacher of his doctrines, is disposed to concur in, and he infers that, "from a calculation of the spiritual generations of the followers of Sankara Swámí from his time up to this date, he seems to have lived between the seventh and eighth centuries of the Christian era,"—a distance of time agreeing with the statements made to Dr Buchanan in his journey through Sankara's native country, Malabar,* and in union with the assertion of the Kerala Utpatti, a work giving an historical and statistical account of the same province, and which, according to Mr Duncan's citation of it, mentions the regulations of the castes of Malabar by this philosopher to have been effected about 1000 years before 1798 † At the same time it must be observed that a manuscript translation of this same work, in Colonel Makenzie's possession, states Sankara Acharya to have been born about the middle of the fifth century, or between thirteen and fourteen hundred years ago, differing in this respect from Mr Duncan's statement,‡—a difference of the less importance, as

---

* Buchanan's Mysore II 424

† Asiatic Researches V 5

‡ [See Mackenzie, Collection II, p 73 ff] The manuscript agrees however with Mr Duncan's statement in one important particular, the admission of Sankara's living in the time of the Malabar prince Cheruman Perumal a prince who from his connection with the Malabar Christian Church, has been long an object of attention to the scholars of the West. [Lassen, I 1, IV, 254 f] According to Scaliger (De emendatione temporum, 509)

the manuscript in question, either from defects in the original or translation, presents many palpable errors, and cannot consequently be depended upon The weight of authority therefore is altogether in favour of an antiquity of about ten centuries, and I am disposed to adopt this estimate of Sankara's date, and to place him in the end of the eighth and beginning of the ninth century of the Christian era *

Although the popular belief attributes the origin of the Bauddha persecution to Śankara Acharya, yet in this case we have some reason to distrust its accuracy Opposed to it we have the mild character of the reformer, who is described as uniformly gentle and tolerant, and speaking from my own limited reading in Vedanta works, and the more satisfactory testimony of Rammohun Roy, which he permits me to adduce, it does not appear that any traces of his being instrumental to any persecution are to be found in his own writings, all which are extant, and the object of which is by no means the correction of the Bauddha or any

---

Calicut was founded in 907 and this event is ascribed to that prince by Assemannus (Bibl Orient, Tom 3, Part 2nd, 341 et seq), but who observes that, according to Vischerus, it took place much earlier, or in 895 Cheruman Perumal is said to have granted many privileges to the Christians inscribed on plates of copper, of which Du Perron procured copies (Zend Avesta Dis Prel clxxviii and note) and which according to him corroborate the account of this transaction derived from Assemannus This date will therefore nearly confirm the above view of Sankara's age and give us about ten centuries for his antiquity

* [Lassen Ind Alt IV, 618 ff, 838 ff]

other schism, but the refutation of all other doctrines besides his own, and the reformation or re-establishment of the fourth religious order It is therefore probable that the Brahmans enjoyed the ascendancy in his times, and that the violent suppression of any powerful sect had considerably preceded the appearance of his peaceable system of worldly privation and abstract devotion

Many works in celebration of this distinguished character, and in commemoration of his triumph over the errors of all other systems of theology, have been composed, entitled *Sankara-vijaya*,* or *Śankara jaya* they profess to narrate the most remarkable incidents of the reformer s life, and the different disputes held by him with his principal opponents One of these I have examined it is the work of Madhava, a commentator on the Vedas agreeably to the Vedanta notions, and a writer of great celebrity He is known to have lived about the year 1300,† by his making mention of Sangama, the father of Bukka Raya and Harihara the founders of Vijayanagara According to his

---

* [See on the Śankaradigvijaya and other accounts of Sankara s life Hindu Sects new edition p 197 ff]

† [1350]

* Asiatic Researches IX 414 in addition to the instances there specified it may be observed that *Sayana* the author of the Madhaviya dhatu vritti calls himself the uterine brother of Madhava and the son of Mayana (?) the prime minister of Sangama the son of Kampa monarch of the eastern southern and western seas or in fact of the Peninsula of India इति पूर्वदक्षिणपश्चिम समुद्राधीश्वरकम्पराजसुतसङ्गमराजमहामन्त्रिणा मायणपुत्रेण मा

own statement, his work is but the substance of a much older performance, प्राचीनशङ्करजये सारसगृह्यते स्फुटम् ॥ and he speaks of Sankara as being celebrated by all the ancient writers, स्तुतो ऽपि सम्यक्कविभि पुराणै । confirming therefore at the least the antiquity I have above assigned to that theologian

As far as the Sankara-vijaya of Madhava can be admitted as an authority, and it is much too poetical and legendary to be so acknowledged without very great limitation, we may draw the same conclusion that is to be inferred from Sankara's own compositions, that it is a popular error to ascribe to him the work of persecution he does not appear at all occupied in that odious task, nor is he engaged in particular controversy with any of the Bauddhas the more prominent objects of his opposition are the Mímánsakas as represented by Mandana Misra, with whom he holds a long and rather acrimonious discussion, and the Naiyáyikas and Sankhyas, and the vulgar sects of Vaishnavas and Saivas are alike the object of his opposition, he is especially hostile to the latter, and particularly to the Kapálikas,* a class of Siva's worshippers, who again are his most active enemies, and on one occasion assail his existence

He comes in personal contact with the Bauddhas,

धवसहोदरेण सायणाचार्येण विरचिता माधवीया धातुवृत्ति ॥ [See Westergaard Rad Sanscr pref p II, A Weber, Die Sanskrit Handschriften', No 789, Th Aufrecht Catal Codd Sanskr, I, 167]

* [Hindu Sects, p 91, 264 Lassen Ind Alt, III 881, IV, 699]

indeed, according to our authority, in but two instances the first is a short conference with an Arhata, who advocates the Mádhyamika* doctrines, or those of a Bauddha sect, and which is held in the Bahlika country, a region identified by name and geographical position with the modern Balkh,† and the second happens in Kashmir, where amongst the many sects who oppose Sankara's access to the temple of Saraswatí, a short time before his death, the Bauddhas make their appearance Besides the positive conclusion presented by these circumstances that Sankara was not engaged actively in any personal conflict with the followers of the Bauddha schism, we derive from them a very probable conjecture as to the situation of the Bauddhas in the time at which Madhava flourished, and as he places them no nearer than in Kashmir and Khorasan, it appears likely that some period prior to his date was the epoch at which Bauddha faith was compelled to retire from its native seats towards those northern regions in which it still prevails

The persecution of the Bauddhas is ascribed by Madhava to another reformer, *Kumarila Bhatta*, the predecessor of Sankara According to our author Kumarila Bhatta was an incarnation of Kártikeya, the object of whose descent was the extirpation of the Saugatas, and in consequence of whose miraculous victory over

---

* [See H H Wilson's Essays and Lectures, Vol II, 363 Colebrooke's Essays p 501 Lassen Ind Alt., II, 460]

† [Lassen l l IV, 731 f]

his heretical antagonists, the prince Sudhanwá issued the fatal orders to that effect

आसेतोरातुषाराद्रेर्बौद्धानां वृद्धबालकान् ।
न हन्ति य स हन्तव्यो भृत्यानित्यन्वशान्नृप ॥

"The king thus commanded his attendants, 'let those who slay not be slain, the old man amongst the Bauddhas and the babe, from the bridge of Ráma to the snowy mountains'"

The priority of the Bauddha persecution by Kumárila Bhatta to the age of Sankara is further corroborated by the manuscript account of Malabar, the Kerala Utpatti, which I have already adverted to According to this authority, the establishment of this teacher in Malabar, local testimonies of which it is said existed when the work was written, took place about a century before the birth of Sankara, and occasioned the entire expulsion of the Bauddhanmár or Bauddhas from that kingdom, and we find on that side of India other accounts confirming this fact, and that the Brahmans of Tuluva who were originally followers of Bhatta Acharya, the same with Kumárila Bhatta, assert his having had great success against the heretical sects some time before Sankara, who is represented as having disputed with, and converted the followers of Bhatta's particular doctrine *

As the Bauddha sect, according to Madhava, was annihilated by Kumárila Bhatta, it would have been a

* Buchanan's Mysore, III 91 [Lassen, I 1 IV 708 Wilson's Essays and Lectures, II 366]

work of supererogation to commit the same task to Sankara, and we have therefore further reason to doubt his ever having engaged in it at all, much more his having been the author and chief agent of the persecution As, indeed, his reform is rather addressed to the admitted systems of theology, than to those considered heterodox, we must conclude that these latter had really suffered some temporary or partial discomfiture, so long prior to the age of Sankara Achárya, as to have left time for the introduction and diffusion of various attempts towards the reformation of the orthodox faith, in the same manner as the innovations of Wickliffe and Huss preceded by a considerable interval the more successful efforts of Luther and Calvin

According to the authority of Madhava and that of tradition, Kumarila Bhatta committed himself to the flames in the presence of Sankara Achárya they would consequently be regarded as contemporary We need not however lay much stress on these accounts, especially as Vyása and Jaimini, nay even Agastya, are all manifest personally to our reformer, if we are to give credit to our guide, and as, according to other authorities, Kumarila Bhaṭṭa was the pupil of Jaimini, the founder of the Mímánsá school of philosophy,* who there is reason to suppose is much more ancient We can only therefore conclude generally that the triumph

* Dr Taylor's Prabodha Chandrodaya, 119 [Brockhaus edition, p 110 Lassen, Ind Alt , I, 836 Goldstücker, Pánini, p 150 p 8 ff]

of Kumarila Bhatta and the persecution of the Bauddhas took place at some period anterior to the age of Sankara and before the eighth century, and, agreeably to the inference above deduced, at some period considerably antecedent, in which case the occurrence may be approximated to the date we have thought it probable to be that of Amara, or at least to the time, when his works might have required such celebrity, as to become obnoxious to a hostile and intolerant sect

We have already had occasion to notice, upon the authority of Major Wilford, that the capital of Sudraka, whose reign began in 191,* was named Charchita Nagara, with reference to its being the seat of the earliest disputes between the orthodox and dissentient classes of the Hindus The assertion rests upon the authority of the Kumarika Khanda, which in the prophetic style of the Puranas declares, that 'In the year 3291 of the Kali-yuga (or 191 after Christ) king Sudraka will reign in the town of Charchita Nagara, and destroy the workers of iniquity,' and this, Major Wilford observes, points out a persecution in religious matters at a very early period At the same time, we know that the utter extermination of the Bauddha sect in India did not take place till some time between the twelfth and fifteenth centuries, and we must conclude, consequently, that the contending parties were for a long period too equally matched for any permanent and vigorous

* Asiatic Researches X, 91 [Wilson's Introd to the Mrichchhakati]

persecution of either by the other to have taken place, and especially for some time after the beginning of the conflict If therefore the contest began in the third century, and the temporary ascendancy of the Brahmans was established some time before the eighth, we may conjecture, with every appearance of conjecturing happily, that the fifth and sixth centuries form the season, in which the Bauddhas were most actively and triumphantly assailed by the interested professors of the orthodox creed *

The time thus made out for the height of the Bauddha persecution agrees in a very remarkable manner with the date of events recorded in the countries where the faith of Buddha now predominates, and was entirely connected with the condition of his Indian votaries in their native realms It was early in the sixth century (A D 519),† that China received from India the prophet Dharma, who gave a new impulse to the worship of Buddha, then languishing in that country, and fixed it as the national faith In 530 the religion of Buddha was introduced into Corea,‡ in 540-50 into

* [Lassen, Ind Alt, IV, 709]

† Kampfer's Japan, B 2, Chap 4 "The first introduction of the Bauddha faith into China took place much earlier, according to De Guignes, A D 65, but he adds, "on n'eut alors qu'une idée confuse de la religion de Fo, et ce n'est que dans la suite, qu'elle a été plus en vogue" He also notices "la protection singulière" which the dynasty of the Liang that reigned from 519 [502] to 557, gave to the Religion of Fo [Lassen I 1, IV, 741 ff, II Beilage II, p IX, Köppen, die Rel Buddhas, I, 457, II, 34]

‡ [According to Klaproth, 372 in Kaoli, and 384 in Pe tsi See Lassen, p 747 f]

Japan and the year 572 was remarkable for the arrival in that kingdom of an immense number of Priests and Idols, who came from countries beyond the sea We may conclude this branch of our enquiry by citing the opinion of the able historian of Java, Sir Thomas Raffles, that the Bauddha religion was introduced into that island during the sixth and seventh centuries and that the same period was remarkable for the arrival of numerous Hindu emigrants on Java and the eastern islands *

The examination I have thus instituted into the age of Amara Sinha has extended itself to limits, no doubt disproportioned to the importance of the enquiry I had however to correct error, and to controvert prejudice, as well as to contend with the natural difficulties of the subject, and to support my averments by the best authorities within my reach As to the result of the research, I shall willingly if convinced by worthy testimony of having erred in my conclusions submit to correction Those conclusions, indeed, are only positive within certain limits, and as the sum of the investigation, I have only satisfied myself with the choice of one or two alternatives either assent to the tradition which places Amara Sinha in the time of the primitive Vikramaditya 56 years before the *Christian* era, or to the inference deduced from the contiguous position of a number of persons and things connected *more or less directly with our author s supposed his*

* History of Java II 86 [Lassen IV 467 ff]

idolatriæ exordio usi sunt * elevating in this extraordinary manner a common vocabulary to the distinction of a Ritual and *Liturgy* co existent with the origin of the Hindu Idolatry, and the basis of the *Brahmanical* superstitions! A blunder of another character, although of an equally absurd description, connected with the Amara Kosha, has been committed by Anquetil Du Perron, and has been adduced by Mr Mill,† with his usual want of knowledge and judgment, as '*a remarkable instance of the disposition of Brahmans to accommodate by falsification even their sacred records to the ideas of Europeans*' Du Perron says si je n'avois pas sçu que le commencement de l'Amarkosh contenait la description du Lingam, peut etre m eut il ete impossible de decouvrir, que mes Brahmes, qui ne vouloient pas dévoiler le fond de leurs mysteres, paraphrasoient et palliotent plutot qu'ils ne traduisoient a description of the Lingam in the introduction to the Amara Kosha! *Du Perron's Brahmans must* have been much astonished at the discovery, and at the perverse spirit and gross ignorance which converted Amara's account of the contents of his vocabulary, comprising the genders (linga) of nouns, into the mystical mention of an object with which his preamble

* Systema Brahmanicum 191 [If P Paul a S Bartholomæo ever entertained the notions so severely criticised in the text he had certainly given them up when he wrote the preface to his Amarasinha Sectio prima Rome 1798 especially p XI f See also his Vyacarana Rome 1804 p XVII and 399]

† History of India, Vol I 410

has no kind of connection, except the indispensable employment of a grammatical term, that happens also to have the same meaning, but which occurring where and how it does, the merest novice in Sanskrit literature could not possibly misunderstand We have had too much of mere pretenders to knowledge in oriental literature, and it is high time to weigh accurately the real merit of all authorities on matters of Asiatic learning and history if we wish to gain any real acquaintance with such subjects, or if we retain the slightest veneration for truth

The vocabulary of Amara Sinha, though perhaps the oldest extant, is not considered as the first work of the kind, the author himself in his introductory lines mentions generally his having consulted other works, and his commentators particularise the Trikanda and Utpalini Kosha and the works of Vyadi, Rabhasa, Katyayana, and Vararuchi, as the authorities to which he alludes The text of the work has been some time known in Europe, though imperfectly,* and it is now placed within the reach of every scholar by the excellent edition of it with a marginal translation,

* Du Perron carried two copies to Europe it was also noticed in the first volume of the *Asiatic Researches* (354) we have seen what Bartolomeo says of the work and he took home a copy of it Several copies more or less perfect were presented by Lady Jones to the Royal Society, and Frederick Schlegel in his work Ueber die Sprache und Weisheit der Indier, notices a manuscript of it in the Royal Library at Paris with a Latin interpretation [not mentioned by M L Deslongchamps in the preface to his edition of the Amarakosha.]

published by Mr Colebrooke, and printed at Serampore, in 1808 it is to this edition that my references apply They will be found very numerous, and I am happy to acknowledge it as the guide and basis of my labours, and to bear testimony to its uniform and unrivalled correctness

The different commentaries on the text of the Amara Kosha, to which I have also made frequent reference, are the same as those employed by its learned translator I was indebted indeed to his kindness for the copies which were prepared for his use, and which were the best procurable, and I have little or nothing to add to the notices which he has given of their authors

The commentary which takes precedence of the rest by the earlier date of its composition, is that of *Kshira Swami* it is a work of merit, but concise, and the interpretations frequently differ from those given by the other commentators The age of the author is not known, but he is one of the authorities cited by Mallinatha, the commentator on several of the most celebrated poems, and who probably wrote in the twelfth or thirteenth century *

The next in the order of antiquity is the work of *Mukuta* or *Raya Mukuta Mani*, compiled according to the author's own account from sixteen older commentaries to which he frequently refers they seem however to be in many cases original compositions,

* [according to Prof Aufrecht (Catal codd MSS Sanscr I 113) not before the 14th]

and not merely glosses on the text of Amara. The list includes the work of *Kshíra Swámí, Subhúti, Haḍḍa Chandra, Kalinga, Konkaṭa, Sarvadhara, Rájadeva, Govardhana, Drávida* and *Bhoja Rája*, and the *Vyákhyámṛita, Ṭíkásarvasva, Mádhaví, Madhu Mádhaví, Sarvánanda*, and *Abhinanda*. Mukuṭa also cites the names of the principal grammatical writers, and quotes many Koshas of which the titles are of frequent and familiar occurrence, amongst which some, as the Viśva and Mediní, are still in existence. The commentary of Ráya Mukuṭa is full and satisfactory—it is erroneous sometimes in the etymological analysis of grammatical derivatives, but it is in general accurate, and in most of the schools is of high authority. The date of the composition is inferred from dates mentioned by the author, 1352 Śáka or A.D. 1430.*

The *Vyákhyá Śudhá*† is the ablest commentary on the Amara Kosha, that has yet appeared: the interpretations are full and distinct, and the etymological analysis founded on the most thorough acquaintance with the system of Páṅini: the commentator is also a very industrious corrector of the mistakes of his brethren, and rectifies especially the grammatical errors of his predecessor Mukuṭa. In compliance with the usual practice I have referred to this writer under

---

* Asiatic Researches, VII, 216; and Preface to the Amara Kosha. [Misc. Essays, II, 18 ff.; 54 ff.]

† [On this and the two preceding comp. Aufrecht, l.l., p. 182 f.]

the appellation of Rámasrama, but, as is mentioned by Mr Colebrooke, the name of the author is variously written in different copies and is sometimes the same with that which I have used, and is sometimes termed Bhánu Dikshita In the copy I have used I find an apparent solution of this difficulty, and Ramasrama, or more correctly Bhadra Ramásrama, is a title implying the author's belonging to a particular class of devotees, whilst his real name is Bhánu Dikshita, and he is the son, as he informs us, of Bhaṭṭoji Díkshita, the celebrated grammarian I must add, however, that this is denied by the Pandits, who insist that the two persons are distinct, and that Bhánu Dikshita, the son of Bhattoji, was after his father's death the disciple of the ascetic Ramaśrama, who is the author of other works The work was written, according to the passage which specifies the author's name, at the desire of Kírttisinha, ruler of Mahídhara, a prince of the Bandhaila* family, and the termination Sinha, we may observe, is attached to the Bundela and Ajmere princes† who make their appearance in the reigns of Shah Jehan and Aurungzebe, in the course of which, or the seventeenth century, a son or pupil of Bhaṭṭoji Díkshita must have written

---

* [*Bagl ela* in the Benares edition of the Amarakosha See Sir H Elliot's Glossary s v The Diksh tas were a subdiv s on of the Kanaujiya Brahmans ib p 120]

† Hidjar Singh Raja of Bundelkhand Dow III 126 Judger Singh Rája of Bundela ibid 154

The *Vyákhyá Pradípa* is a commentary by Achyuta Upádhyáya: it is a work of little merit, being an unacknowledged abridgment of Mukuṭa's work. I have not been able to meet with any account of the author, but he is probably modern.

The preceding commentaries agree in giving the derivation of words conformably to the Sútras of Páṇini: those which follow have adopted other systems.

In the original compilation of the Dictionary, prepared as that was under the superintendence and by the labours of natives of Bengal, the grammatical system used was that of Vopadeva: as this is however of modern origin and limited application, it was considered advisable to discard it for the more generally cultivated method of Páṇini, and the entire transposition of all the etymologies has been consequently included in my task. In effecting this object, particularly for all the words contained in the Amara Kosha, the preceding commentators were my chief guides, and Rámáśrama's commentary especially has in these cases been consulted. The etymological analysis of many of the remaining vocables depends upon the authority of the Siddhánta Kaumudí, and that of the rest has been supplied by my Paṇḍits or myself, in conformity, as exact as our acquaintance with the subject permitted, to the system laid down in the Sútras of Páṇini.

The commentary of *Bharata Malla* is the favourite authority of the Bengal school, and of all others in which the grammar of Vopadeva is received: it is an able performance, and is particularly full on the sub-

ject of various readings The author has also compiled a Kosha on this topic, or a vocabulary of words which vary in their orthoepy, styled by the Hindus a Dwirupa Kosha, a compilation rendered very necessary by the fluctuations in the forms of words, which arise from the arbitrary application of those letters that are considered interchangeable, and from the varieties introduced by different modes of pronunciation in different provinces, and caused, in many cases no doubt, by the blunders of copyists, the multiplication and repetition of which have given to different modes of spelling currency and sanction * Bharata Malla is considered to have flourished in the middle of the last century, and has written commentaries on many celebrated compositions †

The *Sara Sundari* is a commentary which has been occasionally consulted the author is Mathuresa, who has himself compiled a vocabulary, the Sabdaratnávalí, in speaking of which we shall advert to the few circumstances that are known of him The commentary is a useful one it follows the grammar of Padmanábha Datta, the Supadma, a work studied in some parts of Bengal ‡

The *Padartha Kaumudí,* by Narayana Chakravartti, has been referred to, though not frequently the Kalapa, a grammar of celebrity in the *Bengal* schools,

* [Aufrecht Catal Codd MSS I p 194]

† [Bharata Malla or Bharata Mallika commented e g on the Bhatti Kavya]

[Aufrecht, Catal I I I, Nos 439 40, and pp 176 and 193]

has been followed by this commentator in the analytical part of his work.

The *Trikáńda Viveka,* by Rámanátha Vidyá Váchaspati, a work of considerable merit, and particularly full of orthoepical varieties, will be found frequently cited, and this with two other commentaries, one by *Nílakańtha and the other by Ráma Tarka Vágíśa,* which are sometimes referred to, complete the number of authorities accessory to the text of Amara, which were employed by Mr. Colebrooke in his publication, and have again been made use of in the following pages.*

Authorities of higher rank, though often of less value, than the commentators on the Amara Kosha, and constituting together with that work and its appendages the bulk of the present compilation, are the vocabularies of different authors. Of these the most valuable are easily and generally procurable, and many others it is asserted exist, though rarely to be found. Those which I have been able to obtain I shall now proceed to enumerate, following as correctly as inference and conjecture will admit the order of their antiquity, and furnishing such slender information respecting their authors as the state of everything like biography in India is capable of affording. The comparative age of various compositions is in many cases ascertainable by the references which the writers make to their predecessors, and the absence of the notice of a celebrated work, where mention of it is likely to be

* [Miscell. Essays, II, pp. 54-57.]

found, is a very strong presumption of its not being in existence The application of this test is very extensive, and conjoined with the information afforded by inscriptions, and that which may be conjectured from the legends of the Puranas, presents the only chance of our ever being able to extricate the ancient history of India from the labyrinth in which it is at present lost

The first Kosha after that of Amara, in the copious list of authorities cited by the author of the *Medini*, which has been consulted in the following work, is the *Abhidhanaratnamala* of Halayudha Bhatta It is a vocabulary of limited extent, divided into five books, the first relating to Heaven and the Deities, and the second to Earth, the third to Hell, Water, &c , the fourth to miscellaneous objects, and the last contains words of various signification The author cites as his authorities the Amaradatta and the Koshas of Vararuchi, Bhaguri, Vopálita, and others We may conjecture that his work should be of some antiquity, as he is quoted by Mallinatha, who is certainly prior to Medinikara His omission of the Amara Kosha from his list of authorities can scarcely lead us to infer the priority of his own work, but it is possible that the reputation of that vocabulary was not fully established in his time, and that it is therefore included in the appendix *Adi*, or etcetera, added to his enumeration Halayudha Bhatta* has also written on Prosody, and

* Asiatic Researches VII 233, and X, 391 [Miscell Essays

there is a celebrated writer of the same name, on the religious ceremonies of the Hindus in both instances honourable mention has been made by Mr Colebrooke of these works He is said to be a Konkana Brahman and the pupil of the Mímansá writer Bhatta Bhaskara If this last account is correct, he may be placed about the seventh century, as his preceptor is uniformly said to have preceded Sankara Acharya

Ajaya, or Ajayapala, is the next in the list of the Medini, whose vocabulary has been consulted in the following pages This is a work of small extent, but of *considerable authority It is confined to the Nánartha*, or words of various meanings, and they are arranged according to the initial letters The author does not *specify his authorities, nor does he furnish us with* any clue to his age or history From his introductory stanza he appears to be a sectary, probably a Jaina *

II, 20, 132 Prof Aufrecht's preface to his edition of the Abhidhanaratnamala London 1861]

* In a paper in the Transactions of the Literary Society of Bombay [I, 183] by Lieutenant Macmurdo, which I shall again have occasion to notice an Ajee Pal occurs, who seems to have taken an active part in the introduction of the Jaina Religion into Guzerat, towards the end of the twelfth century, and who may have been the compiler of the vocabulary, or the patron of its author, who consequently ascribes it to him, a practice frequent in the literary flattery of Hindu writers [That just the opposite was the case, that Ajaya Pala, the nephew and successor of Kumara Pala was a fierce persecutor of the Jainas, and can have no possible claim to the authorship of the Nanarthasangraha is evident from the account of him in the Rasmala (I, p 205 ff)

The work is often cited by the commentators on the Amara Kosha *

The next in the list of the Medini is the *Dharani Kosha* or vocabulary of Dharani Dasa, an author of whom we know nothing further, except that he is considered as a Brahman of Kanouj, and the author of a poetical work, denominated the Kasi Virudavali The vocabulary is of some extent, although it is limited to the words of various meanings, arranged according to their final letters and number of syllables The author specifies no authorities, and states only generally, that his vocabulary is compiled from older writers He is himself of some antiquity, as besides the place he holds in the Medini's list, he is often cited by Mallinátha

The *Trikanda Sesha* is a vocabulary, in three chapters, composed purposely as a supplement to the Vocabulary of Amara, and thence sometimes termed the Amara Sesha, it follows a similar arrangement, and adds a number of words of comparatively rare occurrence The author was a Jaina, named Purushottama Deva, and he is the author also of several other philological compilations, as an Ekakshara Kosha,† or Vocabulary of monosyllabic words, which I have occasionally referred to, and the Varna-viplava-nasa, or a collection of various readings His most celebrated

---

See Lassen, III, 568 and As Res, XVI, 321 ff Thomas edition of Prinsep's Essays II 256]

* [Aufrecht Catal Codd MSS, I pp 189 187 195 f]

† [Aufrecht l l, I No 431 32]

work, however, after the Trikanda, is the following, which indeed takes precedence of it in the list of the Medinî

The *Haravalî* is a collection almost exclusively of *uncommon words* It is divided into two parts, the one containing synonymous words, and the other words of various meanings The first part is again divided into three portions, according to the extent of the *synonymes*, and their recapitulation through a whole stanza, or a half, or a quarter The work is of very limited extent, but it is the produce, according to the author's own averment, of twelve years' reading He states also, that it was compiled at the suggestion and with the aid of his spiritual preceptor, Śrî Dhritî Sinha, an Atîthî, or religious ascetic known in the spoken dialects by the appellation Atît, and he mentions Janamejaya, a Pandit, as having contributed to the compilation The authorities he alludes to are mostly of a general and miscellaneous description, but amongst them he enumerates, as the Koshas consulted, and consequently prior to his time, the Sabdanâva, Utpalinî, Sansârâvarta, and those of Váchaspatî, Vyadî, and Vikramâdityа * The age of Purushottama, according to the order of the Medinî, should be earlier than that of the Viswa,† and he may probably have written in the tenth or eleventh century He is not however quoted by the commentator Mallinâthа, who cites the Viswa Kosha

---

* [vv 973-77] † [Aufrecht, I I I No 428]

After the Trikanda Sesha, we have in the Medini's list a work entitled the *Ratna Mala* * I have employed a Kosha of this name, but I am not quite confident of its being the same, the Ratna Málá of Irugapa, entitled Dandádhinátha, said to imply, as it may signify, a military officer of high rank, and probably the patron rather than the author of the work It is a compilation of considerable authority, especially in the South of India, and its age may be ascertained with tolerable precision, for it is said to have been undertaken at the desire of Harihara, a prince who conjointly with Bukka Ráya,† his brother, founded the city of Vidyanagara or Bijnagar, about 1340, and reigned there till 1350 The name of its supposed author Irugapa‡ occurs also in an inscription in the Mackenzie collection, as a granter of the Village of Bellagola to a *Jaina* Deity, which grant is dated in the *Saka* year 1284 or A D 1362 The vocabulary I have referred to may be possibly the authority alluded to by Medinikara, though it dates rather near to what we may suppose to have been the latter writer's own era, as the title of a vocabulary Ratna

* [Aufrecht I I I Nos 441-3]

† Asiatic Researches IX 416

[He is probably identical with Irugadandesa mentioned in an Inscription from Vijayanagara in As Res , XX 21 and 36 See also Lassen IV 171 In the printed edition of this work (Madras 1857) the name of the author is in four places written *Irugapadandádhinatha*, in one place I dandádinatha and in an other I dandesa The correctness of the first form is attested by the third]

Málá (a garland of jewels) is very common. I have already noticed the Ratna Málá of Haláyudha, and I shall have occasion to mention a third vocabulary similarly denominated, but confined to medical terms. The work of Daṅḍádhinátha is a vocabulary of words of various meanings, arranged according to their syllabic length and final letters. The compiler does not specify his authorities.

The next work mentioned, and of the original compilation of which the Mediní is an improvement, appears in the enumeration of this latter; with the epithet *Bahudosham*, or *very* faulty, attached to it. This is the *Viśva Prakáśa Kosha*, a vocabulary of words of various meanings, of considerable extent and merit; and notwithstanding the reproach of Medinſkara, a reproach not wholly undeserved, of great authority in the schools of *Benares* and *Western India*. The words are arranged according to their final letters, their initials, and their number of syllables, but beyond these three points of agreement there is no classification, and the work is therefore consulted with some difficulty. Besides the usual contents of a Kosha, the Viśva Prakáśa includes some useful sections on the occurrence of the interchangeable letters, and on varieties in orthoepy, and the whole is frequently referred to in the following pages.

The Viśva Prakáśa is of great value in the enquiry into the comparative dates of the various lexicons, which I have attempted to institute, being one of the very few compilations which allow us to conjecture

the present age of their composition, and furnish us with a fixed point, from which we can take with something like confidence a retrospective view The vocabularies enumerated above contain no dates, and can only be placed at undefined intervals between the Amara Kosha and the Visva Prakasa, upon the authority of the Medini, confirmed as that is in most instances by the enumeration of authorities which occurs in those works, and in which the name of the Visva never appears most of them are therefore in all probability prior to the year of Sáka 1033, or A D 1111, which the author of the Visva Prakasa has given as the date of his compilation

The author of the Visva Kosha, Maheswara, is the most communicative of all the Sanskrit Lexicographers, and we are much indebted to the venial vanity which has induced him to expatiate on his personal history

He informs us, that he is descended from Srí Krishna, physician to Sáhasanka, sovereign of Gadhipur, a name from which the modern Ghazipur might be supposed to be derived, but which is enumerated by the vocabularies as a synonyme of *Kanyakubja* or Canouj Sahasanka also is a synonyme of Vikramaditya, but neither time nor place allow of the persons being identified in this instance,* and some historical notices

* As a mere etymological speculation I suggest the possibility of Sahasanka being a title of Sri Chandra Deva who, according to an inscription published by Mr Colebrooke (As Res, vol IX, 441) [or Misc Essays II, 286 See also As Res XV, 443 Dr I E Hall's preface to his edition of the Vasavadatta, Calcutta

of the former might possibly be derived from another composition, which Maheswara informs us he had written, the history of this prince, or the Sáhasanka Charitra The period in which the *Viśva Kosha* was compiled was one very likely to have been a season of literary patronage at *Canouj*, as the Muselman princes of the houses of Ghizni and Ghor were for some time, both before and afterwards, fully occupied with those dissensions, which gave the Indian sceptre to the latter, and consequently left the Hindu princes in the undisturbed enjoyment of their patrimonial sway, and tranquil exercise of their commendable privileges The author of the Visva cites most of the authorities considered ancient, and which are no longer procurable, but amongst those described in the preceding pages the work of Amara is the only one which he has noticed The correctness of the date of the Visva is tolerably well confirmed by its successive citation in the works of Mallinatha, the Medini, and the commentary of Raya Mukuta, the last of which we have seen is little more than three centuries later *

1859 p 18] founded the ruling dynasty of Kanouj about the end of the eleventh century, which *realm he acquired by his own strength*" The inscription is unfortunately in *England*, or an examination of the passage in italics in the original might be found connected with the name given *to the Prince by Maheswara* or Sahasanka compounded as that is of *Sahasa* strength or violence, and *Anka, mark or distinction* [*See the references in Lassen* III 810 f]

* [Aufrecht I I, I, No 438 A Weber Verzeichniss der Sanskrit Handschriften, Nos 806-4]

The inference to which I have been led of Amara's having lived in the fifth century, and the position of Maheswara in the commencement of the twelfth, leave us about six centuries and a half for the appearance of the different vocabularies above specified, and others that have been less fortunate in escaping the ravages of time The length of this interval appears to be far from disproportionate to the number of similar works published during its continuance, as far as we can judge from analogy, for from the date of the Visva to that of Raya Mukuta's commentary in 1430, or three hundred and nineteen years, we have but four vocabularies to enumerate, of which one only can be assigned to that interval with any certainty, and the other three may possibly appertain to the division of our subject which comprehends the works anterior to the Visva Prakasa, or the beginning of the twelfth century

In the period that elapsed between the ages of Maheswara and Raya Mukuta, we fix with certainty the author of the *Medini Kosha*, as he cites the one and is quoted by the other The work of this writer is an excellent Dictionary of homonymous words arranged according to their final letters and their syllabic lengths, and then further disposed with alphabetical precision it is a compilation of great accuracy and high authority, and constitutes after the Amara Kosha the basis and bulk of my labours

The closing section of the Medini recapitulates the authorities employed in its composition, and comprises amongst others the fullest list of Koshas to be met

with on this account and as they appear to be enumerated according to their supposed comparative antiquity, I shall here transcribe the catalogue, accompanying it with such information as to their present existence as I am able to offer from my own knowledge or from oral accounts of the Pandits

1 The *Utpalini* is one of the supposed authorities of the Amara Kosha, it is certainly of considerable antiquity, and is often quoted by the older writers It is still procurable,* though not common

2 The *Sabdarnava* is often quoted by the commentators on Amara, it is also occasionally procurable, but usually in an imperfect state

3 The *Sansaravartta*, a vocabulary often quoted in works of an early date, but supposed to be now lost

4 The *Nama Mala*, though rare, is yet obtainable as it is said to be a work of considerable extent, in that case it differs from the short work of Dhananjaya of the same title which I have consulted †

5 *Bhaguri* is the author of a vocabulary, forming

---

* My remarks on the possibility of meeting with these works apply to Calcutta and Benares particularly, and may be extended perhaps to the tract of India immediately along the Ganges as far as Haridwar the state of manuscripts in the Dekhan is probably more complete, and it may be expected that the late accessions to the British Indian Empire both to the west and north will be productive of many valuable additions to Sanskrit bibliography

† One of them was probably the Nam Mala of Du Perron which he terms Dictionnaire Samskretain a l usage des Scouras [? सूरि See Hindu Sects, new edition, p 337]

according to the commentators one of Amara's authorities The work is not to be met with

6 *Vararuchi* is also considered as one of Amara's originals, although as one of the nine gems he should be contemporary with him His vocabulary is not procurable

7 The *Śaswata* is often cited, and is said to be procurable in a mutilated state *

8 *Vopalita* is the author of a Kosha to be met with in scattered portions

9 *Rantideva* is the compiler of a vocabulary no longer to be found in a complete state

10 *Hara* is another lexicographer, whose work no longer exists, and who is rarely quoted

Of the above vocabularies most are cited by the commentators on the *Amara Kosha* and are specified in several of the works about to be enumerated, as the authorities which they consulted Halayudha, Purushottama, and Maheswara make mention of most of them also, corroborating therefore the order of priority in which they are placed by the author of the Medini

11 *Amara,* the first author of the list, whose work is everywhere obtainable

12 *Subhanka,* the compiler of a vocabulary rarely to be found

13 *Halayudha,* whose work, the Ratna Mala, has been described

14 *Govarddhana, author of the Sapta Sati, or*

* [Aufrecht I I I No 414]

seven hundred miscellaneous stanzas, from which the idea of the Sat Sai, a similar collection in Hindi, may have been derived in the sixteenth century by Biharilal, is the author of a vocabulary said to be still procurable in some parts of India * As he mentions the Vrihatkatha, of which the antiquity is supposed to be about 700 years, and is thus noticed by Medinikara, he probably flourished in the twelfth or thirteenth century

15 *Rabhasa*, or *Rabhasala*, is the author of a vocabulary often cited, but rarely to be found

16 *Rudra*, an author of whose work the same may be observed

17 *Amaradatta*, the name of a writer or a vocabulary, often cited, but not known to exist

18 *Ajaya*, or *Ajaya Pala*, noticed above

19 *Gangadhara*, an author of whom nothing further is known

20 The *Dharani Kosha*, which, as well as the following, has been already the object of our attention

21 The *Haravali*

22 The *Trikanda Śesha*

23 The *Ratna Mala*

24 The *Viśva Prakaśa*, forming with the *Medini* twenty-five vocabularies, all prior to the fifteenth century, and of which my original and myself, aided as we were by Mr Colebrooke's valuable collection of

---

* [Weber, Handschriften, No 1231 Colebrooke, Misc Essays, II, 23 88]

manuscripts, have been able to procure no more than nine or ten They are not however the whole number of works extant in the time of Medini Kara, and his predecessors, which were either vocabularies themselves, or treated of the forms, inflexions, genders, and meaning of words in a manner that sanctioned their being included in the same class Thus the author of the Visva Kosha specifies Sáhasanka, the patron of his family, as the author, the nominal one, it may be imagined, of a vocabulary, and works of a similar character are attributed to the Princes Vikramáditya and Bhoja, the former occurs amongst the remaining authorities of the Medini, along with works assigned to Brighuśta, Madhava, Vachaspati, Dharma, Vyádi, Tárapála, Káty yana, Chandra, Soma, and Pánini There are also other Koshas quoted by Mallinátha and other early commentators, as the *Keśava*, *Jadava*, and *Vaijayanti*, the two latter of which are still found, though not common

The author of the Medini gives no account of himself beyond his being the son of Prinakara,* his own name Medinikara appears to be merely an epithet derived from the title of his vocabulary, but the same final syllable *kara* is in *Bengal* the denomination of a family of the Kayastha race† and would indicate therefore his being a native of that province, it is also

---

* [In a MS of this work in the R. Asiatic Society's possession the author's father is called Patu akara]

† Asiatic Researches V, 66.

an appellation common amongst the Mahratta Brahmans, and our author is generally regarded as of that nation If the Madhava and Ratna Mala, he cites, are the same with those mentioned above, he could not have flourished earlier than the end of the fourteenth century

Besides the authorities anterior to the Medini, I have employed many which are of more recent date, before describing them, however, I shall here pause to advert to some of a doubtful character, and whose comparative age it is difficult to ascertain

The most important of these guides, and after the Amara and Medini the best work I have had access to, is the *Abhidhana Chintamani* of *Hemachandra*, a Jaina writer of deserved celebrity, and the author also of a series of Jaina hymns* and of a Prakrit grammar as usually met with, and as printed at the Sanskrit press of Calcutta, this vocabulary is divided into two considerable portions, one appropriated to synonymous, the other to homonymous words The first part is subdivided into six books, according to a peculiar arrangement, and the first book is of great value as a detailed and faithful account of the superior deities or deified hierarchy of the *Jaina* sect The second part is classed according to the syllabic extent of the vocables it contains, and they are then arranged according to their

* [The Jaina Mahákavya *Trishashtisalakápurushacharita* a copy of which is in the possession of the R A S is also ascribed to him See Hindu Sects new edition p 283]

final initial letters There is some reason to doubt however, whether Hemachandra's original work is not properly limited to the first six books, and whether the Nanartha part has not been added by some later writer

The introductory lines of this author's vocabulary explain the principles of his arrangement and are confined to the first six sections, taking no notice of that on words of various meanings Again, this Kosha has been commented on, and the commentary is equally limited to the first six books, and finally, with the exception of the arrangement which is peculiar to it, the second division of the Abhidhána Chintamani is precisely the same* as the Visva Kosha, following that in every word and interpretation, and repeating its obscurities and its mistakes One of these works must therefore be a transcript of the other, and as there is every reason to believe the originality of Maheswara's work, it follows that the Nánartha part of Hemachandra's vocabulary is borrowed from the Visva

If the Nanartha chapters be the work of Hemachandra himself, their being borrowed from the Visva establishes the priority of the latter work, on the other hand, as Hemachandra is quoted by Mallinatha,

---

* [That this is not the case is the well founded opinion of Prof Goldstucker, see his Sanskrit Dictionary s v *abh dhánachintamani On Hemachandra comp Lassen, Ind Alt III 567 1195, IV, 803 f* Colebrooke s Misc Essays II 206 ff According to the Ras Mala (I, 189 204) Hemachandra died in 1174 A D , eighty four years old]

and as the Commentary on his hymns is dated in the year 1292, it is likely that he lived at a period not long subsequent to Maheswara, or in the course of the twelfth century, the accuracy of which inference is confirmed by works current in Guzerat, that ascribe the conversion of the sovereign of that country to the Jaina faith to the influence of our author in the Samvat year 1230 or A D 1174 * and it is further corroborated by the time falling within those limits, during which the sect of which Hemachandra was so distinguished a member flourished in many parts of Hindustan

The concurring traditions of the Brahmanical, Bauddha, and Jaina sects report a two-fold persecution of the second, by each of the others severally, although they are not agreed about the order of their occurrence If I have conjectured rightly, the priority seems due to that instigated by the members of the orthodox

* "About 2500 years from the first promulgation of the Parisnath (Parswanatha) worship, Heema chaarge Juttee (Hemá charya Yati), a follower of Parsow, much respected by the Shrawuks (Śrávakas or Jainas) for his learning, and who had great authority in all matters concerning religion, resided in Puran Puttur, a celebrated city in the district of Neherwalla, which was at that time governed by a Rajepoot Raja, named Goonmar Pall who lived about the year of Vikrimajut (Vikrámaditya) 1230, or A D 1174; Heema Chaarge succeeded in converting the Raja to the Shrawuk religion" Account of the *Parisnath Goorecha* worshipped in the Desert of Parhar, by Lieutenant Macmurdo Transactions of the Bombay Literary Society It is only necessary to add that Hemachandra is generally called by the Jainas Hemá chárya or, as it is pronounced in several of the dialects Hemácharj

faith, and they effected a partial suppression of the Bauddha heresy about the fifth and sixth centuries, they were far from extirpating the schism, however, as the Bauddhas are noticed on the Coromandel Coast by the Arabian travellers in the eighth and ninth centuries,* in Guzerat by Al-Edrisi in the twelfth century † and we have a family of princes who appear to have followed the doctrines of Buddha, ruling in

---

* Asiatic Researches, I 166 It might be questioned whether this really proves what it has been supposed to establish, the *Bod* of Renaudot being possibly nothing more than the *But* (بت) of the *Persians* [which is the Sanskrit भूत] or an idol in general on the other hand, although the Persian term has that meaning the word is not an Arabic one, and its occurrence in the work of an Arabic writer renders it likely to be the representation of some particular Hindu deity The turn of the sentence also, if rightly translated, is in favour of its being a proper name 'Il y a dans les Indes des femmes publiques appellees femmes de l Idole L origine de cette coutume est telle Lorsqu une femme a fait un vœu pour avoir des enfans, si elle met au monde une belle fille, elle l apporte au *Bod* c est ainsi qu ils appellent l idole qu ils adorent, auprès du quel elle le laisse, &c"

† وبملكه ملك عظيم يسمى بلهرا وله جيوش وبلاد وعبادته صنم البد 'Rex autem Nahroara maximus est, et vocatur Balahara, possidetque exercitus et elephantos, colitque idolum Boddæ' [Jaubert's French translation Paris 1836, Vol I, p 176] The Nubian geography of Al Sharif al Idrisi, where this passage occurs, was translated by the *Maronites* and published at Paris in 1619, the text of the original was printed at Rome in 1592 According to his translators, Al Idrisi wrote about the *middle of the twelfth century or 1150* Consequently the *Bauddha* faith preceded that of Jina in Guzerat, and was subverted there by the latter, soon after Al Edrisi wrote, a course of events very consistent with the view I have taken in the text

Bengal about the same time.* This seems to be their limit.

I hâve already observed that Mádhava, a writer of the fourteenth century, places them no nearer than in *Kashmir*, and Abúlfazl,† writing in the sixteenth century, under the reign of a highly tolerant prince, and assisted in his enquiries by Akbar's curiosity as well as power, declares that he had never met with a follower of Buddha in Hindustan and had only encountered some old men of that faith in his third visit to *Kashmir*. Later periods are out of the question; for in the present day I never heard of a person who had met with natives of India proper of this faith, and it does appear that an utter extirpation of the Bauddha religion in India was effected between the twelfth and sixteenth centuries.‡ By whom then was this important revolution brought about? I cannot answer this question with confidence, but think it highly probable that the Jainas performed an important part in the event, especially as there is reason to suppose that the period assigned for the overthrow of the Bauddhas was that in which the Jainas had attained in many parts of India their highest pitch of power and prosperity.

An enquiry into the origin and history of the *Jaina*

---

* Asiatic Researches, V, 131, and IX, 437. [Misc. Essays, II, 282.]

† Gladwin's Áyeen Akbary, vol. III, 151, Calcutta edition

‡ [Lassen, I. I, IV, 781 f. Rája Mála, I, 12 ff. Mackenzie Collection, I, lxv.]

sect, of whose number and consequence so ma vestiges still remain, would be inconsistent with t object of the present disquisition, and would in t actual state of our materials be but imperfectly a unsatisfactorily prosecuted Something has been do towards illustrating the subject by Mr Colebrook and Colonel Mackenzie, and it is to the latter gentl man we must look for its further prosecution with ar hope of success, as he possesses amongst his invaluab collection of inscriptions and manuscripts many doc ments calculated to throw light upon the investigation My own conclusions are at present in opposition t those views which assign a remote era to the com mencement of the Jaina schism, and I am inclined t regard it as a scion of the Bauddha heresy, whic sprang into existence during the early centuries of th Christian era But whatever may be thought of thi opinion, it may be confidently asserted, that the entir downfall of the Bauddhas was preceded by severa ages of *Jaina* ascendancy, and it may consequentl be conjectured that the relative position of the tw sects was not without its influence upon the final result

The *Jainas* appear to have been in possession o the consciences and patronage of the Ballala Rájas† from the eighth up to the middle of the eleventh cen tury when Vishnu Vardhana was converted it is said to the faith of Vishnu by Rámánuja ‡ The petty Rájás

* [Mackenzie Coll I lxvi ff , 144 ff Lassen, I I , IV 705 ff]

† Buchanan s Mysore, vol III, 110

‡ Wilks (vol I, 511) says in 1133, but this would make Ra

of Tuluva, or part of the sovereignty of Vishnu Vardhana, however still continued attached to the Jaina faith, and many temples, statues, and inscriptions in the twelfth, thirteenth, and fourteenth centuries were met with in that province by Dr Buchanan In a list of Colonel Mackenzie's inscriptions, which the liberality of the proprietor has enabled me to consult, I find also the eleventh and succeeding centuries particularly rich in grants, made to *Jaina* establishments in the peninsula, I have already adverted to a grant made by Irugapa, an officer of rank in the Vijayanagara court in the fourteenth century, to the Bellagola establishment, and we are satisfied, that the sect professed considerable influence in that court, by another document which has been published, an edict promulgated by Bukka Ráya, dated Saka 1290 (A D 1367),* the object of which is to effect a reconciliation between the contending parties of the *Vaishnavas* and *Jainas*, and in which it is declared that there is no distinction nor contradiction between the two religions

The Literature of the *Jainas*† has yet been too little investigated, for us to be able to form any conjectures as to the period at which it especially flourished *But* the few data we are in possession of tend to corrobo-

---

ma uja rather ancient, if, as there is reason to believe that reformer was born about 1085 Se Asiatic Researches IX 2[illegible] [Lassen IV 1 ff Hindu Sects Wilson p 2[illegible]]

* Asiatic Researches IX 271

† [A Weber Über das Satrunjaya Mahatmya Berlin 1858 p 6 ff Lassen IV, 7[illegible] ff]

rate the idea, that from the tenth to the fourteenth century was the season of the Jaina prosperity

It is said generally of Pujya Páda, a celebrated grammarian of the sect, that he flourished about 1000 years ago, Amita Gati, a Jaina astronomical writer, dates his work in 1050 * Hemachandra writes his grammar, vocabulary and hymns in the twelfth century A commentary on those hymns is dated in the thirteenth, and there is great reason to suspect that the Kalpa Sútra, the great authority of the sect in the north of India, was composed in that century also † We may therefore conclude that the patronage of these periods produced their due fruit, and was accompanied by the appearance and succession of many of the most celebrated writers amongst the Jainas The modern and present condition of the Jaina sect appear to be additional confirmations both of its late *origin and recent prosperity* In the sixteenth century, according to Abulfazl, they were numerous and powerful, and the open and confident enemies of the Brahmans and their system In the seventeenth a Jaina monument was erected at Bhagalpur,‡ the construction

---

* Colebrooke s Algebra of the Hindus, Preliminary Dissertation [Misc Essays II, 462 f]

† Besides other reasons for this conjecture, there is one that appears conclusive amongst the subjects of Mahavira s juvenile studies the Lilavati is mentioned a work that was not composed earlier than the middle of the twelfth century [But compare *Lassen*, l l, *IV*, 769 *and Weber* l l, p 12]

‡ The Paduka of Vasupujya, repeated copies of this inscrip-

of which is attributed to the Raja of Jayapur, and in the last century the Jainas could boast of such opulent and powerful members as the Sets of Múrshidábád * In the south of India several corporate bodies of their priesthood still exist, † and in many places in the north and west of India they are yet numerous and respectable, their actual condition therefore, although a fallen one, is not so far declined as to indicate a long period of persecution, and, as contrasted with the utter annihilation of the Bauddhas in India proper, argues the more recent possession of influence and power, and renders it probable that those advantages were exerted to the detriment of their fellow dissentient sectaries, and contributed to their final expulsion from the plains of Hindustan

To return from this digression however to the immediate subject of our enquiries, the information that is obtainable of Hemachandra's history, we find that little or nothing is known of him beyond his writings

---

tion have established the date of the monument Samvat 1693 or A D 1637

* Of whose immense wealth the author of the Seir Mutaqerin characteristically remarks that, when Mir Habib with a party of Mahratta horse surprised Murshidábad, and carried off from Jagat Set's house two crore of Arcot rupees, this prodigious sum did not affect the two brothers more than if it had been two trusses of straw

† As at Śravana Bellagola in Mysore (Asiatic Researches, IX, 256) and at Biddery in Canara (Buchanan's Mysore, III, 75). I understand from Colonel Mackenzie that there is also an establishment of Jaina priests at Chittamoor [Hindu Sects, p 333 ff]

If we may trust a work entitled the Samaya Bhúshana, he was originally an inhabitant of Pátaliputra or Patna, and according to the passage we have already cited from the Transactions of the Bombay Society, he appears to have finally settled in Gujerat, and to have performed an important part in the religious revolutions of that country

Another of my authorities which appears to be prior to the Medinî, though not therein enumerated, is the *Sabda Mala* of Rámeswara Sarmana * The chief reason for my inferring its priority to the Medinî is its citation by Mallinatha, who is himself apparently anterior to the latter by his no where making mention of it an omission of which so careful and excellent a commentator as Mallinatha unquestionably is could scarcely have been guilty, had so well qualified a guide as the Medinî been within his reach at the time he wrote The Sabda Mala is a work of limited extent and value, and is little better than a supplement to the Amara Kosha, the order of which it follows, and to which it adds a few words of uncommon occurrence The *Nama Mala* is another of my authorities of which the age is uncertain The title occurs in an early part of the Medinî's list, as I have already observed, but the work I have referred to under the name of its author Dhananjaya appears scarcely worthy of a place in that enumeration, as it is a very limited collection of synonymes strung together without any order or

* [Aufrecht, I I, I, No 437 38]

arrangement The want of order however is perhaps an argument in favour of its antiquity The vocabularies that remain to be noticed are all of a date subsequent to that of the Medini, and may be considered as posterior to the fourteenth century The character I have assigned to the Sabda Mala of a supplement to the Amara Kosha applies still more forcibly to them, as they follow the arrangement of that work, repeat the whole or nearly the whole of its contents, and merely add to them a few new synonymes, varieties of reading, or terms of uncommon occurrence The *Nanartha* chapters present the chief difference, as they are very scanty in the compilation of Amara, and as the Visva and Medini afford great facilities to subsequent Koshakaras As original and independent compositions they are consequently of little comparative value, and of inferior authority to the standard compositions which precede the fourteenth century The principal of these modern works, and to which reference will be found in the subsequent pages, are the *Bhuri Prayoga*, *Sabda Ratnavali* and *Jaladhara Kosha*

The author of the *Bhuri Prayoga* is Padmanabha Datta, the son of Damodara Datta, apparently the same as the author of the Supadma a grammar on an original plan, and the basis of a particular school in some parts of Bengal He professes to render his work a supplement to the Amara Kosha and accordingly divides it into three similar sections He has furnished a few additional vocables, but none of much

importance As he enumerates the Medini amongst the vocabularies consulted by him he is subsequent to the author of that work *

The *Śabda Ratnavali* is the compilation of Mathu resa, one of the commentators on the Amara Kosha, and follows that work in arrangement, and contents It is chiefly useful for its introduction of various readings amongst the synonymes, but has made very little addition of any other kind This work was composed according to the date in Saka 1588 or A D 1666, and under the patronage of a Musselman chief whose name, as written in the manuscripts, appears to be Múrchhán Khan It should be more probably Musa Khan *a name common amongst the Musselman chiefs* of the seventeenth century †

The vocabulary of *Jatadhara* is for the most part a mere transcript of the Amara Kosha and it is an impudent transcript, for the author acknowledges no authority He probably thought the remoteness of his position screened him from detection As he informs us, he was an inhabitant of Chatu gráma or Chittagong He has added a few terms occasionally to those extracted from Amara and for these his work has been referred to The date of the compilation is not specified, but it is no doubt comparatively modern

---

* [Aufrecht I I I No 430]

† [Aufrecht I I I No 439 40]

[Aufrecht I I I p 191 proves that it was composed before the time of Raja Mukuta]

Some compilations have been made of late years, chiefly at the suggestion, and for the use of European patrons. Of these the Śabda Sandarbha Sindhu, containing the words of the Amara Kosha, Hemachandra, Viśva, and Mediní, was compiled by Káśinátha Śarmańa for Sir William Jones. A compilation from those and other authorities, but left incomplete, was latterly prepared for Mr. Colebrooke by different hands, one of whom, Vidyákara Miśra, was for some period one of my assistants; and a very voluminous work, the Śabdártha Kalpataru, has been recently compiled for the use of the Madras College.* The first of these is readily obtainable and was purchased by me in Calcutta. Mr. Colebrooke transferred the second to me, and for the third I was indebted to the kind politeness of the late Mr. Ellis, and of Mr. Campbell. The first will be found referred to, though but very rarely, as it offered no additional matter. From the second I have taken some meanings of monosyllabic words, which were quoted from the Ekákshara Koshas, and of which the originals were not in my possession; and from the third I have extracted a number of vocables for which I could find no other authority. This work would have been as valuable as it is copious, had there not been reason to question its accuracy; but the greater part of its references are wholly fanciful, and the Amara Kosha is given as authority for very many terms, which it does not contain. None of these com-

* [ib., No. 455.]

to procure contains no specification of its date or author, although from the invocation we may conjecture that the latter was a Bauddha or Jaina sectary. It is a useful list of the names of drugs, both vegetable and mineral, with the common Hindu denomination appended, and is regarded as a work of some antiquity and authority.

The *Rája Nighaṅṭu* is the work of Narahari Paṅḍita, who states himself to be descended from a family of Kashmirian Brahmans. From the frequent occurrence of Dakhiṅí terms in explanation of his Sanskrit text it is inferred, however, that he was an inhabitant of the south of India. Besides the medical works which he refers to he comprises amongst his authorities Amara, Haláyudha, and the Viśva Prakáśa, and by his stopping at the latter it appears probable that he wrote during the interval between the appearance of that work and the Mediní, or some time in the twelfth or thirteenth century. The compilation is almost wholly limited to the Materia Medica of Hindu physicians, or the synonymes and properties of various vegetable and mineral products considered to possess medicinal virtue.

The *Bháva Prakáśa* is a compilation of a more general character, and includes the anatomy, pathology, and therapeutics of the Hindus. It is a very useful work, but not particularly full in the nomenclature of those subjects which come within the scope of the present publication, and is therefore not frequently adverted to. It is the work of Bháva Miśra, the son

of Latakarn Misra, and is about two centuries old The copy I have used, and which belongs to the Library of the Asiatic Society, is dated in the year Samvat 1720, or 150 years ago

The *Sabda Chandrika* is a vocabulary of vegetable and mineral substances, with a tolerably copious list of animals, and a chapter on compounds, both in medicine and diet It is accompanied by an interpretation in Bengali and is a useful work The author is Chakra Pani Datta, and the compilation is comparatively modern *

The list of vocabularies which constitute my authorities is not yet completed, and I have to add to them number the *Dwirupa Kosha* of Bharata Malla, and *Unadi Kosha* of Rama Sarmana The first of these is a collection of various readings, pointing out the different orthoepy of the same word, and is a compilation of a writer whom we have noticed amongst the commentators on Amara It is not very frequently referred to in the following work, as similar varieties are abundantly furnished by the author's commentary on the Amara Kosha, which afforded a more ready reference, and most of the examples are also met with in the Sabda Ratnavali, whence they have been likewise extracted

The *Unadi Kosha* is an explanation of the irregular derivatives formed with the affixes Un and others or Unadi, the construction and import of which being

* [Aufrecht I 1 No 43]

reducible to no positive system, they have been formed into a class by themselves The vocabulary I now speak of has the advantage of being elucidated by a comment written by the author, and I have therefore found it sometimes usefully consulted, but as the comment is constructed according to Vopadeva's system of grammar, I have preferred in all cases where I had a choice the five Unadi chapters of the Siddhanta kaumudí, the entire contents of which, constituting a very full series of these derivatives, will be found embodied in the subsequent sheets *

Of the above works the whole were employed in the original compilation, with the following exceptions most of the commentators on Amara, the Náma Málá the Raja Nighantu, Bhava Prakasa, the Unadi chapters of the Kaumudí, and the compilations I have particularised as absolutely modern In the other instances my only task has been collation, and whether the object of this collation was reconciling different readings in manuscript copies of the same work, comparing the differences of separate vocabularies or correcting the inaccuracies of my original, it was a task of which the labour can scarcely be computed, except by those who have engaged in similar undertakings It can be a subject of little interest to the reader to accompany me through any detailed exposition of this part of my labours, or to follow the slow and painful steps, by which I have worked my way through a path

* [Ujjvaladatta's commentary on the Unadi Sutras ed T Aufrecht London 1862 preface p xxi]

so intricate and irksome, often terminating in utter perplexity, and I have no doubt, in spite of all my care, frequently ending in mistake To the Sanskrit student, however, it may not be unserviceable to convey some idea of the condition in which Hindu manuscripts, and indeed all oriental manuscripts, are found and I may be perhaps indulged for dwelling with some complacency on a part of my labours, of the character and extent of which so little can be known to any one else I shall therefore proceed to offer one or two examples of the different descriptions of difficulty, by which this province of my labours has been harassed and obstructed

Of variations in different manuscripts of the same work abundant specimens might be presented, but it will be sufficient to confine them to the Visva, of which I had four different copies One of these, under the term अरर, explains it by छेदन, another by छादन Three copies assign to केशट as one sense of it शोषण, and a fourth शोणक, whilst there is reason to think that they are all wrong, and that the correct reading should be शोकण Under the word श्लक्ष्ण, one copy has जालिक, another जालुका, and a third श्लिक्षिका, and in many instances the four copies present as many different readings, and every one erroneous This is the case with the manuscripts of all the Koshas, and it is to be regretted that the printed editions of those which have been published, are very far from correct, with the single exception of the Serampore edition of the Amara Kosha Printed copies however, as editions multiply,

There is great confusion also in the gender of nouns; thus कच्छ in one passage of the Amara Kosha is termed

---

intricacies, which may be amusing to those readers who take an interest in philological riddles, I here subjoin the interpretation of the word वल्लुर, accompanied by the note which, for my own satisfaction and for the better understanding of the subject, it has been my uniform practice in all such cases to prepare and preserve

वल्लुर n (-र) 1 An arbour, a bower, &c. 2 An uncultivated field 3 A sand, a desert 4 A field 5 A compound pedicle Hem Na 6 A solitude, a wild 7 A thicket, a wood Dhar vide in loco

Note great confusion prevails regarding this and the next word वल्लूर, and the original has increased it by adding a third word वल्लर, misquoting the Dharani for it, in which the reading is correctly वल्लूर The authorities differ considerably, and there is reason to presume that not one of them is uniformly accurate in the interpretations of these two words The following are the passages

वल्लुर श्वाद्वलचये गहनौषधयोरपि ॥ Med [212]
वल्लुर कुञ्जमञ्जर्यो. चये ऽनर्थेषि श्वाद्वले ।
वल्लूरन्तु घनचये वाहनोपरयोरपि ॥ Hem [Nan 593]
वल्लुर तु निर्ज्जनस्थाने विन्दुश्चरे च वल्लुरम् ।
वल्लुर गहने कुञ्जे ॥ Dhar
वल्लुर. पुसि गहननरचेचोपरेष्वपि च ॥ Śabd R
वल्लूर स्याद्घनचेचे वाहनोपरयोरपि ॥ Vis
स्याद्दुश्चरे चनचये वल्लूर गहने ऽपि च ॥ Tri [III, 370]

The first inference to be drawn from these readings is that besides the meanings peculiar to वल्लूर it has those of वल्लुर also, and the next is that, though वल्लुर may sometimes occur as a noun masculine, yet properly it is neuter, all the authorities agreeing in making it so except the Śabda Ratnavali As for the interpretations, the Medini is wrong in श्वाद्वलचये, which should be either श्वाद्वले and चेचे, or श्वाद्वलचेचे, also in औषध which should be उपर, from the concurrence of the Hem, Vis, and Śabda Ratnávali, and the analogy of the other senses Hemachandra

are likely to become more accurate, whilst from the carelessness and ignorance of transcribers in general the multiplication of manuscript copies is only the propagation and augmentation of inaccuracy

The varieties of reading presented by different works are still more numerous and embarrassing, than those I have noticed Thus under the word भित्ति, one of the interpretations occurs in the Medini and Visva प्रदेश, in the Nanartha Ratna Mala प्रवेश,* and in Ajaya प्रदोष Under the term महार्घ, we have in the Medini नारक, in the Visva and Hemachandra नावक, Jatadhara writes a passage उत्सिक्तमुन्नते, the Bhuri Prayoga उत्सिक्तमुद्यते One meaning of रूप, as given by my original is written नालक, Hemachandra has it नाणक, the Visva नानक, the Medini नालोक, the Dharani कणिक, the Sabda Ratnavali नामक, and the Trikanda मानक, Ajaya Pala has वालक, the Sabda Sandarbha Sindhu तारक, and the Nanartha Ratna Mala* has माणिक, offering ten varieties in as many works on a word of three syllables, not one of which is satisfactory Differences to a like and even greater extent are numerous, and there are some instances in which I have had occasion to notice not fewer than thirteen equally puzzling varieties on one short word †

---

* [The confusion becomes still greater by the varieties of reading met with in different copies of the same work as mentioned above Thus in the printed edition of the Nanartharatnam we find *prakasa* instead of *prosta* (I 150) and *nagara* instead of *mandala* (I 201)]

† As a specimen of my attempts at unravelling some of the

a final nasal mark or its omission, are always optional, there being no difference between them "* Notwithstanding the latitude which this doctrine permits, the adjustment of these letters, and of व and व especially, has occasioned me infinite trouble I may here observe that the classification of words beginning with a B or V, which I have adopted, rests chiefly on the authority of the commentators on Amara, and the chapter of the Visva on the subject, extended by analogy to those cases to which their specifications do not apply

To censure the faults of the original compilation with any undue asperity, would ill become one who is conscious of being liable to a similar accusation Bayle says, it is well in works of this kind, if there are not more than seven errors in a page, and perfection in a Dictionary, according to Dr Johnson, is the dream of a poet doomed at last to wake a Lexicographer Both these illustrious men have likewise pointed out the difficulties arising from the mere extent of an undertaking, as well as the impossibility of bestowing the same attention upon many objects, that might be devoted to a few and the former has justly remarked that, although the first writers of Dictionaries have committed many faults, yet they have done great services, and deserve a glory of which they ought not to be deprived by their successors That

* [See the Saraswati Prakriya Surat 1829, I Sutra 15 where the sloka reads thus

रलयोर्डलयोश्चैव शसयोर्बवयोस्तथा।
वदन्त्येषा च सावर्ण्यमलंकारविदो जना ॥]

masculine, and in another is a noun, of three genders, whilst again Mukuṭa asserts that it is never feminine, and Mathureśa, that it is an error ever to make it neuter * As to the various readings arising from confounding the different nasals and sibilants, and above all from the perpetual interchange of the letters B and V (व and व) they are innumerable, and of almost impracticable adjustment The difficulty of separating them indeed seems to have been long ago insurmountable and to have given rise to the following convenient rule, which renders their distinction a *matter* of perfect indifference

रलयोर्डलयोस्तद्ज्जययोर्बवयोरपि ।
शसयोर्मनयोश्चान्ते सविसर्गाविसर्गयो ।
सविन्दुकाविन्दुकयो. स्याद्भेदे न कल्पनम् ॥

"The letters R and L, D and L, J and Y, B and V, Ś and S, M and N, a final Visarga or its omission, and

---

seems the most accurate, except that वाहन is put for गहन, the विन्दुयरे of Dharani is what? and its निर्ज्जनस्थान, though admissible, is more probably निर्ज्जलस्थान, the नरचेच of the Śabda Ratnavali is evidently a mistake for वनचेच The Viśva has वाहन also where the rest have गहन, being as usual the same essentially with Hemachandra The Trikánda has उयरे for उपरे and चनचचे for वनचेचे The Śabdartha Kalpataru has under the word वहने and औपधे for गहने and उपरे, and the Śabda Sandarbha Sindhu, misquoting its originals, writes वासन for the वाहन of Viśva and Hemachandra [A MS copy of the Medini, in the R A S's possession, reads वसर स्याद्वनचेचे गहनोपरयोरपि and in the Śabdakalpadruma s v we find the remark विश्वधरणिशब्दरत्नावलिषु वसरमिति पाठ]

* [Bhanudikshita ad Amar K II, 1, 10 He does not mention or comment upon the śloka III, 4, 31]

a final nasal mark or its omission, are always optional there being no difference between them' * Notwithstanding the latitude which this doctrine permits, the adjustment of these letters, and of व and व especially, has occasioned me infinite trouble I may here observe that the classification of words beginning with a B or V, which I have adopted, rests chiefly on the authority of the commentators on Amara, and the chapter of the Visva on the subject, extended by analogy to those cases to which their specifications do not apply

To censure the faults of the original compilation with any undue asperity, would ill become one who is conscious of being liable to a similar accusation Bayle says, it is well in works of this kind, if there are not more than seven errors in a page, and perfection in a Dictionary, according to Dr Johnson, is the dream of a poet doomed at last to wake a Lexicographer Both these illustrious men have likewise pointed out the difficulties arising from the mere extent of an undertaking, as well as the impossibility of bestowing the same attention upon many objects that might be devoted to a few and the former has justly remarked that, although the first writers of Dictionaries have committed many faults, yet they have done great services, and deserve a glory of which they ought not to be deprived by their successors That

* [See the Sáraswata Prakriya Surat 1st J I Sutra 10 where the śloka reads thus

रलयोर्डलयोश्चैव ग्रसयोर्मबयोस्तथा।
वदन्त्येषां च सावर्ण्यमलंकारविदो जना ॥]

errors would therefore occur in the original compilation, might have been expected, and they might also have been visited with a lenient spirit, they exceed however all reasonable limits, and it is in justice to the encouragers and conductors of the work, as well as to myself, that I am induced to notice them I have corrected at the least several thousand mistakes, and I am confident that, had I engaged to prepare an original compilation, and not to collate and rectify that of the guide I adopted, I should have finished my task in less time, and with less trouble, in a more complete manner, and with more satisfaction to myself The errors were of every description words were inserted as original terms, which proved to be merely misspellings of others, and many were assigned to authorities in which they did not occur, the etymologies were mostly fanciful, often erroneous, and not seldom invented to support a word of the compiler's coinage, the interpretations were frequently most grossly inaccurate, and the passages quoted from the different authorities constantly referred to those, to which they did not belong There were also many faults of omission, and a number of words, even in the Amara Kosha, left out of the compilation in short the book was put together with an utter indifference to literary reputation, and a dishonest disregard of the duty, which the Pandits employed undertook to discharge

Besides the words extracted from the vocabularies I have specified, and to which an appropriate reference

is annexed, a number will be met with without such an appendage these are additions of my own, consisting chiefly of useful grammatical derivatives, taken from the *Siddhanta Kaumudi*, and a few law terms, from *Manu*, and the *Mitakshara*, in the latter pages of the work, I have also inserted many words which occurred incidentally in the course of such miscellaneous reading as my very restricted leisure has permitted me to indulge in As improvements and additions of minor importance, I may here mention that I have attached to the nouns the initial letters denoting the gender, as well as the termination of the nominative case singular and I have referred by appropriate syllables to the several authorities consulted To those of my originals which have been printed, as the *Hemachandra*, the *Trikanda*, and *Haravali*, I have subjoined the numerals, expressing the chapter, section and verse, in which the word is to be found, agreeably to the mode in which those Koshas are divided To the printed *Medini*, and the second portion of *Hemachandra*, and to the Serampore edition of *Amara*, such a particular reference was unnecessary, the two first following a partially alphabetical arrangement, as I have already observed, and the last being provided with an alphabetical index, by which its contents can readily be consulted For the manuscript authorities I have contented myself with the indication of the name, as indeed a more precise reference would neither be serviceable nor practicable

I have now accounted for all the nouns and par-

errors would therefore occur in the original compilation, might have been expected, and they might also have been visited with a lenient spirit, they exceed however all reasonable limits, and it is in justice to the encouragers and conductors of the work, as well as to myself, that I am induced to notice them I have corrected at the least several thousand mistakes, and I am confident that, had I engaged to prepare an original compilation, and not to collate and rectify that of the guide I adopted, I should have finished my task in less time, and with less trouble, in a more complete manner, and with more satisfaction to myself The errors were of every description words were inserted as original terms, which proved to be merely misspellings of others, and many were assigned to authorities in which they did not occur, the etymologies were mostly fanciful, often erroneous, and not seldom invented to support a word of the compiler's coinage, the interpretations were frequently most grossly inaccurate, and the passages quoted from the different authorities constantly referred to those, to which they did not belong There were also many faults of omission, and a number of words, even in the Amara Kosha, left out of the compilation in short the book was put together with an utter indifference to *literary* reputation, and a dishonest *disregard* of the duty, which the Pandits employed undertook to discharge

Besides the words extracted from the vocabularies I have specified, and to which an appropriate reference

great variety of meanings, thus (अव्) *Av* has nineteen acceptations, (कल्) *Kal*, generally signifying numeration or counting, is susceptible of any meaning a writer may chuse to employ it in, and this indeed so far applies to every other radical, that in derivatives of which the form is clearly traceable to a root of a very different signification the difficulty is immediately solved, by the general law धातोरनेकार्थत्वात्, that roots are capable of various interpretations These varieties of sense, however, are either rendered perfectly intelligible in books from the context, or they are explained in the commentaries, and most of the verbal inflections are of an application sufficiently precise As to the individually exact import of the root, it is of very little consequence, as, although it may be a question whether each should be interpreted by an abstract or infinitive noun, this uncertainty is removed at once in practice by the conversion of the root into one or other form. its conversion into the crude verb is the method I have preferred, and it appears in the following pages translated by the English verb in the infinitive mood, with which, however, it must not be confounded, as the Sanskrit root takes an indeclinable infinitive form, which corresponds in power with our infinitive, as designated by the particle *to*

The Sanskrit roots, as identified with crude verbs, are arranged in ten *Ganas*, or classes, differing in conjugation through a part of their inflection, and consequently discriminated in grammatical works the arrangement of the *Dhatupatha* is however alphabeti-

ticles contained in the following work, and the only classes of words admitted into the original vocabularies of the Sanskrit tongue To the European student of Sanskrit, however, a Dictionary would be of little value, that should not offer any intepretation of the verbs, and particularly when they are identified, as they are in Sanskrit, with the roots of the whole language I have therefore embodied the *Dhatupatha*, or catalogues of roots, with the other divisions of the general work

The Sanskrit root, or *Dhatu*, appears to differ from the primitives of other languages in its fulfilling no other office, and being incapable of entering into any form of speech to fit it for this purpose, it must undergo many preparatory modifications, and it is then evolved with the aid of additional particles into a noun or verb at pleasure At the same time, as a matter of convenience, the Hindu grammarians have connected it more directly with verbal than nominal inflection, and in the classification adopted in their lists it wears a more determinate shape, and may then be regarded as the crude verb The import of the Sanskrit root is as undefined as its character, and it is in fact only the general sign of all the possible varieties of action or being that are referable to a common nature It is usually explained by a noun, and the noun most frequently is in the seventh or locative case thus (भू) *Bhu* is explained by (सत्तायाम्) *Sattayam*, in being, (ऋाद्) *Illad* by (अव्यक्तशब्दे) *Avyaktashabde*, in inarticulate sound, &c * Many roots also have a

* [i e in the sense of being in the sense of inarticulate sound]

cal The number of the roots can scarcely be estimated with precision, for, as crude verbs, they may be inflected in several of the conjugations, and they are usually considered as then forming distinct roots There are also many roots called *Sautra*, from being noticed in *Sutras*, or rules, of etymological analysis, constructed for the occasion by authors of reputation, and there are many peculiar to the Vedas, which, as well as the preceding, are not always included in the enumeration of the *Dhatupathas* In general the number of radicals in the ordinary lists is about 1700, as repeated in different classes they exceed 2000 In the following pages the number is something more than nineteen hundred, and they occur as nearly as possible in the following proportions

Class	the first,	1020
—	— second,	73
—	— third,	23
—	— fourth,	128
—	— fifth,	29
—	— sixth,	154
—	— seventh,	25
—	— eighth,	10
—	— ninth,	59
—	— tenth,	411

I do not mean to assert that these numbers are faultlessly accurate, but they are sufficiently so to convey a correct notion of the proportion of which the various classes consist of the whole number, a very considerable proportion also are of rare occurrence

language, which it may be safely said has no limit, and the extent will be thought still less, when it is found that it for a great part consists of the language of Botany and Mythology For so great a portion being thus appropriated it would be sufficient for me to say, that it is the case with my originals, but there is a still better reason, and incidental reference to a Deity by some of his many titles, and fanciful allusions to a flower or plant, constitute half, or more than half, of the poetry of the Hindus Their mythology is the main structure, their botany the chief decoration, of their poetical compositions, and I have no fear of being found by the student unnecessarily copious on these two themes As far as affects my translation of the names of plants and objects of science, I do not mean to be responsible for its accuracy Mr Colebrooke's Amara Kosha has been my chief guide, and in other cases I have made use of the Bengalí and Uriya vocabularies published at the College, and of Dr Roxburgh's Catalogue of the Botanical Gardens, as published by Dr Carey, with the Bengalí and Hindí names of a large portion of its contents

Although the number of vocables contained in the Dictionary is comparatively scanty, yet its application may, with a little attention to the genius and grammar of the language, be almost indefinitely extended Compound words not found in it may be easily resolved into their component parts, and those parts, if not occurring, may be traced to their radicals, and their import thus be ascertained The obvious meanings of

though I have consulted the lists in the Grammars of Mr. Wilkins and Dr. Carey, and in Mr. Forster's Essay, as well as occasionally adverted to the works of Mádhava and Vopadeva and the roots given in the Mugdhabodha and Kaumudí, I have found occasion to make but few additions to the copious and satisfactory catalogue Mr. Colebrooke had prepared. I have added to some of the roots, however, a variety of modifications of meaning, depending upon the addition of inseparable prefixes or prepositions, the application and effect of which in Sanskrit compositions are of a very various and puzzling character. It would be almost impossible indeed to collect all the shades of import occasioned by the use of these particles, the application of which seems frequently to depend upon the caprice of the writer. They are often also accumulated to eke out measure without regard to meaning, and leave the radical unaffected, a circumstance that forms one of the great objects of the commentaries by which all Sanskrit works of merit are accompanied, and without which, for some time at least, they will scarcely be perusable with any satisfaction or certainty. The examples I have given are taken chiefly from the Mágha, Bhagavad Gítá, and Megha Dúta; they are comparatively but few however, and I soon found it vain prosecuting the collection, as far as poetical license, or the निरङ्कुशाः कवयः, the uncontrolled poets of Sanskrit Grammarians, were likely to lead me.

The whole extent of the Dictionary from these various sources is but indifferently proportioned to a

language, which it may be safely said has no limit, and the extent will be thought still less, when it is found that it for a great part consists of the language of Botany and Mythology For so great a portion being thus appropriated it would be sufficient for me to say, that it is the case with my originals, but there is a still better reason, and incidental reference to a Deity by some of his many titles, and fanciful allusions to a flower or plant, constitute half, or more than half, of the poetry of the Hindus Their mythology is the main structure, their botany the chief decoration, of their poetical compositions, and I have no fear of being found by the student unnecessarily copious on these two themes As far as affects my translation of the names of plants and objects of science, I do not mean to be responsible for its accuracy Mr Colebrooke's Amara Kosha has been my chief guide, and in other cases I have made use of the Bengalí and Uriya vocabularies published at the College, and of Dr Roxburgh's Catalogue of the Botanical Gardens, as published by Dr Carey, with the Bengalí and Hindí names of a large portion of its contents

Although the number of vocables contained in the Dictionary is comparatively scanty, yet its application may, with a little attention to the genius and grammar of the language, be almost indefinitely extended Compound words not found in it may be easily resolved into their component parts, and those parts, if not occurring, may be traced to their radicals, and their import thus be ascertained The obvious meanings of

words as derivable from their etymology, though frequently not assigned to them by any authority, and therefore only not inserted, may of course be always admitted, and they may also be extended as epithets to other senses not expressed, to which their analysis makes them applicable Names of properties and virtues will often be met with used attributively, when their application will be easily understood, and the conversion of simple nouns into a variety of possessive and attributive shapes by the use of regular affixes will easily be conceived by adverting to some of the analogous formations the work itself contains As to the effect of negative and privative prefixes, and the addition of the abstractive terminations, there can be no difficulty in these respects, as the modified meaning is too palpable to be misconceived, and by attention to these points, and by a knowledge of the elements of the language, without which all attempts at study must be abortive, I trust the Dictionary now offered to the Public will afford every desirable aid to the student of the Sanskrit Language

undertaking as a universal survey of the present state of philological research. Under the impression, therefore, that an object, which was indisputably of no small importance in the outset of the Society's career—the determination of a fixed point from whence to start—the knowledge of what has been done as a necessary preliminary to what remains to be done—could be most readily attained by separate exertion, he had been induced to enter upon a department which he thought might reasonably be assigned to him, and as prefatory to other branches of an investigation into the existing state of Sanskrit philology, he purposed to submit a brief account of those means of acquiring an acquaintance with the language, which we owed to the talents and industry of European scholars. He might take a future opportunity of offering some notice of the grammatical works of native authorities, and of the labours of European writers, in the elucidation of the affinities which connect the Sanskrit with other cultivated forms of speech.

For a considerable period a notion prevailed that the learned amongst the natives of India were obstinately averse to the communication of instruction in their sacred language to foreigners, and that this was the reason why so many years elapsed before an attempt to acquire it was made by those Europeans, who from one motive or other had sojourned in India. The notion was not altogether unfounded, although the reluctance which no doubt was encountered was less insuperable than was imagined, and originated in a mis-

conception from which the most enlightened native scholars were free There is nothing in the laws or institutes of the Hindus which authorizes a monopoly of a knowledge of the Sanskrit language by any one caste or order of the people The only monopoly insisted upon by the Brahmans was that of tuition They allowed no other caste to teach—they enjoined the military and agricultural castes to learn, even the holy books, the Vedas They prohibited the Sudra or servile caste from hearing the sacred books, but they permitted even the mixed castes to read and hear the great historical and mythological poems, and consequently never thought of excluding them from a knowledge of the language in which those books are composed Their monopoly of tuition, which they most rigorously guarded against aggression was so far disinterested that it brought with it no pecuniary profit Even to the present day it is considered derogatory to the purity of the Brahmanical character to give instruction for hire and although in the service of Europeans the scruple is surmounted, teaching Sanskrit to native pupils is very generally gratuitous The Brahmans had no doubt a design in thus reserving to themselves the seemingly unrequited duties of instruction, but it is not necessary on the present occasion to inquire what their objects were It is sufficient to have shown that there was no law to prevent them from expounding the mysteries of their sacred tongue to ears profane, and that Europeans, although no doubt regarded as amongst the lowest of castes, might have

must have appeared so marvellous to the Mohammedans, that a fable was invented to account for the alteration It was said that by the command of the king, Sheikh Feizí, the younger* brother of Akbar's able minister Abulfazl, had been palmed in early life upon the Brahmans as an orphan youth of their own caste, and that he was initiated by them unwittingly into their learning and doctrines The tale, though still current, is not very probable Feizí is the reputed and, in part no doubt, real author of various translations from Sanskrit into Persian other translations were made under his superintendence† His competency to the task, and the assistance which he commanded, may easily be accounted for without having recourse to fiction The enlightened encouragement and royal munificence of Akbar were the keys that unlocked the treasures of Hindu lore The taste which he introduced survived his reign, and in Dára Shekoh, the unfortunate son of Sháh Jehan, he found a worthy successor The speculative and supplementary portion of the Vedas, the Upanishads, were translated by his order into Persian ‡ With the accession of Aurangzib the age of Mohammedan bigotry revived, and the

* [According to the Tarikh i Badaúni the elder brother]

† [See Sir H Elliot's Bibliogr Index to the Historians of Mohammedan India Calc, 1849, I, 291 259 ff]

‡ From the Persian a translation into Latin was made by Anquetil du Perron, who published it under the title of Oupnekhat seu Theologia Indica Paris, 1801 2 vols 4to [Prof Goldstucker's 'Panini', p 141 f]

Hindus fell back into their defensive attitude of silence and suspicion.

The first European settlers in India were merchants and soldiers, who troubled themselves little about the intellectual products of the country. The missionaries, however, who followed on their track, speedily perceived the necessity of making themselves familiar with the native languages and writings, and diligently applied themselves to the study. Their purposes were not literary, and they did not deem it incumbent upon them to impart any of their discoveries to the European public, although it is not unlikely that the archives of the Propaganda Society at Rome may contain specimens of the early labours of the missionaries of the Roman church. At any rate, it has been recently evidenced by the voluminous compositions in Sanskrit verse upon Christian subjects by members of the Jesuit mission at Madura, discovered at Pondicheri not many years ago, and of which an account* was published in the Researches of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, that some of the members of this mission attained an extraordinary command over the language, and composed in it with an accuracy and an elegance unsurpassed by any later Europeans, and even by modern native scholars. The same proficiency was attained by them in Sanskrit, which they acquired in the vernacular tongues, and which has placed Robert de

* Account of a Discovery of a modern Imitation of the Vedas, by F. Ellis. Asiatic Researches, XIV, p. 1.

Nobili and Father Beschi amongst the classics of Tamil literature in the estimation of the natives of the south of India. Indications of this successful study however were few and faint in the west, although they were at length manifested in such meagre compilations as the 'Alphabetum Brahmanicum', and 'Alphabetum Grandonico Malabaricum', published at Rome in 1771 and 1772 by the Propaganda Society.

The first systematic attempt to impart a more extended acquaintance with Sanskrit to European students, was the publication by Paulinus a S. Bartholomeo, a German missionary* of the name of Wesdin, of a short and imperfect grammar of the language, to which he gave the title of 'Siddharubam, seu Grammatica Samscrdamica', Rome 1790. This was followed by a number of works on the Indian languages, and the mythology and history of the Hindus, in which the information given, although tinted by colouring of local origin, and blended with fictions peculiar to the Peninsula of India, where Paulinus spent fourteen years, is in the main correct, and might have been useful had it not been overwhelmed by an accompanying mass of crude conjecture and misapplied erudition. A more copious and correct grammar was published by Paulinus in 1804, entitled 'Vyacaranam, seu locupletissima Samscrdamicæ Linguæ Institutio'. The original of this was a native grammar, a copy of

* See a biographical notice of Paulinus in the Nouveaux Melanges Asiatiques par M. Abel Remusat, vol. II. p. 20.

which had been translated in India by a Danish missionary of the name of Hanxleden, who seems to have been a much better scholar than Paulinus His papers having come into the possession of the latter, were either published by him as in the present instance, or employed by him for other works, as in the case of the first book of the Lexicon of Amara Sinha, also published by Paulinus with a Latin translation, which he was enabled to execute, it appears, chiefly by the aid of a manuscript Lexicon, Latin and Sanskrit, compiled by Hanxleden, and appended to the Vyacaranam

This first grammar of the Sanskrit language, being a translation of an original work, is accurate, although not comprehensive It is printed with Roman letters in all except the first section, in which the Sanskrit words are expressed in the characters of the Tamil alphabet, of very indifferent typographic execution The Roman representation of the words in accordance with the original Tamil, is disfigured by corruptions derived from the peculiar pronunciation of the natives of that part of the Peninsula of which Tamil is the vernacular idiom, by whom soft labials are substituted for hard, and soft dentals or semivowels for hard dentals, in certain situations Thus *Somaba* is written for *Somapa*, and *bhavadi* for *bhavati*, and *vrikshal* for *vrikshat* With respect also to the Roman orthography, a most barbarous looking equivalent is not unfrequently given for the original, depending partly upon German and partly upon Italian pronunciation, and which it often requires some consideration to identify,

kashtáśrita is not at once recognisable in *kaszdaschrida*. The grammar is followed by two vocabularies, one Latin and Sanskrit, arranged according to the analogous senses of the words; the other, Sanskrit and Latin, arranged alphabetically. It is not stated by whom they were compiled, but it is probable that both were the work of Hanxleden. The 'Vyácarana' is not without merit,* and opened the way to the study of Sanskrit by the scholars of the continent; and Paulinus by its publication established a claim to grateful commemoration, although he cannot be considered to have done more than rendered the labours of a brother missionary accessible to the European public.†

Although not yet known in Europe, the labours of our own countrymen in the East, for the dissemination of an accurate knowledge of the Sanskrit language, had preceded the publication of the Vyácarana The encouragement given to oriental studies by Warren Hastings, the institution of the Asiatic Society by Sir William Jones, and the foundation of the College of Fort William by the Marquis Wellesley, had communicated a powerful impulse and a right direction to the exertions of European scholars in India. Philology could not fail to receive the attention it deserved, and the Asiatic Researches were at an early period enriched

* [For less lenient opinions on the 'Vyácarana' compare Sir W. Elliot, l. l., p. 265, Note †.]

† Schlegel questions his fully understanding the writings of Hanxleden "der Pater Paulinus theilte mit was er nur sehr unvollkommen verstand." Indische Bibliothek, I. 9

by the observations of Sir William Jones and Mr Colebrooke on various points regarding the structure and nature of the Sanskrit language The compilation of elementary works expressly for the use of English students was necessarily one of the first objects of the institution of the College, and it was for the benefit of the junior civil servants of the East India Company attached to the College, that the two first published English grammars of the Sanskrit language, those of Dr Carey and Mr Colebrooke, were compiled and printed in Serampore and Calcutta

Dr William Carey was a member of the Baptist mission in Bengal After a residence of eight years in the country, during which he had studied with unwearied assiduity the Bengali and Sanskrit languages, he was appointed Professor of both in the College of Fort William early in 1801 He immediately set about compiling a grammar,* and the first part of this work was published in 1803 † It was completed in 1806 It forms an immense volume, extending to a thousand quarto pages This is in great part ascribable to the size of the Sanskrit types employed, which in the first stages of Sanskrit printing in India were of unnecessarily gigantic dimensions With a due allowance on this account, enough remains to constitute the grammar a singular monument of in

* Letter to Dr Ryland June 15 1801 Memoir of Dr Carey, D D p 454

† A Grammar of the Sungskrit language, by William Carey Serampore 1806 Reviewed Quarterly Review vol I

dustrious application. The work is divided into five books. The first explains the forms and powers of the letters of the alphabet, and then treats of what is termed in original grammars *Sandhi*, or combination; a series of rules providing for the coalescence or modification of letters when they come into junction or juxtaposition, so as to avoid harshness or hiatus in their articulation; a subject more minutely investigated and more systematically regulated in Sanskrit than in the grammar of any other language. The second book is appropriated to what native grammarians designate *Śabda*, literally a sound, or as here understood, an articulate sound, a word; under which head are comprised declinable words, whether substantives, adjectives, or pronouns; and indeclinable words, adverbs, prepositions, and conjunctions. The third book treats of the *Dhátus* or roots, as capable of verbal inflexion; first, as simple verbs in the ten classes or conjugations under which they are arranged in original works; and secondly, as derivative verbs, causals, desideratives, and frequentatives. The fourth book of 'Carey's Grammar' describes the formation of derivative words and of compound nouns, and treats of the genders of nouns. The fifth book comprehends the syntax and a few pages of exercises. To the whole is added, by way of an appendix, an alphabetical list of roots.

The Sanskrit Grammar of Dr. Carey is modelled upon the plan of the native grammars, and for the most part follows a similar order. The native authorities also are those chiefly current in Bengal, and

was assisted in the compilation by Bengali Pandits, he therefore speaks their language and adopts their technical phraseology to an extent that is not a little embarrassing to a mere European scholar. It is also inconveniently troublesome in use to a beginner, especially from the separation which it admits between the rules and the examples, so that many pages invariably intervene before the application of the precept, by which alone its purport is rendered intelligible, occurs. Numerical references from the examples to the rules are inserted, it is true, at the foot of the page in which the former are met with, but the verification imposes extra trouble upon the student, and is likely to be carelessly made. the want of a definite impression in the first aspect of a rule is seldom subsequently supplied. The section which treats of the formation of derivative words is broken up into unnecessarily multiplied subdivisions, which, with an appearance of classification, only render the subject more complicated and reference more uncertain. The exemplifications of the syntax are meagre and uninteresting. On the other hand, the chapters on conjugation are very copious and instructive, affording examples of a number of the most useful verbs in more or less detail. There are some inaccuracies, but they are not of a nature or extent to detract materially from the usefulness of an ample exhibition of conjugational varieties, as the conjugation of the verbs is the only real difficulty in Sanskrit grammar. The list of roots is a very serviceable and important addition. The whole

is provided with a comprehensive index The 'Grammar' is in truth a compilation of very great merit, although, from its adhering so closely to native technicalities, it cannot ever be of much advantage to European students To scholars more advanced it is calculated to be of frequent service, and to any one who should propose to make himself acquainted with an original grammar of the Bengal School it would prove an invaluable auxiliary

Shortly prior to the publication of Dr Carey's complete Grammar appeared the first part of a grammar, compiled by the late Henry Thomas Colebrooke, a member of the Civil Service of Bengal, who throughout a long and active public life in India, in the course of which he ascended to the highest distinctions of the service, cultivated the language and literature of the Hindus with singular ability, untiring diligence, and unrivalled success Succeeding to the position occupied by Sir William Jones, he proved himself worthy to be his successor, as, if he brought with him to the study an inferior order of scholastic attainments, and a less poetical imagination, he surpassed that distinguished orientalist in the profundity and exactitude with which he accomplished all he attempted, and for which he was indebted to a severer turn of thought and a predilection for scientific and mathematical investigation At the time at which the College of Fort William was established, Mr Colebrooke held the important office of one of the Judges of the High Court of Appeal of Bengal, but the interest which he felt in

the institution induced him to allow himself to be named as Professor of Hindu Law and the Sanskrit Language, and he acted for some time as Examiner in the Persian, Hindustani, Bengali, and Sanskrit Languages From his connexion with the College originated the grammar now noticed, which was published in 1805

Mr Colebrooke's 'Sanskrit Grammar' forms a small folio volume, extended beyond its due proportion by the same circumstance which magnified the bulk of Dr Carey's work—the unnecessary size of the Devanagari types The general arrangement is much the same as that of Dr Carey, being regulated by the nature of the subjects explained There are many essential varieties however in the details The letters, their several powers, and the changes which they undergo in connexion with each other, are first described Then follows the declension of nouns Three chapters succeed, of which one treats of the genders of nouns, one of the formation of the feminine gender, and one of indeclinables The rest of the work is occupied with the general rules of conjugation, exemplified by a rich collection of the Paradigms, in more or less detail, of all the verbs of the first conjugation as derived from the best standard authorities, whose variations are carefully pointed out in accompanying annotations No further portion of the grammar was ever published, Mr Colebrooke considering that, after other entire grammars had been provided, it was not necessary to finish his own work, and his

permanent impression of a very extensively useful precept would have been effected Where, however, familiarity with the arrangement and with the phraseology is acquired, Mr Colebrooke's grammar becomes of inestimable value from its extreme precision and remarkable comprehensiveness The rules provide most accurately, and in the shortest possible space, for every contingency of inflexion, whether of nouns or verbs

Although not exactly in the order of publication, yet it will be convenient, whilst yet in the regions of the East, to notice two other productions of the Calcutta press upon the subject of Sanskrit grammar The first of these was as early in point of compilation as either of its predecessors, having been prepared in 1804 The author, Mr Forster, declares however, that subsequently to the appearance of the grammars of Carey and Colebrooke, he was so sensible of the inferiority of his own that he had allowed it to slumber longer in the press than was necessary, with a view to its eventual suppression Mr Forster was also a member of the Civil Service of Bengal, and was a distinguished Bengali scholar He was a man of a vigorous and active mind, but without Mr Colebrooke's scholarship and perspicacity, and of a more mechanical than even methodical turn His work is entitled 'An Essay on the Principles of Sanskrit Grammar',* and is

* 1 vol 4to, Calcutta 1810 Reviewed by Bopp in the 'Heidelberger Jahrbucher 1818 No XXX

illustrative of the author's peculiarities, displaying great labour and considerable ingenuity. It is, to a great extent, an attempt to give a tabular form to Sanskrit grammar, the exemplifications of the rules for the permutation of letters, the inflexions of declension and conjugation, and the development of derivative verbs and nouns, being collected in tables to which the rules refer. The construction of these tables, the relations that some of them bear to others, and the connexion between them and the rules they exemplify, are not always happily contrived, and the complex and troublesome system of the references from the rules to the tables, and from one table to another, renders the work wholly unavailable for elementary study. It contains however a vast number of useful specifications, and may be occasionally consulted with benefit by riper students. The work, as far as printed, comprises the usual divisions of the grammar; but it was the intention of the author to have given a second volume, in which he was to have inserted a translation of the 'Mugdhabodha', the native grammar, which is the standard authority in Bengal, and which is ascribed to Vopadeva, a grammarian of the twelfth century

Yates, a member of the Baptist mission, a body which for its number has furnished a greater proportion of first rate Sanskrit and Bengali scholars than any other class of persons in Bengal Mr Yates's grammar is constructed upon the plan of popular European grammars, with a view to the simplification of the system and abridgement of time and labour in the acquirement He has not altogether failed in his object, although it may be doubted if the principles of popular vernacular grammar be applicable to so copious and complicated a language as Sanskrit * Mr Yates's grammar forms a moderately sized octavo volume, and may be consulted with facility It does not seem to have made much way in Europe, its necessity having been superseded by similar productions in this part of the world At no time however, not even at the present, do any of the publications of the Anglo Indian press penetrate through what may be termed, to use an Indian illustration, the bound hedge of English literature

When the Asiatic Society of Calcutta was first instituted, and for a few years following, the inquiries of our countrymen into the languages and literature of India seem to have excited some attention and interest Much of this was owing to the European reputation of Sir William Jones, acquired in an English university, and maintained by his correspondence on

* Indische Bib vol II p 11

oriental subjects with the most eminent of the continental orientalists The novelty of the objects investigated, and the attractive though erroneous tendency of some of the Society's fancied verifications of ancient mythology and scriptural history, contributed also to awaken and to keep interest alive -The public mind was also then at leisure to receive new impressions, as little of novelty or importance in literature was offered for its amusement or instruction about the period when the first volume of the 'Asiatic Researches' appeared, or in 1788 In a very brief time, however, came the all absorbing interest of the momentous events springing from the revolution in France, and when literature revived it assumed a more inviting form than archæological conjecture or philological speculation, busying themselves with a distant people and remote antiquity It is not surprising therefore that no note was taken of the grammatical labours of Englishmen residing in the East, and that they found their way slowly and with difficulty even to the few who stood in need of their aid and longed for their appearance A striking instance of this occurs in the case of the late Professor Chezy, who until 1810 had not heard of the existence of Carey's 'Sanskrit Grammar' In the preface to his excellent edition and translation of 'Sakuntala' he has described, in an animated and interesting tone, the wretched means and the unremitting application by which he acquired his first knowledge of Sanskrit, and the delight with which he welcomed the bulky volume of Carey, and the more

elegant and available grammar of Wilkins, which had been published in London at the end of 1808 *

The publication of the grammar of the late Sir Charles Wilkins constitutes an important era in the annals of Sanskrit philology Its European origin and complexion, its distinct and elegant typography, and the higher merits of method and perspicuity, recommended its contents to the notice of continental scholars, and tempted as well as enabled them to embark in a study from which they had previously been repelled by the uncouth form and inadequate structure of the vessels provided for their conveyance From the appearance of the grammar of Wilkins may be dated the impulse given to the cultivation of Sanskrit, and, as an obvious consequence, of comparative philology both in France and Germany, and the somewhat tardy, though it is to be hoped not unpromising, emulation which the labours of the philologists of the continent have at length aroused amongst ourselves

Sir Charles Wilkins, like Colebrooke and Forster, reflects lustre upon the civil service of Bengal Stimulated and encouraged by the example of Mr Halhed, also a Bengal civilian, the first Englishman who directed his attention to Sanskrit, although better known by his

* La bibliothèque du roi possédait bien à la vérité un essai informe du *grammaire*, *un manuscrit composé, à ce que je crois*, par quelque Missionnaire Portugais, mais ne renfermant que le paradigm du verbe substantif, le tableau de declinaisons, une partie du vocabulaire d'Amera et une liste des dhatous, le tout fourmillant d'erreurs les plus grossieres *

grammar of the Bengali language, Mr Wilkins engaged about the year 1778 with ardour in the study, and, in despite of the absence of all elementary assistance, soon became profoundly acquainted with the structure of the language, and with its standard literature An undeniable proof of the success which had rewarded his diligence was manifested in 1784 by the publication of his translation of the philosophical episode from the 'Mahabhárata', the 'Bhagvad Gíta', which was printed in London under the patronage of the Court of Directors, at the recommendation of the most illustrious governor-general that British India ever obeyed, Warren Hastings The difficulty of acquiring the knowledge of a difficult language, such as Sanskrit, without any other appliances and means than grammars and lexicons in the language itself, and preceptors ignorant of English, and unfamiliar with our notions of elementary tuition, can be conceived by none but those who have been placed in similar circumstances Fortunately the author's experience of the difficulty was brief, for had not the grammar of Sir C Wilkins come to his rescue, whilst guessing at the obscurities of the 'Mugdhabodha' through the equally puzzling interpretation of a Pandit he would probably have relinquished the task in despair Such as it was, the experiment qualified him to do justice to the perseverance and industry by which his precursors in the path have enabled others to follow their route Upon the return of Mr Wilkins to England, he brought with him translations of three popular native grammars,

and from these, and other original authorities, he compiled a grammar, of which the first pages were printed in 1795 The types of the 'Devanagarí' letters employed were cut and cast under his personal direction, and for the more accurate execution of the work he set up a printing press in his own house When his preparations were complete, and, as stated, the first pages of the grammar were printed, the house was set on fire, the manuscript books, matrices and punches were saved, but the types and press were destroyed, and the prosecution of the work was stopped So many causes of delay retarded its resumption, that Mr Wilkins at last had determined to abandon his design, when the establishment of the East India College at Haileybury supplied him with a sufficient inducement to renew and complete his labours The grammar was accordingly finished, and was published towards the close of 1808

The general plan of Wilkins's grammar is, like that of his predecessors, suggested by the arrangement of native grammarians To the alphabet and laws of Sandhi succeed the declension of nouns in the order of their final letters, and then the declension of pronouns The conjugation of verbs, simple and derivative, follows, and then the formation of participles and analogous nouns The remaining chapters treat of the formation of miscellaneous derivative nouns, of indeclinable words, of the construction of compounds, and of the genders of nouns, and the work ends with the syntax

The main objects, which Mr Wilkins professes to have had in view in the classification of his grammar and the enunciation of its precepts, are perspicuity and correctness, and it must be admitted that, with some few exceptions of comparatively trivial importance, he has accomplished both The principal defects of the grammar are an insufficient provision of general principles, and in some respects an inconvenient classification The examples are numerous, and for the most part well selected, but the rules which they exemplify do not adequately define existing analogies of construction, a knowledge of which is essential to reduce, connect and simplify what in its present state appears as a somewhat unwieldy and unretainable mass of incoherent details An unnecessary multiplication of declensions is one consequence of this want of a connecting principle In the conjugation of the verbs the separation of the four tenses, to which the analogy of conjugational modification is restricted, from the other six tenses, which according to the author are common to all conjugations, scatters the component members of an individual body over a number of pages, so as to render it a matter of extreme difficulty, and to a beginner almost an impossibility, to trace and reunite them so as to form an entire verb It is to be remarked, as a consequence of this plan, that the whole grammar does not furnish a solitary example of an entire verb inflected throughout in its various moods, tenses, and persons, an omission that is particularly embarassing to a beginner In regard

to the indefinite preterite tense, one of the most perplexing parts of conjugation, an attempt has been made to reduce its multiform construction to a fixed number of modes, but not with much success, as no general principle has been laid down, whilst it is very evident that all the essential modifications are resolvable into no more than two, or that this tense comprehends the præter-pluperfect and the aorist past—the inflexions of each of which are diversified upon principles not difficult to be detected The chapters on the derivation of words are totally devoid of method, and it might be objected to them that the examples are needlessly copious, but that, as no printed dictionary of the language existed when the grammar was published, this copiousness of words was of infinite value, as in some degree supplying the deficiency Finally, as may be objected to the chapter on syntax, that it is not so ample as could be wished, nor are the illustrations in general interesting or striking, notwithstanding these exceptions, however, the justice of which may possibly be with reason called in question, the grammar of Sir C Wilkins is undeniably a work of great merit and utility, and must ever be regarded as of standard authority *

* Schlegel thus speaks of it 'Finally, Wilkins has with uncommon clearness and ingenuity reduced, if I may use the expression, the Algebra of Sanskrit grammar to familiar arithmetic It is true that we miss many things in his book, the absence of which considering the large scale on which the work is planned, cannot well be excused His terminology also is not always lap-

The facilities now afforded by the grammatical works on Sanskrit published in the English language were immediately and zealously applied by men of the highest literary character upon the continent to the acquirement of a knowledge of the language and literature of the Hindus The success that attended their diligence was speedily displayed in the publication of various interesting disquisitions, either upon subjects of a general nature, or the structure and affinities of the language, especially by those accomplished scholars, Frederick and Augustus Schlegel, by Professors Chezy of Paris, Bopp of Berlin, and Frank of Munich Some time, however, elapsed before a complete Sanskrit grammar was attempted

The first Sanskrit grammar published on the continent, after the publication of Wilkins's grammar in England, and the arrival in Europe of the grammars published in Calcutta, was the work of the late Professor Othmar Frank,* then attached to the University

---

ply chosen, but nevertheless, as a beginning, the grammar is exceedingly convenient and serviceable '—*Ind Bib* [I p 10] Burnouf observes "Parmi ces ouvrages (the different Anglo Sanskrit grammars) c est encore celui de Wilkins qu on peut consulter avec le plus de fruit, et quelques reproches que l on soit en droite de lui adresser il reste encore comme un beau monument du savoir de la patience de l auteur —*Journ As* Mai 1825 He quotes also Chezy s opinions as expressed in the Moniteur, 1810 No 50 and says, 'qu il n a pas trop dit quand il parle de l etonnante perfection qui regne dans ce travail et quand il ajoute que malgre quelques fautes il n est pas moins digne de l admiration et de la reconnaissance des savants"

* 'Grammatica Sanskrita edidit Othmarus Frank"

of Wurtzburgh, a scholar of the most extensive erudition, and a critic of the most candid and amiable temperament, but whose judgment was sometimes carried away by that turn for metaphysical speculation which is not uncommon amongst his countrymen From the cultivation of Persian literature and philosophy he passed with avidity to Sanskrit philology and metaphysics, and in the former department took the lead in the compilation of a grammar for German students It was written in Latin, and published at Wurtzburgh in 1823 The want of a fount of moveable types compelled the author to have recourse to lithography to represent the Devanagarí letters, and as they were not written in the best style, nor always accurately, they are very injurious to the appearance of the volume and inconvenient in use Professor Frank acknowledged his obligations to the works of Colebrooke and Wilkins, but he had also consulted the original grammars printed in Calcutta, which by this time had reached Europe—the 'Sutras' of Pánini and the 'Siddhanta Kaumudí' He has however chiefly followed the arrangement of Wilkins, modifying, it may be doubted if judiciously, the order of the declensions, and abridging but not always improving the chapters on conjugation and derivation He is equally deficient in the enunciation of general principles, and his rules are assertions of the bare fact that words assume certain forms, without any attempt to explain how or why His principal additions consist of tables exhibiting the inflexional changes of nouns and verbs in a

convenient and readily consulted manner The table of conjugations however limits the paradigms of nine out of the ten classes of verbs to the persons of the present tense of the indicative mood, and is of proportionably restricted usefulness This part of the grammar is open to the objection made to that of Wilkins, that the tenses are distributed in various places, accordingly as they are formed with what Prof Frank denominates primary or secondary inflexions,* and that no example is given in the whole grammar of an entire verb Prof Frank has taken some pains to explain the meaning of the principal grammatical terms used by native grammarians, derived chiefly from Carey He has also attempted to build upon the doctrines of the original authorities a theory of the language generally, and of the analogous construction and offices of the different parts of speech, but he has not developed his views in sufficient detail, or with sufficient distinctness, to admit of their being fully comprehended, and it may be doubted, as he has not specified his authorities, whether the original grammarians have anywhere suggested, what he imagines he discovers in them, a typical genius of the language, or its relation to the distinctions of matter and spirit Speculations of this nature were favourite themes with Prof Frank, and have sometimes perhaps impaired the beneficial results of his unquestionable abilities industry, and learning

* Flexus primi, F secundari

had given to his own work of 'A Critical Grammar', has exposed him to some rather severe animadversion on the part of his countrymen, one good effect of which, however, was to induce Prof Bopp to direct his attention to the original grammar of Panini at least, and to enrich the second portion of his Latin version, and the second German edition of his work, with many important observations derived from that source It may be doubted, however, if the prejudice which he seems to have early contracted against native grammarians has not been injurious to the comprehensiveness of his views and the perspicuity of his arrangement

Although entitled an abridgement, the last German edition of Prof Bopp's 'Grammar' can scarcely be regarded as an abbreviation, except typographically It is printed in a smaller type and more compact shape, but it contains much additional matter, and the differences which it exhibits are rather alterations than omissions or curtailments The grammar has, in fact, been remodelled in many important respects, and so materially improved, that it may be considered to have superseded the earlier editions, as it also presents the author's latest conclusions upon the subject, it will be sufficient to confine our attention to a brief notice of this particular publication *

The general arrangement of Bopp s 'Grammar' conforms to that of Wilkins s, but differs in some of the

* [The second edition appeared in 1845 and the third in 1863]

and the prepositions with which they combine to form compound verbs, precede the chapters on declension. In the earlier editions, Prof. Bopp, after specifying the general principles upon which nouns were inflected, classed them under six declensions, but in the last edition he has reduced these to one, to which he has subjoined a long series of what he designates as irregular nouns, classing them in the order of the declensions of Wilkins according to their final letters. The reduction of all nouns to one declension is perfectly consistent with the doctrines of the native grammarians and with their scheme of terminations, which is more or less applicable to every case of nominal inflexion. It may be doubted, however, if the irregular nouns of Bopp can be always regarded as deviations from rule, and their being given separately prevents their analogy of construction, mutually or universally, from being so evident as it might be. There are two principal sources of varieties in inflexion, changes of those letters or syllables which are subjoined to the inflective word or base to express cases or persons, and changes of the inflective word or base itself. The former constitute the essence of declension, and there is little irregularity in them. The changes of the base are peculiar either to individuals or to classes of nouns, but in the latter are founded on common principles, and these can scarcely be denominated irregularities. Prof. Bopp has not thought it necessary to advert to this distinction, attaching little or no importance to the scheme of terminations given in original grammars;

readily remembered as a whole which can scarcely be acquired by the separate contemplation of its *disjecta membra* Prof Bopp seems to have felt this difficulty, and in order to enable the student of his Grammar to advert more promptly to an anomalous construction in various instances, he has added to the conjugations an alphabetical list of what he terms irregular verbs, with numerical references to the paragraph in which the irregularity is described The cases may be thus more easily referred to, no doubt, but such reference implies previous knowledge of the irregularity The reader must know, for instance, that the verb *Anja* does offer an anomaly, before he attempts to find what that anomaly may be In fact, he must have already learnt, although he may have forgotten it, and the index is therefore only a supplement to the recollection Upon the whole, although it cannot be denied that Prof Bopp's treatment of this branch of his subject is much more satisfactory than that of his predecessors, Mr Colebrooke excepted, it leaves much to be desired

As a specimen of Prof Bopp's mode of dealing with the conjugation of Sanskrit verbs, the following view which he takes of the personal inflexions may be cited

1 "The characteristic signs of the persons are the following —The first person has in the singular and plural *m*, and in the dual *v*, the connexion of which, with the essential elements of the first personal pronoun, or *m* in different cases of the singular, and *v* in the nominatives of the dual and plural numbers, as

the isolated pronoun *te*, they or those two, formed from *ta* with the affix *i*. Also *te* and *the* agree with the masculine nominatives plural of the pronouns through the analogies comprehended in rule 243-4.

2. "The second person has, through all varieties of number and tense, these characteristic elements, *t*, *th*, *dhv*, *dh*, *h*, *sv*, *s*, of which the last is the widest deviation from the pronominal primitives *tva*, *tve*, subordinate from *te* (in like manner as συ is formed out of τυ, and σι third person out of τι); the closest analogy to the pronoun being *dhve*, *dhvam*, of the Átmanepada, the *v* of which, again, in the second person of the imperative singular is connected with *s*, as *sva*. *S* in truth predominates in the singular, as *tudasi*, thou tormentest; *atudas*, thou hast tormented, &c. *Th* and *dh* are common substitutions for *t*, as *prathama* for *pra-tama*, the first; *adh-ara* for *a-tara*, lower; and *adhama* for *a-tama*, lowest: as further exemplifications of these in the second person take the following. From *tud*, active voice, second preterite, second person singular, *tutoditha*; present, second person dual, *tudathas*; plural, *tudatha*; middle voice, present, second person plural, *tudadhve*; imperfect, second person singular, *tudasva*. *Ad* in the second person singular imperative makes *ad-dhi*. The transition of *as* into the dual ending *thas*, and *as* in the third person into *tas*, depends upon the same principle as the formation of *mas* and *vas* in the first person of the plural and dual numbers, that is, it is the annexation of the plural termination of the nominative case of nouns to the

especial sign of the third personal pronoun, as they were of the same termination to the signs of the first and second personal pronouns *m* and *v*

3 "The third person has, in the three numbers in both voices where the terminations undergo no contraction, the letter *t* for its characteristic element, the conformity of which with the pronominal *t* is as open as day The development of *am* in the dual of the potential, &c , we elucidate through the principle of *a am*, we two, *yu vam*, ye two, as also in the second and third persons of the Atmanepada, the endings *atham* and *atam* "

The chapters on conjugation in Prof Bopp's grammar contain some interesting analogies between the Sanskrit and Greek inflexions of the verb, but these belong to the subject of comparative philology There is also some bold and ingenious theory upon the construction of some of the general tenses, such as the two futures and the conditional, which he regards as compounded, not simple tenses, also of the complete development of the substantive verb *as*, esse, to be, which is always considered elsewhere as defective Some of Prof Bopp's views are rather startling at first, but they are not therefore to be hastily rejected, and may upon further investigation prove to be well-founded

Consideration is also due to Bopp's theory of the formation of the infinitive mood, which Sanskrit grammarians always treat as a verbal derivative indeclinable noun, also to his views of the formation of the inde-

clinable past participle ending in *twa* and *ya*, and the adverbial participle ending in *am* All these are regarded by Bopp as the objective or instrumental cases of nouns, of which the other cases are defective or obsolete, although traceable possibly in the ancient Sanskrit of the Vedas, of which he has given some proofs, others may be perhaps discovered when our acquaintance with the texts of the Vedas is more extensive

After disposing of those derivatives, which are commonly held by us to be parts of a verb, the Grammar proceeds to describe other verbal derivatives, to which, as well as to the preceding, Bopp gives the name of Primitives To them succeed derivative words formed from nouns, as patronymics, possessives, and the like In both classes he has adopted an arrangement equally simple and convenient—an alphabetical list of the suffixes employed by native grammarians to construct derivative upon primitive words, illustrated by examples of the words formed upon this principle In *doing this, however, Prof Bopp has omitted what* might by some be considered as the most useful part of the classification These suffixes, as well as those used in forming the inflexions of declension and conjugation, are composed of two elements, the letter or letters which are really added to or substituted for the original ending of the base, and a letter or letters which are merely indicatory of certain changes in the body of the base the latter Prof Bopp omits Thus, in the first part of his Taddhita, or nominal derivatives,

he gives the letter *a* as forming patronymics, abstracts, collectives and adjectives from primitive nouns, as *vasishtha*, son of Vasishtha, *sauhrida*, friendship, from *suhrid*, a friend, *kapota*, a flock of pigeons, from *kapota*, a pigeon, *rajata*, silver or silvery, from *rajata*, the metal, silver In these examples it will be noticed the original short vowel of the base is transmuted to a long vowel or diphthong, it is exchanged, in technical language, for its vriddhi, or augmented substitute The rule that says such change takes place has to provide for each separate case separately, but in the notation of the original scheme it is a general rule that an indicatory nasal of the cerebral class in any suffix whatever denotes the increase or vriddhi of the vowel of the base Accordingly, the affix is here said to be not *a*, but *an*, the *n* being the indicatory, the *a* the essential element, consequently, *an* being applied to the words particularized, *a* is either added to or substituted for the proper termination of the primitive, the radical vowel of which also undergoes a definite modification There is another important consideration to recommend the preservation of the indicatory letter The essential letter of a suffix may be the same, but the effect upon the base may be different, in one instance its addition may require, in another prohibit, any change of the radical vowel, two opposite results proceeding from the same adjunct, as it stands in Bopp's scheme The cause of the difference is at once seen when the indicatory letter is inserted and its influence is known Derivatives formed with *a*

alone may or may not change the vowel of the base, but those formed with *an* do require the change, those formed with *an*, or *a*, and the nasal of the guttural class of consonants, never admit it *Siva* with *an* must make *saiva*, *chiti* with *an* makes *chinta* It would therefore have been of benefit to the student, as furnishing him with a key to similarity and dissimilarity of derivation, if the indicatory had been added to the essential elements in the alphabetical lists of the suffixes

The chapters on derivation are followed by those on compound nouns and indeclinables, no chapter on syntax is included in either of the editions The author in the first edition announced his purpose of delaying it until after the publication of the glossary to his edition of Nalus It has not yet however appeared, and no notice is taken of the omission in the last edition The subject could not fail to derive interesting and important elucidation from Prof Bopp, although, as he justly observes, Sanskrit syntax may be disposed of in a very moderate compass, as the principles of construction are for the most part conformable to those of general grammar or the grammars of kindred languages, and rarely deviate from the limits prescribed by the nature of its own copious and perfect system of grammatical forms *

---

* Syntaxin nondum absolvere potui, ne tamen extensum hac de re opus expectent lectores Sanskrtam et in lingua apud antiquiores inprimis auctores locupletius me et perfectissime sua

From this brief and necessarily imperfect sketch of the Sanskrit grammar of Prof Bopp, it will no doubt be evident that he has treated the subject with profound attention, and has given to Sanskrit students a most valuable and instructive work The last edition is in particular to be recommended as a compendious and comprehensive guide to the study of Sanskrit grammar, and it fully merits the character which was given to the first edition of it by another distinguished German scholar and critic, Prof Lassen, when adverting to the authorities which Prof Bopp professed to have followed, he described it as more systematic than the grammar of Wilkins, more perspicuous than that of Forster, and more concise than either

But one other grammar remained to be mentioned, concerning which the author could say little, as it was his own It was published in 1841 * Its especial object is to render the acquirement of Sanskrit easy to beginners How far this is effected remains to be determined From the remarks which had been hazarded upon some portions of Prof Bopp's Grammar, it would be readily inferred that the author had made more use than Prof Bopp had done of the methods of native

---

grammaticæ raro transgreditur fines a natura constitutos, ita, ut qui ea repetere noluerit quæ ad generalis grammaticæ pertinent principia et eodem jure in cujusvis alius ex eadem familia linguæ compendio locum habeant, paucis capitibus absolvat syntaxin Sanskritam"

* [Second edition 1847]

grammarians, and he had only to hope that he might not thereby have incurred the sentence which was pronounced upon Mr Colebrooke's Grammar, that "whilst it is of value as an introduction to the study of native grammars, it is insufficient and excessively obscure as a grammar of the language"

Several works connected with the objects of the grammars which have been adverted to, and which are in an especial degree subservient to the acquirement of the Sanskrit language, have been compiled and published by European authors regarding the Sanskrit radicals Lists of these are attached to Carey's 'Sanskrit Grammar', and to his 'Bengali Dictionary', and as identical with verbs they are particularized by Wilkins and Forster The former also published a separate work, in which the roots are arranged in alphabetical order, with the meaning as expressed in original lists, the translation of the original term, a short sentence illustrative of its use, and the indicatory letters denoting peculiarities of conjugation The chief defects of this publication are occasional inaccuracies and general poverty of exemplification

A work of a similar purport, but superior execution, was subsequently published by the late Dr Rosen, and was characterized by that ability, judgement, and learning which he displayed still more conspicuously in his edition and version of the first part of the 'Rigveda', and which have ensured to his too brief career the admiration and regret of all Sanskrit scholars In this compilation the roots are arranged alphabetically by

their final and then their initial letters Their Sanskrit equivalents, as given by two standard native writers, Kasinatha and Vopadeva, are inserted and translated by the Latin infinitive The classes in which they are conjugated are specified, and short paradigms of the principal tenses are supplied The most novel and useful feature of the work is the exemplification of the senses of the radicals as they occur in their inflected forms in short but well chosen passages extracted from the different books which had been printed at the period when the work of Dr Rosen was compiled The same plan of illustration is then adapted to another and important novelty, the most useful of the compound verbs, or those in which the inseparable prepositions are prefixed to the radicals, constituting the great body of the verbs that occur in Sanskrit compositions The examples are referred to the originals whence they are extracted, and are translated into Latin with fidelity and elegance

A still more elaborate description of Sanskrit radicals has been subsequently published It was printed at Bonn in 1841, and is the work of Mr Westergaard, a young but distinguished scholar, whose ardour in the cause of Sanskrit has induced him to repair to India in order to prosecute his studies to still higher proficiency He has deservedly received for this purpose the patronage of the Danish government The materials of his list of roots were derived from this country Mr Westergaard laboured for some time with most extraordinary diligence in the Library of the East India

Company, and drew his information and examples chiefly from the manuscript volumes of the Company's collection. The roots are arranged alphabetically according to their final and initial letters. The class and voice in which each is conjugated are specified, and a paradigm more or less complete, according as authorities warrant the forms, is available. The meanings are then stated and exemplified by citations from published and unpublished texts, and the structure and use of the derivative verbs are also shown and exemplified. To them succeeds the series of compound verbs according to the order of their prefixes, with passages illustrating their application. Reference to the authorities whence the forms and examples are derived is constant and careful. As the examples are very numerous, and as no facilities exist in Sanskrit manuscripts, such as verbal indices, or any similar auxiliars, the labour and research by which they were collected must have been very great and persevering. They are for the most part judiciously selected, but they are not translated, and this is a serious drawback from the value of the compilation; for passages detached from the context of a work not familiarly known and not easily accessible do not always admit of ready and confident interpretation. It would no doubt have materially augmented Mr. Westergaard's trouble to have given translations of all the passages he has quoted, but it would have proportionably enhanced the usefulness and interest of the compilation if he had followed Dr. Rosen's example in this respect. A trans-

lation by himself is also necessary to support his rendering of the purport of a simple or compound verb, and secure its being acquiesced in by others It is of infinite importance in the early stages of Sanskrit study, that in all books of an elementary character radical words should be rendered by unquestionable and unexceptionable European equivalents When the native grammarians give the sense of a radical by a word of obvious import, then the European interpreter is tolerably safe, although even here he may be unlucky in his translation, and fail to make use of the most appropriate expression When, however, he has to deduce the sense of a radical from his own understanding of some of its inflexions as they occur in composition, and where usage, licence, or metaphor may have given their own colouring to the primitive signification, it is very possible that the translator may mistake or may be misled as to the sense, and confound a law or general with a literal and particular meaning It is true that Mr Westergaard defines the sense which he ascribes to the verb that he inserts as he understands its use in the example quoted, but then comes the question whether he has understood the passage rightly, the answer to which, although no doubt in most instances affirmative, may sometimes be given with doubt and hesitation

Of subordinate contributions to the elucidation of Sanskrit grammar, independently of the attempts made to illustrate such original works as have been printed in Europe, or to develope the affinities of the language,

the author could offer but a brief and passing intimation. They are scattered through a variety of continental publications on Oriental or general literature, many of which are little known in this country, and of various merits and character, from the Transactions of the Imperial Academy of St. Petersburgh to the 'Mercure de France' of Paris. Amongst the richest in articles on Sanskrit philology, not comparative, may be especially noticed a periodical conducted by the illustrious William Augustus von Schlegel, the 'Indische Bibliothek', a work which has been discontinued for some years. Its place in Germany has been latterly taken by a periodical of a more comprehensive character, the 'Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes', embracing the literature of the East, not of India alone, and conducted by an association of the most eminent German oriental scholars. In the former of these collections may be especially particularized, 1. the observations of Prof. Schlegel himself upon the actual state of Sanskrit philology in 1820;* and 2. a general view of the state of Sanskrit literature in 1824;† 3. a remarkable and most elaborate dissertation on the verbal derivatives formed with the suffixes *Ya* and *Tvá*, intended to establish their analogy to the gerunds of the Latin verb by Baron William von Humboldt;‡ and 4. a review of Prof. Bopp's Grammar by Prof. Lassen.§ In the 'Zeitschrift' we have a theory of the

---

* Vol. I, p. 1. and Vol. II, part 1.

† Vol. II, p. 1.

‡ Vol. I, part 4,

§ [Vol. III, p. 1.]

formation of compound nouns with the interrogative pronoun by Dr Nesselmann, and a paper on the substitution of the cerebral for the dental nasal under certain circumstances, by Dr Boehtlingk. There are no doubt communications of like tendency and value in other collections with which I am unacquainted. The 'Journal Asiatique' of the Asiatic Society of Paris is less rich in contributions to Sanskrit philology than to other branches of Asiatic literature.

Less has been attempted in the collateral branch of lexicography than in grammar. The character of native lexicons will be more particularly adverted to on some other occasion. It would be sufficient at present to state that they correspond in their arrangement to vocabularies as we employ the term, rather than to dictionaries, the words being classed in them according to analogy of meaning without regard to alphabetical order. They omit also many words of popular currency, as being too familiar to need explanation, and withhold consequently much that is most wanted by a European student. The best work of the class was selected at an early period for publication and translation by Mr Colebrooke. The 'Amara Kosha', or lexicon of Amara Sinha, was printed at Serampore in 1808, accompanied by a marginal translation into English, by illustrations and additions derived from the numerous commentaries on the original text, and by a most useful alphabetical index. A reprint of the text with a French translation at the foot of the page has recently been published at Paris, the work of a

young and highly promising Sanskrit scholar, also prematurely lost to the cultivation of Hindu literature, M Loiseleur des Longchamps

At the same time that the vocabulary of Amara Sinha was published, a dictionary on a more extended scale, and alphabetically arranged, was compiled, under the instructions of Mr Colebrooke and the immediate supervision of one of the most celebrated native scholars in Bengal, by a number of Pandits The main object of this compilation was to bring together the contents of as many of the original Koshas, or vocabularies as were procurable, to arrange them in alphabetical order, and add their etymology, according to grammatical authorities Soon after its completion the author obtained a copy of this work, and having carefully collated all the words with the authorities whence they were taken, incorporated with them the whole of the radicals as well as other words omitted in the compilation, and transferred the etymologies from the Bengali to the Benares terminology He made a translation of the work, and with the encouragement of the Bengal government published it in 1819 The plan of the original compilation necessarily left many deficiencies Shortly before he left India, however, he had an opportunity of making more extensive additions, which remedied in some degree the imperfections of the first edition, although no doubt still leaving many accessions to be made This second edition was published in 1832 Such as it is, this dictionary is the only one yet published, and although no person could

be more sensible than the author how far it falls short of such a lexicon as the study of Sanskrit demands, yet he had the satisfaction of knowing that it had materially contributed to the extension of a knowledge of Sanskrit in Europe Although no other dictionary has been published, its place has in a great measure been supplied by useful glossaries attached to some of the books edited by European scholars, particularly by Prof Bopp in the glossary to his edition of 'Nalus', and Prof Johnson of the East India College in his editions recently published of the first book of the 'Hitopadesa', 'Selections from the Mahabharata', and 'Megha Duta' Prof Bopp is also engaged upon a glossary on a large scale, of which the first part only is published When glossaries of this kind, and when verbal indices to standard works shall have been sufficiently multiplied, it will then be comparatively easy to compile a Sanskrit dictionary that shall place its compiler on a level with Facciolati or Stephens

The author had thus endeavoured to bring before the Society a general view of the advance that had been made in the rudimental cultivation of Sanskrit philology, and it would probably be admitted, even from this brief and imperfect notice, that, considering the period within which the study of Sanskrit had been prosecuted by Europeans, the progress had been creditable, and that no lack of intelligence or activity had been displayed in clearing away the impediments by which the first steps of the acquirement were beset The facilities provided were no doubt far from suf-

ficient, the approach might still be embarrassed by perplexing labyrinths, dark passages and cumbrous obstructions, the first labours of the pioneer must be necessarily rough and incomplete, but the path had been laid open, and it remained for succeeding exertions to smooth, to level, and to embellish it

XV.

REVIEW

OF

PROFESSOR MAX MULLER'S "HISTORY

OF

ANCIENT SANSKRIT LITERATURE".

Second Edition, London 1860

From the Edinburgh Review Oct 1860 p 361 85

TOWARDS the close of the last century great interest was excited, among the scholars of Europe, by the information that the Hindus are in possession of a sacred literature which is the most ancient and authentic in the world, and which exhibits a view of the creation and government of the universe wholly subversive of the records on which Christianity was founded This literature is embodied in a work, or series of works, collectively termed the 'Veda', written in an old dialect of Sanskrit known to only a few of the most learned of the Brahmans—a class who at that time regarded Europeans with mixed feelings of terror and contempt, and whom no inducement whatever could prevail upon to communicate to strangers and outcasts any knowledge of their venerated scriptures

The curiosity thus excited was naturally further stimulated by the difficulty of its gratification, and repeated efforts were made to break through the barrier, but without success, in the genuine native spirit evasion

was grafted upon direct refusal, and fraud was had recourse to to appease the eagerness of the European inquirer, who was ill qualified to detect imposition Thus Mr Holwell,* in his 'Interesting Historical Events', published in 1766, gives a long account of what he terms the contents of the 'Chartah Bhade' and 'Aughtourah Bhade', intending thereby the 'Chatwara Veda', or four Vedas, and 'Athurah Veda', eighteen Vedas, the latter being no Vedas at all, but the eighteen Puranas, from which the particulars he details are evidently derived through a very inaccurate medium in Persian or Hindustani He also affirms that a translation of the Vedas existed by Baldæus, a chaplain in the service of the Dutch East-India Company, in Ceylon, in 1760 this is equally inaccurate—no such translation was ever published in the description of Malabar and Ceylon, published by the learned chaplain, in 1762, he has a chapter on the 'Afgoderey der Oost Indische Heydenen', but this, like Holwell's own account, represents the mythology of the Hindus agreeably to the Puranas, modified by popular superstitions and practices Again, Colonel Dow, in the preface to his History of Hindustan, published in 1768, prints as specimens of the Vedas a few verses which are in reality taken from a work on rhetoric Mr Walker, in the preface to his translation of 'The Code of Gentoo Laws', shows himself more accurately informed than his predecessors, but his information is still imperfect 'The

* [Compare K J H Windischmann 'Die Philosophie im Fortgange der Weltgeschichte Bonn 1832, p 585 634]

'Pandits,' he says, 'who compiled the code were to a 'man resolute in rejecting all solicitations for instruc-'tion in Sanskrit, and even the persuasions and in-'fluence of the Governor-General, Warren Hastings, 'were exerted in vain'

The Brahmans, who supplied Dow with surreptitious passages from the Vedas, were not the sole manufacturers of such pious frauds, and very extensive compositions in Sanskrit were put forth in the south of India, under that designation, by the missionaries of the Propaganda, showing a wonderful amount of industry and extraordinary command of the language, but written in the style of the Puranas—not of the Vedas They were discovered by Mr Ellis, of the Madras Civil Service, at Pondicherry, and are described by him in the 14th volume of the 'Asiatic Researches' amongst them was the original of a work of which a translation had been printed in Europe, in 1778, at Yverdon 'L'Ezour Vedam traduit du Sanscretan par 'un Brame' The original had been brought from Pondicherry, and presented to Voltaire, who, transferring it to the Royal Library, expressed his belief that it was four centuries older than Alexander, and that it was the most precious gift for which the West had been ever indebted to the East,—how little he knew of the matter is evident from the work, which is an attempted refutation of Hinduism by a Christian author

The unsatisfactory nature of these attempts augmented the desire of European scholars to procure authentic copies of the originals, and the failure to do

so suggested a doubt whether they were any longer in existence: this suspicion was dissipated by Colonel Polier, who at last procured a copy from Jaypur, through Don Pedro de Silva, the Portuguese physician of the Raja. Colonel Polier brought it to England in 1789, and presented it to Sir Joseph Bankes, by whom it was transferred to the British Museum, where it is preserved. It is a handsome 4to, but is limited to the text, which without the scholia is of comparatively little use. Subsequent research, in which Sir Robert Chambers, one of the Judges of the Supreme Court of Calcutta, was pre-eminently successful, multiplied copies of portions, at least, of the Vedas, which, with other MSS. collected by him, were sold many years after his decease to the King of Prussia, and are now at Berlin. A catalogue by Professor Weber was published in 1853. As Sir Robert was not a Sanskrit scholar, he contributed no further to our knowledge of the originals: even Sir William Jones was but little acquainted with the original text.

In the meantime Mr. Colebrooke, a member of the Bengal Civil Service, who had been diligently raising himself to that pre-eminence as a Sanskrit scholar which was eventually undisputed, engaged, amongst other pursuits, in the study of the Vedas, for which his position as a civilian at Benares and the relaxing scruples of the Brahmans offered him favourable opportunities. In 1805 appeared the first authentic analysis of the Vedas from his pen, in his essay on the Vedas, published in the eighth volume of the Asiatic

Researches, conveying as copious a description of their contents as the scope and extent of his dissertation permitted, and characterised by that care and precision by which all Mr Colebrooke's contributions to Hindu literature are distinguished The notice was avowedly of a general nature, and the materials were in some instances admitted to be imperfect, but the result was a distinct appreciation of the character of the four works known as the Vedas,—the Rich, Yajush, Saman, and Atharvan, with specimen translations of a portion of their contents of a curious and interesting purport, the first precise information of some *of their chief supplements or Brahmanas, and of their* principal commentaries, arguments in proof of their authenticity, and a speculation as to the probability of their dating as early at least as the 14th century B C Here our knowledge was suspended, and Mr Colebrooke's essay continued for thirty years our only authority on the subject of the Hindu Vedas Notwithstanding the fulness and accuracy of Mr Colebrooke's essay, it was always felt that an actual translation of the Vedas, or of their principal portions, was still wanting as the only guide upon which reliance could be placed for a comprehensive and correct appreciation of their contents, and at last, upon the institution of the Oriental Translation Fund, the late Dr Rosen was encouraged and assisted to translate the Rig-Veda, the *most important* of the four Of the eight books in which this work is arranged Dr Rosen translated the first only into Latin, it was published in 1838 with the

text in both Nágarí and Roman characters. Unfortunately his premature death put a stop to the erudite and conscientious execution of his task.

The next step towards the illustration of the Vedas was made by the Oriental Text Committee, in co-operation with that of the Translation Fund, by the publication, in 1842, of the text and translation of the Sáma-Veda, by the late Rev. Mr. Stevenson, of Bombay, who had previously published at that Presidency an English version of the two first sections of the first Ashṭaka or eighth of the Ṛig-Veda. The Sáma-Veda is short, and is a repetition or recast, for the greater part, of the Ṛig-Veda. It has been since reprinted, with a German translation, a copious glossary, and most laborious illustrations by Professor Benfey, of Göttingen.

For the study of the Hindu Scriptures had now been taken up in France and Germany with that intense zeal and indefatigable assiduity which characterise continental literary erudition. In 1842 M. Nève, of Louvain, a pupil of Burnouf, published his 'Études sur le Ríg-Véda'. Professor Roth, of Tubingen, another pupil of Burnouf, who, in various communications addressed to the Oriental Society of Germany, had afforded novel and interesting information respecting Vedic literature, published in 1846 his three dissertations 'Zur Literatur und Geschichte des Weda'; a publication of no great extent, but entirely new, and of the highest interest and value, and which has evidently given an electric impulse to the study of the Vedas upon the Continent,

extending, although with much less intensity, to this country

The next publication was also by Professor Roth, the text and partial explanation of the Nirukta by Yaska, an ancient commentator on passages of the Veda, and an author of a short glossary of Vedic terms M Neve, of Louvain, next published his 'Essai sur le Mythe des Ribhavas', 1847, and detached hymns were published, with translations by Professor Lassen, of Bonn, and the late Eugene Burnouf, of Paris More important works are the original texts That of the Sama-Veda has been alluded to Professor Weber, of Berlin, has just completed, in three large quarto volumes, the texts of the Yajur-Veda, (that portion called the White Yajush,) of the Satapatha Brahmana, and of the Sutras, or Precepts of Katyayana both of which are illustrative of the Yajur Veda The text of the Atharva-Veda has been printed by Professors Roth and Whitney the latter an American Sanskritist, and the most voluminous and important, the Rig-Veda, with an elaborate commentary by Sayana Acharya, who flourished in the 14th century of our era, is in course of publication by Professor Max Muller, of Oxford, under the authority and at the cost of the Home Government of India Three volumes have been published,* it will be completed in two, or at most in three more, and is an extraordinary specimen of diligence, acumen, and scholarship which does infinite credit both to the editor and his patrons, who have thus preserved

* [Vol IV, 1863]

from the risk of decay a most venerable memorial of human belief A French translation of the whole work from manuscript has been published by the late M Langlois, and an English version, by Professor Wilson, proceeds *pari passu* with Professor Müller's text Three volumes containing half the Rig-Veda, or four out of its eight Ashṭakas, have been published

Speaking of the Vedas, it is usually assumed that a collective or homogeneous work is intended, but, as above intimated, the works to which the designation is applied, are four in number,—the Rig-Veda, the Yajur Veda, the Sama-Veda, the Atharva-Veda, each of which is a Sanhitá or collection complete in itself, of detached hymns and prayers, mixed up in the Yajush with ritual directions But these four works, constituting the mere utterance of the Vedic religion, form but a small portion of the religious literature even of the Vedic period In addition to the Sanhitas, we have Brahmanas, Súktas, Upanishads, Pratisakhyas, Vedángas, and scholia of the most minute and profound description, all professing to spring from, and illustrate and carry into effect, the worship taught by the Vedas, a more or less extensive acquaintance with which is essential to a thorough understanding of the Veda, although it is rarely, if ever, now mastered by the Brahmans, and is manifestly beyond the reach of the European Sanskritist Such acquirement is fortunately not indispensable to the determination of the great outline of the primitive system of religious belief inferable from the texts which are now before us, but it is no doubt

of great importance to an accurate estimate of their purpose and their history. A sense of their value in these respects has induced Professor Müller to bring his immense reading and unequalled research to bear upon the subject, and has given to the public the subject of our present notice, 'A History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, as far as it illustrates the primitive religion of the Bráhmańas'.

Referring to the light which the cultivation of Sanskrit in its philological bearings has reflected upon the history of mankind, identifying, through the incontestable testimony of language, races long separated by half the globe, and diametrically opposed in complexion, constitution, laws, and religion, and pointing out the necessity of beginning with the beginning, Professor Müller observes:—

'It is with the Veda, therefore, that Indian philology ought to begin if it is to follow a natural and historical course So great an influence has the Vedic age (the historical period to which we are justified in referring the formation of the sacred texts) exercised upon all succeeding periods of Indian history, so closely is every branch of literature connected with Vedic traditions, so deeply have the religious and moral ideas of that primitive era taken root in the mind of the Indian nation, so minutely has almost every private and public act of Indian life been regulated by old traditionary precepts, that it is impossible to find the right point of view for judging of Indian religion, morals, and literature without a knowledge of the literary remains of the Vedic age No one could fairly say that those men who first began to study Sanskrit, now seventy years ago, ought to have begun with reading the Veda The difficulties connected with the study of the Veda would have made such a course utterly impossible and useless But since the combined labours of Sanskrit scholars have

now rendered the study of that language of more easy access since the terminology of Indian grammarians and commentators, which not long ago was considered unintelligible has become more familiar to us, and manuscripts can be more readily procured at the principal public libraries of Europe, Sanskrit philology has no longer an excuse for ignoring the Vedic age' (P 9, 10)

Consistently with this view of the subject, the *Vedas*, as we have observed, must mean something more than a single work, and in Professor Müller's opinion 'it 'would be much nearer the truth to take Veda as a 'collective name for the sacred literature of the Vedic 'age, which forms, so to speak, the back ground of the 'whole Indian World' With regard to this literature, although no doubt some has perished, yet a large proportion still remains, and until it shall have been more completely investigated, a work of very many years, it would scarcely be safe to take a comprehensive view of the whole age Professor Müller modestly observes that what he has to offer are but Prolegomena or treatises on some preliminary questions which may be determinately answered from the materials now available to Sanskrit scholars his principal object, he adds, is 'to put the antiquity of the Veda in its proper light,' meaning thereby not only the chronological distance of the Vedic age from our own, measured by the revolutions and progress of the heavenly bodies, but also and still more the distance between the intellectual, moral, and religious state of men as represented to us during the Vedic age, compared with that of other periods of history,—a distance which can be measured by the revolutions and the progress of the human mind

After some interesting observations upon the unity of the Aryan races, and their divergence west and east at a period prior to history, Professor Müller proceeds to trace the development of the religious speculations which have given to the Hindu mind its predominant character, and have rendered them regardless or contemptuous of the ephemeral transactions which constitute political history Adopting as a starting point the separation of Buddhism from Hinduism in the 6th century B C , he proceeds from thence through three periods up to that which he regards as the earliest period, describing the different classes of literature bearing upon that point The copiousness of detail with which he elucidates the various stages is far beyond the limits of a notice like the present, and we must be content to advert briefly to the principal stages through which the Professor traces the upward ascent of the system, distinguishing them into what he terms the Chhandas, Mantra, Brahmana, and Sutra periods

The first or *Sutra* period, a Sutra, literally a thread, is applied to a short and often obscure didactic rule, the peculiarities of which, as Professor Muller observes, it is not easy to explain to one who has not worked his way through the Sutras themselves they are the groundwork of all the systems of philosophy as well as of grammar, as in the case of the Sutras of Panini, and are only intelligible by the assistance of commentators who amplify their language and expound its purport In this place the Sutras intended are those only which are connected with the Vedas,

but which do not purposely belong to the Vedic age, being included within the order of Smŕiti works, or those handed down by memory or tradition, and not of the Śruti or the literature of revelation,—the Mantras and Bráhmańas. One great distinction is that the authors of the latter when named are said to be *seers* of the prayers and hymns—not the authors—whilst the Sútras are attributed to human authors, all of whom, according to Professor Müller, are anterior to Buddha.

Under the head of Sútras or Precepts Professor Müller classes the works called *Vedángas* or members, angas, of the Veda, usually enumerated as six, although perhaps on insufficient authority. We are not, however, to look for the Vedángas to those small and barren tracts which are known by this name, and which represent only the last unsuccessful attempts to bring the complicated and unintelligible doctrines of former ages into a popular form. They are Śikshá, pronunciation; Chhandas, metre; Vyákarańa, grammar; Nirukta, explanation of words; Jyotisha, astronomy; and Kalpa, ceremonial. The first two are considered necessary for reading the Veda, the two next for understanding it, and the last two for employing it at sacrifices. Under the first division *Śikshá*, Phonetics, or Pronunciation, comprising rules regarding letters, accents, quantity, organs of enunciation, delivery, and euphonic combinations, Professor Müller has entered into a detailed account of a class of Vedic literature first made known to us by Professor Roth, in his essay on the Literature of the Veda. This con-

sists of works termed *Prátiśakhyas*, being respectively (práti) intended for the use of the branches or Sakhás of the Vedas Every such Sakha, it is to be presumed, had its own Prátisákhya, but at present only four are known to exist, one for each Veda

That for the Rig-Veda is considered as belonging to the Sakala Sákha, but, as Professor Müller observes, there is not a single instance of a MS of the Rig-Veda at present known to which the rules of this Práti sákhya apply Probably the same may be said of the other three, for the rules of the Prátisakhyas were not intended for written literature, they were only to serve as a guide in the instruction of pupils who had to learn the text of the Veda by heart and repeat it as part of their daily devotions The text of this Pratisákhya, with a commentary, has been printed by Professor Müller, as far as the sixth book, with a German translation, and the whole has been printed and translated into French in the Journal Asiatique by M Regnier The Prátisakhya of the White Yajush has been edited by Professor Weber, in his Indische Studien * Such laborious minutiæ and elaborate subtleties relating to the enunciation of human speech are not to be met with in the literature of any other nation

The standard authority for the *Chhandas* or metre of the Vedas is an author named Pingala, who can

* [Vol IV p 65 160 177 331 The Atharva Veda-Prátisakhya was published, with a translation and notes by Prof Whitney in the 7th vol of the Journal Am Or Soc Compare Prof Goldstucker's Panini, p 183 214 and A Weber Ind Studien V p 89 135]

scarcely however be regarded as belonging to the Sutra period, nor does he confine himself to the metre of the Vedas or even of Sanskrit, giving rules also for Prákrit prosody A very full account of his system is given by Mr Colebrooke in the tenth volume of the Asiatic Researches * As Pingala is the authority for the prosody, so is Panini for the grammar of the Veda, but, as remarked by Professor Müller, there is an obvious inconsistency in this, for the main body of Panini's grammar relates to the post-Vedic development of the language, and the Vedic peculiarities are only occasionally specified There were, however, many grammarians prior to Panini, as Mr Colebrooke, in the first and only published volume of his Sanskrit grammar, remarks, and to some of them we might look for a more accurate representation of Vedic grammar if they had not perished

The next of the Angas is termed *Nirukta*, and, as generally met with, is a short vocabulary of synonymous words found in the Vedas, most of which are now unused or obsolete The author to whom it is ascribed, Yaska, has added to it two sections, in which he explains various texts of the Veda, and enters largely into the etymology of the language The text has been published by Professor Roth, with an explanation of particular portions The Nirukta, together with the Pratisákhyas, and Panini's grammar, supply the most interesting and important information on the growth

* [Miscell Essays II, 62 ff]

the latter still invigorates the mind of every rising generation throughout the civilised world'

Again —

'It is in the Sutra literature that we meet with discussions on language of a purely scientific character, and what we do find in the Pratisakhya, in the Nirukta and Panini, is quite sufficient to show that at their time the science of language was not of recent origin I can only touch upon one point It is well known how long it took before the Greeks arrived at a complete nomenclature for the parts of speech. Plato knew only of Noun (ὄνομα) and Verb (ῥῆμα), as the two component parts of speech, and for philosophical purposes Aristotle too did not go beyond that number It is only in discussing the rules of rhetoric that he is led to the admission of two more parts of speech, the συνδεσμοι (conjunctions) and ἄρθρα (articles) The pronoun (ἀντωνυμια) does not come in before Zenodotos, and the preposition (προθεσις) occurs first in Aristarchos In the Pratisakhya, on the contrary, we meet at once with the following exhaustive classification of the parts of speech (XII, 5)

'The noun (nama), the verb (akhyata), the preposition (upasarga), and the particle (nipata) are called by grammarians the four classes of words The noun is that by which we mark a being, a verb that by which we mark being the latter is called a root (dhatu) There are twenty prepositions, and these have a meaning, if joined with nouns or verbs The rest of the words are called particles The verb expresses an action, the preposition defines it the noun marks a being particles are but expletives' (Pp 153 6°)

The *Kalpa Sutras* constitute the next division of the dependent branches of the Veda, and, as extended in their application to all precepts regulating the ceremonial observances of the Hindu which are of Vedic origin, form an extensive series of works which for practical purposes are the most important of the whole They are distinguished into three classes, as *Śrauta*,

Grihya, and *Samayacharika Sutras* the first prescribe the especial Vedic ceremonials or sacrifices, such as those to be celebrated on the days of new and full moon, the second enjoin the household or domestic rites, practised at various stages of the life of the Hindu from conception to death The third regulate the daily observances of the twice-born, they are comparatively of little note, but the Sutras of the two first orders are of much greater moment The Srauta ceremonials are now rarely if ever performed, but the Grihya or domestic rites are more or less regularly observed, and the prayers derived from the Vedas are still repeated at their celebration, the Vedic texts are taken from the several Vedas on which the Sutras are considered to be dependent, each Veda having its own Sutras Professor Müller enumerates as still extant and entire three of the Black Yajush, one of the White, three of the Sama, two of the Rich, and one of the Atharva Many more are quoted in different compositions, which are no longer procurable. The chief Sutrakaras of the Black Yajush are Apastamba, Baudhâyana, Satyashadha Hiranyakesi, and Manu, whose Sutras exist in fragments only Katyayana is the author of the Sutras of the White Yajush Masaka, Latyâyana and Drâhyâyana are the authors of those of the Sama, Asvalâyana and Śânkhâyana of those of the Rich, and the Atharva has the Kusika Sutras Professor Müller attributes to these Sutras an important part in the development of the Hindu religion

The Kalpa Sutras, Professor Müller observes, mark

a new period of literature and a new purpose in the literary and religious life of India They were adopted by different schools, and came in time to supersede the authorities on which they were founded Professor Müller enumerates nineteen (p 211) works of this class as either actually existing, or quoted by different scholiasts

The last of the Angas is the *Jyotisha* of the Vedas, but here also the tract or tracts that pass under this designation are brief and meagre and of little authority They are not, however, without interest, especially in connexion with indications of a knowledge of astronomical phenomena found both in the Mantras and Brahmanas As Professor Müller notices, notwithstanding the modern form of the Jyotisha tracts, the doctrines represent the earliest stage of Hindu astronomy, and show that the lunar mansions and the solar-lunar year were part of their system *

The next subject to which the work relates is a description of the systematic indices or *Anukramanis* of the Veda The most perfect of those still extant is that of the Rig-veda, attributed to Katyayana, which specifies the first words of each hymn, the number of verses, the name and family of the author, the name of the deity to whom it is addressed, and the metre of every verse Before this there had been separate indices for each of these subjects, and it was from com

* [A Weber, Ueber den Vedakalender, Namens Jyotisham Berlin 1862]

prising all of them that the index of Kátyáyana was termed the Sarvánukrama or Universal Index The separate indices were ascribed to Śaunaka, and some of them exist at least as quotations, or, in one instance, entire One of his peculiarities and a proof of the minuteness with which the texts were studied, is a specification of the Maṅdalas, the Anuvakas, and the number of Suktas or hymns in each, giving 1017 of the latter Another enumeration is that of the Vargas and verses, as 10,417 of the latter, there are other enumerations, showing some, though not a very wide difference, as 10,409, 10,616, 10,622 There is even an enumeration of all the words, reckoning them at 153,826 One advantage of these calculations is then precluding the possibility of any wide deviation from the original text The other Vedas have also their indices, but the only authors handed down are Saunaka and his pupil Kátyayana, who is the author of one of the indices of the Yajush, as well as of the Rich

As with these works we take leave of the Sutra period, in which the authors of the different compositions, although reputed saints, are mortals, and are named, we may pause to inquire how far it may be possible to ascertain the date of their existence, and so far the era of the more modern contributions to the literature of the Vedas Saunaka is an important personage in Hindu legend, not only in connexion with the Rig-veda, but with the Mahábharata being one of the Rishis to whom it was related In connexion with the Veda his immediate pupil was Aswalayana who is

Sútras we have, and from whom Kátyáyana derived his knowledge. We have no means of tracing the date of Śaunaka and Áśvaláyana, except as preceding Kátyáyana, of whose period we have some indications, although not perhaps entitled to much reliance. But Kátyáyana is the reputed author of the Várttikas, additions and emendations of the grammatical Sútras of Páńini, and if the same person be intended, which is more than questionable, he is the same as Vararuchi, whom Hindu tradition makes cotemporary with Nanda, the predecessor of Chandragupta, Rájá of Páṭaliputra, —the Sandrocoptus to whom Megasthenes was sent ambassador by Seleucus. If we can trust to the tradition, then we have a final point for the date of Kátyáyana, the second half of the fourth century before the Christian era.*

The identity of the Sandrocottus or Sandrocoptus of the Greeks, with the Chandragupta of the Hindus, first pointed out by Sir Wm. Jones, was subsequently more fully developed by Professor Wilson in his introduction to the drama of the Mudrá Rákshasa, of which he is one of the principal characters. For it is not now called in question and is regarded by Professor Müller as the sheet-anchor of Indian chronology. He has entered into very copious details on the subject, not only from the classical and Hindu authorities, but those of the Jains and Buddhists, to the latter of whom Chandragupta is a person of historical interest,

* [Goldstücker, l. l., p. 89 ff. Weber, Ind. Stud., V, 43 ff.]

as the grandfather of Asoka, their great patron There is some discrepancy as to dates between their chronology and that of the Brahmans, but not of very irreconcilable character The dates of Buddha's Nirvana vary considerably, but are reducible to two classes The Chinese, Tibetans, and Mongols place it ten centuries more or less B C , the Singhalese, Burmans, Siamese, six centuries, and this latter is most consistent with their records of the progress of the religion Buddha's death is placed by them 543 B C , but Professor Muller suggests that the date should rather be 477 B C , which will explain the difference of something more than sixty years between the Buddhist and Hindu and Greek chronology, but as he observes, whatever change may have to be introduced into the earlier chronology of India nothing will shake the date of Chandragupta, and consequently, if Káty yana flourished about his time, we may consider the fourth century B C as the period of his Sutras and Anukramanís Reckoning upwards from this time through his predecessors Asvalayana and Saunaka, we may reasonably prove them to have lived in the first half or the beginning of the fourth century B C , and as there were authors of Sutras prior to Saunaka, Professor Müller proposes to extend the Sutra period to the sixth or seventh century —prior to which we have the literature that is considered by the Hindus as constituting the periods of their inspired or scriptural Vedas

Having assigned to the latter literature of India the

upward limit of six centuries B C Professor Müller proceeds to examine another and confessedly more ancient class of Vedic writings, differing in style both from the Sutras which are posterior, and from the Mantras which are anterior to them These are the *Brahmanas*, whose currency constitutes a distinct stage in the progress of the religious history of the Hindus

According to the generally recognised classification of the Vedic literature it comprises two great divisions, the Karma Kanda and Jnana Kánda, the practice and speculation, the ceremonial and the philosophical sections, the liturgy and theosophy, or in the language of the commentators, the Mantra and the Brahmana, meaning by the first the prayers, hymns, charms, and other formulæ to be cited or chanted at the sacrifice, by the second inquiries into the nature of man and God, and the relations of matter and spirit The definition of the Brahmana is, however, very far from definite, and the scholiasts are driven to the conclusion that it is no otherwise to be characterised than that whatever in the Veda is not Mantra is necessarily Brahmana,* a very vague and unmeaning distinction, and much too comprehensive to constitute a special characteristic It would be difficult indeed to assign any specific attribute to the Brahmanas as we have them, the Aitarey a Brahmana of the Rich, the Sata patha Brahmana of the White Yajush, which has been

* [Goldstucker, l l. p 69 ff Haug Ait Br, I, p. 1 7]

published by Professor Weber, and of which an analysis is given by Professor Müller, and the Gopatha Brahmana of the Atharva, also described by our author in none of these does philosophical speculation take any prominent share, their object is usually to illustrate the ceremonial or the Mantras, by explaining their origin and import, and repeating legends illustrative of both, citing summarily the texts which are used on a particular occasion These old stories form the most valuable portion of the sacrifice of Sunahsepha for instance, in which his own father offers him as an immolation As told in the Aitareya Brahmana, it is a very remarkable legend, it is translated by Professor Muller, but had been previously published by Professor Wilson in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society * Again, in the Satapatha, we have the Hindu version of the escape of Manu from the deluge The original of the story is repeated in the Mahabharata and the Matsya and other Puranas † and was originally translated by Sir William Jones Many other interesting particulars occur in these Brahmanas which are of great value to both the political and religious history of the Hindus, but little or nothing to justify

---

* [Ait. Br VII 3 Journal R A S Vol VIII p 96 ff H H Wilson's Essays and Lectures Vol II p 947 69 See also the references in Muir's Sanskrit Texts I 104 ff]

† [Mahabh. III 10746 ff Matsya Pur & Burnouf Bhag Pur III p XXIII ff Weber Ind Stud I 161 ff Kellgren de orto mundano (1849) p 45-69 Muir's Original Sanskrit Texts II 399 ff]

their character of Brahma Káńda or theosophy of the Veda.

Where then is this to be found? In works termed *Árańyakas* and *Upanishads*, which may be considered as belonging to the class of Bráhmańas, and which are often found as integral portions of them, or even of the Sanhitá, or collections of the Mantras, although at the same time held to be distinct. The Árańyakas* are so called, according to Sáyańa, because they were to be read in the forest, as if they were the text-books of the anchorites, whose devotions were spiritual. Several of these have been published in the Bibliotheca Indica, especially the Bŕihad-árańyaka and Taittirĺya-árańyaka, the former of which is also translated by Dr. Roer. The mystical philosophy of this class of literature may be seen from the translation; it is also evident that the Árańyakas, however sometimes confounded with the Bráhmańas, intimate their prior existence.

A still more numerous class of works, although in some instances of rather equivocal date and authenticity, is the chief repository of Hindu speculation. These are the *Upanishads*, the Mysteries of Theosophy. Of these some fifty were translated into Persian by order of Dárá Shakoh, and from the Persian by Anquetil du Perron, into Latin, under the title of 'Oupnekhat', or 'Theosophia Indica'. The double translation makes wild work of the original, but their number is

* [Goldstücker's "Páńini", p. 129 Weber, Ind. Stud., V, 49.]

casionally repeat or refer to their legends, but because the Bráhmańas are more practical and have a more near relation to ceremonial rites, the practice of which is in all religions prior to speculation. The further history of the Brâhmańas as belonging to various *Charańas*, schools or fraternities, adopting in preference some divisions of the original texts, is given by Professor Müller, and is followed by a list of the *Gotras* or Brahman families and their branches, constituting what the author calls the Brahmanic Peerage of India. Seven chief families are enumerated in one list, of whom there are forty-nine subdivisions; but there are other lists still more numerous, and Brahmans in various parts of India still profess to draw their descent from some of these ramifications. To prove that these lists were not merely arbitrary compositions, Professor Müller shows their practical bearing on two important acts of the ancient Brahmanic Society,—the common consecration of the sacrificial fires and inter-marriage.

After offering some specimens of the contents of the *Bráhmańas*, Professor Müller proceeds to consider their probable era, and manifest and long-continued posteriority to the Mantra of proper Vedic period, every page containing the clearest proof that the spirit of the ancient Vedic poetry, and the purport of the original Vedic sacrifices, were both beyond the comprehension of the authors of the Bráhmańas. There is also clear evidence that the Bráhmańas are not the work of a few individuals—they represent a complete period through which the whole stream of thought

jecture four or five, and so carry the commencement of the Bráhmańa period to the 10th or 11th century B.C.

We now only come to what is properly the Veda—the *Mantra* period of Professor Müller—the collections of prayers, hymns, formulæ, ritual injunctions, charms—which have been brought together in the Sanhitás or collections of the four Vedas. The Hindus themselves are content to look upon the fourth as of an equivocal character, and usually speak of the Vedas as three.

Setting this aside then, we should have for the scriptural Vedas the remaining three; but here also a very important distinction is to be made, and the Yajush and the Sáman are to be regarded as long subsequent to the Ŕich, originating in a different object and possessing different characteristics connected evidently with the development of the ritual, and approaching, through intervals of greater or less extent, to the period of the Bráhmańas.

The Yajur-veda is two-fold—the Taittiríya or Black Yajush, and the Vájasaneyi or White, originating, no doubt, with a schism of which Yájnavalkya was the probable author. Both these forms indicate their subservience to an established ceremonial; they are liturgies and rubrics interspersing short injunctions of a ritual purport, which are given in prose, with verses to be repeated at the ceremony, many of which are the same that occur in the Ŕig-veda, from which they are in all probability derived.

In the course of time it had become the practice to

accompany part of the sacrifice by songs, and hence arose the third or Sáma-veda, of which the verses are to be chanted: a very large portion of its contents are the same as the hymns of the Ŕich, and perhaps the whole might be identified if we had the whole of the early Śákhás.

It is then to the *Ŕig-veda Sanhitá* that we must look for the most authentic and primitive representation of the Hindu religious belief. We must not, however, lose sight for a moment of the meaning of the term Sanhitá or collections. The Ŕig-veda Sanhitá is not a work of one author or of one purport; it is a mere bringing together of a number of metrical compositions, varying in authorship, intention, and date, generally of a religious character but not always, and although offering occasional intimations of ceremonial and priestly worship, yet possessing nothing of a decidedly liturgical character, or direct applicability to either Śrauta or Gŕihya rites. The verses may be employed and are employed at such rites, and they were also recited at sacrifices in praise of the deities to whom any particular act of sacrifice was addressed, usually by priests, termed the Hotŕi, in a loud and distinct tone, and with due regard to the rules of euphony, any deviation from which vitiated the ceremony. Here, therefore, we have evidence that there was a ceremonial in existence when some at least of the hymns of the Ŕich were composed; and even rites of a somewhat sacred character, as the Darśápúrńamásau, or sacrifices at the new and full moon, and the whole array of priests, sixteen in num-

ber, are alluded to. But these allusions are incidental and transitory; they show that some of the hymns must have been preceded by rudiments of a liturgical ceremony, yet many others have not the slightest reference to anything beyond the simple adoration, by the presentation of butter or some juice to the deities, who are the objects of the praise or prayer. The different issues of the Súktas, so often mentioned by themselves as being old or new, will allow for the gradual evolution of liturgical rites emerging evidently into the rubrics of the Yajush and the chaunts of the Sáma. On this subject Professor Müller observes:—

'We may, therefore, safely ascribe the collection of the Ṛig-veda, or, as Professor Roth calls it, the historical Veda, to a less practical age than that of the Bráhmaṇa period; to an age, not entirely free from the trammels of a ceremonial, yet not completely enslaved by a system of mere formalities; to an age no longer creative and impulsive, yet not without some power of upholding the traditions of a past that spoke to a later generation of men through the very poems which they were collecting with so much zeal and accuracy.'

Professor Müller conceives nevertheless that there is some priestly influence distinguishable in the Sanhitá of the Ṛig-veda, as exemplified by its classification into Maṅḍalas or Circles, of which seven are attributable to as many different Ṛishis and their descendants. There may have been such collections in use by different Gotras; but, to say the least, some of those persons to whom they are ascribed are of a rather equivocal character, and the compiler of the whole Sanhitá may have adopted the distinction as handed

down by tradition without caring to be very exact as to detail The question is not of much moment; the priority and posteriority of the Suktas relatively being unquestioned, they are undoubtedly of very various, possibly of very distant, eras, and we know too little of the chronology of the Rishis, their reputed Seers, to base the date of the composition upon that of the author The Súktas of the Rig-veda had run through a long course of years, possibly centuries, before they were brought together in one general collection The business of collection must have occupied some considerable time,—according to Professor Muller, two centuries, but we know too little of the process of reducing the scattered Mantras into a collective form, to be able to assign any fixed period to it It is uniformly ascribed to Krishna Dvaipayana, surnamed Vyasa the arranger, assisted by a number of reputed sages, intending possibly a school or series of schools in which the Sanhitas of the Vedas were brought into their present shape during an interval of the duration supposed, or about two centuries, bringing the compilation, agreeably to an amended compendium, to the 12th or 13th century before the Christian era

In what state did the several hymns circulate when they were first brought together? Had they been current orally alone, or in writing, and, if the former, were they reduced to writing when they were collected? That the Mantras were for a long time, perhaps for ages, current orally, there can be little reason to doubt The pains taken to secure their accurate

enunciation, and the elliptical style of their composition, are evidences of oral transmission The question, as stated by Professor Muller, is, was the collection of the ten books of Vedic hymns the work of persons cognisant of the art of writing, were the thousand and seventeen hymns of the Rig-veda, after they were gathered into one body, preserved by memory or on paper? Professor Müller answers these questions by an interesting excursus on the probable period when the art of writing was first known to the Hindus, and draws the inference that before the time of Panini, and before the first spreading of Buddhism in India, writing for literary purposes was not in use The chief ground for this inference is the absence of any word not only in the hymns, but even in the grammatical lectures of Panini, which presupposes the existence of writing, whilst in the ancient works of a people like the Jews, to whom writing must have been known from of old, such words as writing, scripture, book, volume, are of constant recurrence Nothing of this kind occurs in any Sanskrit works older than Manu and the Mahabharata At the same time Professor Müller admits that the Hindus were acquainted with the art of writing before the time of Alexander, and the expressions *likhita* and *likhapita*, written, and caused to be written, occur on the inscriptions of Priyadarsi, which are no doubt of the third century B C, and therefore, as far as is yet known, of Pánini's date, cotemporary with him, or even older, consequently, no argument can be drawn from his omissions or

phraseology against a knowledge of the art of writing in his time The question is not without its difficulties That the Súktas could be handed down from generation to generation by memory alone we need not hesitate to admit, but if they had not been committed to writing when they were collected and classified into *adhyayas* and *mandalas*, the task of compiling and perpetuating would have been but imperfectly accomplished, if some more durable condition had not been impressed upon the collection than that of being entrusted to the memory alone *

To the Mantra period Professor Müller adds one still earlier, to which he gives the appellation of *Chhandas*,—the metrical or poetical period, understanding thereby the time at which those hymns or mantras were composed, which may be regarded as of most archaic character, emanating from the first religious sentiments of a simple people, and preceding the institution of a ceremonial, or the organisation of a priesthood It seems scarcely necessary to make this a distinct era,† it is the same as the Mantra period, in which we have seen a wider space is evidently required for the new, intermediate, and ancient hymns which are recognised by the authors of the hymns themselves, especially as Professor Müller thinks it essential to caution against too hasty conclusions as

* [See on this question Prof Goldstucker's Pánini p 13 67 and Weber Ind Stud IV 89 ff V 18 ff]

† [Haug Ait Br I p 23 Goldstucker l l p 71]

to the comparative recent date of many hymns, in which sentiments worthy of the most advanced ceremonial, or the presence of philosophical ideas, are to be found, there being, as he observes, very little to guide us in forming a judgment of what is genuine and primitive in the ancient poetry of so peculiar a race as that of the Aryans in India In this want therefore of a safe criterion we may be content to look upon the Mantra period as one complete in itself, though extending over a long space of time, and in some instances to a very remote antiquity. At the same time even that is not the most distant term to which we may trace the literature of the Vedas, for, as Professor Muller remarks, ages must have passed before the grammatical texture of the Vedic Sanskrit could have assumed the consistency and regularity which it exhibits Throughout, every tense, every mood, every number and person, of the verb is fixed, and all the terminations of the cases are formally established

'From this point of view the Vedic language and poetry may be ascribed to a modern or secondary period in the history of the world, if only it be understood that what preceded that period in India, or in any other part of the Aryan world, is lost to us beyond the hope of recovery, and that, therefore, to us the Veda represents the most ancient chapter in the history of the human intellect We find no traces in the Veda, or in any Aryan work, of a growing language, growing in the sense in which some of the Turanian languages may be said to be still growing at the present day The whole grammatical mechanism is finished, the most complicated forms are sanctioned, and the only changes of which the Aryan speech, arrived at the point where we find it in the Veda, admits, are those of gradual decay and recomposition'

Having come to this conclusion that we have in the hymns of the Rig-veda some of the oldest records in the history of man, we may now inquire what was the condition of society which it discloses, and more especially what was the religion of the people? How far it afforded the sanction which the Hindus affirm of it to the religion that has prevailed for at least twenty centuries in Hindustan?

'The Veda', says Professor Müller, 'has a two fold interest it belongs to the history of the world and to the history of India In the history of the world the Veda fills a gap which no literary work in any other language could fill It carries us back to times of which we have no records anywhere, and gives us the very words of a generation of men, of whom otherwise we could form but the vaguest estimate by means of conjectures and inferences As long as man continues to take an interest in the history of his race, and as long as we collect in libraries and museums the relics of former ages, the first place in that long row of books which contains the records of the Aryan branch of mankind will belong for ever to the *Rig veda*'

It has pleased some of the most enthusiastic cultivators of the literature of the Vedas, amongst whom our author must be distinguished, to imagine a state of Indian society of a pure, simple, and patriarchal description, in which the feeding of flocks and herds was the main occupation of life, and whose chief recreation was the adoration of the deified elements or the composition of those songs in their honour which constitute a large portion of the actual collection

'There was a time when the poet was the leader, the king, and priest of his family or tribe, when his songs and sayings were listened to in anxious silence and with implicit faith, when his

prayers were repeated by crowds who looked up to their kings and priests their leaders and judges, as men better, nobler, wiser than the rest, as beings nearer to the gods in proportion as they were raised above the common level of mankind These men themselves living a life of perfect freedom, speaking a language not yet broken by literary usage, and thinking thoughts unfettered as yet by traditional chains, were at once teachers, lawgivers, poets and priests *There is no very deep wisdom in their teach* ing their laws are simple, their poetry shows no very high flights of fancy, and their religion might be told in a few words But what there is of their language, poetry, and religion has a charm which no other period of Indian literature possesses it is spontaneous, original, and truthful'

For all this there seems to us to be but little warrant in any of the hymns, and the general tenor of them leaves no doubt that at the date of their composition society had assumed a more artificial character, and that the people were not encamped on the borders of rivers, or spacious Havannas, but were collected in hamlets and towns, and that their leaders were neither poets nor patriarchs, but princes whose favour and munificence were sought for and bestowed upon priests and poets No doubt the principalities were of small *political power, but they were indepen*dent and constantly at variance with one another, although their contests partook more of a predatory than a national hostility It is also clear that a con*siderable portion of India was occupied by races not* only of a different character and creed, but actively inimical to the practices of the Hindus, with whom the latter were in a normal state of antagonism The subjugation or the servitude of these races must have

been the work of time Professor Muller has not e
tered upon this subject, but confines his attention
the religious condition which the Vedas exhibit
existing at the various periods throughout which t
hymns may be traced

The first and most obvious conclusion to be drav
from the hymns of the Veda, whatever may be the
relative antiquity, whether twenty or twelve centuri
B C, is that the religion which they inculcate is n
that of the Hindus of the present day The Brahma
who, from the time of the code of Manu as we hav
it, had arrogated to himself the attributes of a go
upon earth, is in the Veda only one of seven or eve
of sixteen priests acting as a sort of master of th
ceremonies, but not invested with any superior ran
or authority Of the distinction of caste at all the in
dications are faint and uncertain, with one exceptio
—that of a remarkable hymn in the 10th Mandala
the tenor and style of which place it indisputably in
a comparatively recent stage and bring it at least to
the Brahmanic period, by which time we know that
the Brahmanical system had been organised * There
is no mention of temples nor of public worship, the
ceremonial is entirely domestic, and so far the formulæ,
the language of the Suktas, still constitute the liturgy
of the domestic rites of the Hindus It is very doubt
ful if images were known although mention of personal
peculiarities as of the handsome jaws of Indra, might

* [Muir, O S, I 6 ff 47 II 410]

be suggested by a sculptured representation of him. Something else may, however, be meant; but the great feature of difference is the total absence of the divinities, both nomina and numina, who have for ages engaged, and, to a great degree, engrossed, the adoration of the Hindus. We have no indications of a Triad, the creative, preserving, and destroying power; Brahmá does not appear as a deity, and Vishńu, although named, has nothing in common with the Vishńu of the Puráńas; no allusion occurs to his Avatáras. His manifestation as Kŕishńa, the favourite divinity of the lower classes, for some centuries at least, does not appear. As a divinity Śiva is not named, nor is his type the Linga ever adverted to. Durgá and her triumphs, and *Káli*, whom the 'blood of man delights a thousand years', have no place whatever in the hymns of the Vedas. These differences are palpable, and so far from the Vedas being the basis of the existing system, they completely overturn it. It would be an interesting subject of inquiry to discover when, and by what means, the vast mass of the modern mythology of the Hindus sprang into existence and attained its circulation throughout India.

What then was the religion of the Vedic Hindus, as exhibited in the Súktas? Recollecting that these are most probably of widely different dates, and that they were collected and put together without much, if any, regard to system, we cannot expect to find a coherent and systematic form of worship or belief: each Súkta must be taken by itself, expressing its own notions.

By far the greater portion of them are addressed to the personified elements, especially to Agni or Fire, as represented in three phases, in heaven as the sun, in mid-air as lightning and meteoric phenomena, and on earth in the ordinary elementary type Indra, the atmosphere, is the next in importance, especially as the sender of rain and consequent fertility, and to these two perhaps half the Súktas are addressed Connected with them are the sun, the two divinities termed Asvins and the Dawn, and India and Varuna, and the Visvadevas, or universal deities, may, without much violence, be brought within the same category These deities are eulogised and magnified, and they are implored for food, cattle, progeny, safety, long life, and all worldly enjoyments Varuna especially appears to be the punisher of crime, and his protection against wickedness is frequently implored India is, in an especial degree, the god of battles and giver of victory, but his attributes and the legend relating to him are not unfrequently ascribed to other divinities, as to the Asvins and to Agni A prominent and rather unintelligible object of praise and prayer is the Soma plant,* a sort of asclepias, the bruised leaves and stems of which yield a juice, which by standing ferments into an intoxicating liquor, which is supposed to gratify the gods and animate them to extraordinary exploits

* [Haug's Essays on the Sacred Language of the Parsees Bombay 1862, p 132 f Aitareya Brahmana, ed Haug, Vol I, p 59 ff F Windischmann, 'Ueber den Somacultus der Arier' Munchen 1847 F Spiegel, 'Eran' Berlin 1863 p 235]

That this beverage was partaken of by the assistants can alone explain their elevation of it to the rank of a deity, and can have originated only in a stage of semi-barbarism, such as we can imagine might have won the adoration of the American Indians when first acquainted with ardent spirits. In hymns, too, which are apparently of an early date, we have the details of the Scythian sacrifice of the horse; and there are indications of the occasional offering of human victims, although of rare and special occurrence.* As a general rule, however, the offerings are of an innocent description—the Soma juice, clarified butter, and cakes of barley or other grain fried with butter and poured upon the fire. The same is presented to all the gods alike, and in this, as in other respects, there is so much in common that all the Vedic divinities may be resolved into one, as a general type of one universal being.

What indications do we find of a future existence or a system of rewards and punishments? The Vedic Hindus recognised unreservedly the difference between a material and spiritual state of being, and looked to the survival of the soul, and its occupation of an incorruptible body amongst the Pitŕis or Manes. There is no detail as to their condition, nor have we any positive intimation of punishment of sin after death, beyond deprecating its consequences during life, and

* [A. Weber in "Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenl. Gesellschaft", Vol XVIII, 262-87.]

serve Thus, for instance, in the hymn above alluded to, and which has been gracefully put into metre by a friend, we have the following passage —

'Darkness there was, and all at first was veiled
In gloom profound—an ocean without light,
The germ that still lay covered in the husk
Burst forth, one nature from the fervent heat,
Then first came Love upon it'

Now the term 'love' here appears to us to convey a notion too transcendental to have had a place in the conception of the original author. The word is *kama*, which scarcely indicates love in the sense in which it may here be understood, although not absolutely indefensible, but *kama* means desire, wish, and it expresses here the wish, synonymous with the will, of the sole existing Being to create It is a familiar Vedic phrase in speaking of the time before creation, to say 'THAT, the one Being, was alone He said,—May I be 'many'—then sprang the world into existence' It is the wish, or rather the will, therefore, of God that the world should come into existence that the passage is speaking of, and not any such emotion as is ordinarily understood by 'love' At any rate, this hymn and various others, particularly two in the tenth Mandala, hymns 81, 82, addressed to Visvakarmá, the Omnificent, establish beyond controversy that the Vedic cosmogony was that of none of the subsequent schools, neither asserting with the Sankhyas the coeternity of matter and spirit, nor with the Vedantas the existence of spirit alone However dim the knowledge, however

vacillating the opinion, it is evident that the authors of the old hymns of the Veda were disposed to believe in the primary existence of one God when nought else existed, and that the world was created by his fiat, and organised by his wisdom and might

These are the conclusions to which a careful consideration of the contents of the textual portion of the Vedas, the Sanhitás, reasonably leads, and it is unnecessary to point out how important they are, not only to the history of the Hindu religion, but to that of the religion of the ancient world, at an era prior to any of which we possess a written word, with the exception of the Pentateuch Students of ancient history and religion will feel greatly indebted to the erudition and laborious research of Professor Müller It is not possible, in a brief survey like the present, to render justice to a work, every page of which teems with information that no other scholar ever has, or could have, placed before the public, and although many of the details are likely to interest those only who delight to explore the dark paths of antiquity, and to trace the progress of human opinion and belief, yet the work must ever hold a foremost place, not only in the history of India, but in that of the human race

INDEX.

Abalaratna II, 186
Abbás II, 93
'Abdallah ibn moqaffa' II, 10 93 f
'Abdu lmá'li nasr ullah II, 93
Abhedya II, 307
Abhidhanachintamani III, 222 ff
Abhidhanaratnamála III, 209 f
Abhimanyu I, 127 192 296 300 f
Abhináma I, 127
Abhinanda III, 204
Abulfa l I, 158 II, 78 91 111 III, 226 229 257
Abu ya'qub II, 107
Achara III, 87
Ácharadarsa II, 320
Acharavati II, 231
Acharya I, 58 II, 36
Achyuta upadhyaya III, 206
Adhibhuta III, 140 f
Adhidaiva III, 140 f
Adhishthana III, 152
Adhiyajna III, 140 f
Adhyatma III, 140 f
Adhyaja I, 121 III, 337
Adhwaryu I, 129
Ádi purana I, 8
Adi parva I, 280
Aditi I, 12 33 f 108
Aditya I, 12 46 105 127
Aditya (king) III, 186
Adwaita III, 146
Ádya I, 127
Agada II, 274 f
Agastya I, 19 28 48 II, 224 332 III, 196
Aghayuh III, 132
Agneya astra I, 307
Ágneya purana see *Agni purana*
Agni I, 41 f 82 ff 149 151 II, 352 III, 343
Agni (fire) I, 382
Agni purána I, 7 f 82–91 121 182 II, 290 f 293 298 301 ff 306 308
Agnisikha II, 135 ff
Agnishthoma I, 127
Agnishwatta I, 151 154
Agnivarchcha I, 130
Agnivesa I, 307
Agnyastra II, 301
Agrahara II, 169
Aguru II, 217
Ahankara I, 10 23 145 f
Áharya I, 382
Ahichchhatra I, 49
Ahichchhatrá I, 48 201

Ahikshetra I, 48
Ahuti I, 124
Aindreyaka I, 148
Airavata II, 234 328 361 372
Aitareya brahmana III, 396 f
Aitareya upanishad III, 329
Aja I, 47
Ajamidha I, 308 312
Ajayapala III, 106 210 220 240
Ajyapa I, 154
Akarma III, 134 f
Ákarshiká I, 168
Akasa I, 145 f
Akbar III, 226 296 f
Ákhyata III, 320
Akrura I, 133
Akshara III, 132
Akshauhini II, 292 f
Ákuti I, 25 124
Aldbu I, 383
Alagardá I, 390
Alaka II, 274 393 372 375 f 400
Alakshmi I, 59
Alankara I, 346
Al edrisi III, 225
Al mansur II, 93
Alolatúa III, 150
Aloluptica III, 150
Al wáez II, 93 f
Amaradatta III, 209 220
Amaradeva III, 166
Amarakhandaka II, 330 f
Amarakosha II, 298 300 306 316 324 328. 332 ff 372 379 f III, 118 126 f 138 150 f 165 ff 184 201 ff 206 208 f 215 ff 231 ff 251 291
Amarasakti II, 7 10
Amarasesha III, 211
Amarasinha III, 166 ff 173 175 177 179 ff 197 199 ff 208 f 216 ff 232 236 f 260 301 f
Amaravati I, 14
Amarddaka I, 62
Ambalika I, 308 363 ff II, 186 188 205 209 f
Ambarisha I, 52 112
Ambashtha I, 292
Ambika I, 261
Amitagati III, 229
Ámrakuta II, 329 f 332
Amrita I, 125 II, 389
Amukta II, 299
Anaka II, 262
Ánaka III, 116
Anamaya III, 148
Ananta I, 117 II, 397
Ananta (king) I, 158 II, 111 f
Anantabhatta II, 79
Anantakirtti II, 257 f
Anantaśakti II, 7
Anantasayana I, 68
Anantasena II, 219 f
Anantavarmá I, 376 f II, 280 f
Anantavijaya III, 117
Anasúyá I, 150.
Andhaka I, 299 312
Andhra I, 374 f II, 261 f 269
Ándhra I, 131
Andhrabhritya I, 134
Anga I, 125 240 285 293. 323 357 362 366. 369 371 373 375 II, 186 188 f. 195 210 233 240 269 296

Angaraka I, 199 f
Angaravati I, 199 f
Angarakshaka II, 36
Angiras I, 44 127 150 II, 41 279
Angirasa I, 150
Anikini II, 292
Anila II, 192
Aniruddha I, 14 111 116 f 138
Anjana II, 328
Anka II, 18
Ankusa II, 200
Annapurna I, 70
Ansa I, 101 121
Ansansa I, 101
Ansarupa I, 101
Ansavatara I, 137
Ansuman II, 360
Antar II, 111
Antarbledi II, 306
Antaryamsika II, 30
Anubandha II, 249
Anugangam I, 136
Anugraha I, 148
Anukrama I, 54 71
Anukramani III, 322 325
Anusasana parva I, 286 III, 16
Anusastra I, 387
Anuvaka III, 323
Anuvinda II, 291
Anwari soheili II, 13 f 27 91 94 144
Anvikshiki II, 272
Ap I, 145
Apahara III, 16
Apaharavarma I, 333 339 ff II, 167 188 ff 210
Apahri III, 16
Aparikshita kauravya II, 9 48
Aparyapta III, 116
Apastamba III, 321
Apaviddha III, 48
Aprasasta III, 49 51
Apsaras I, 41 55 338 II, 188 213 219 316
Apsaraloka I, 41
Apyaya dikshita I, 348
Ard I, 386
Arabian nights II, 103 ff 119 146 150
Aranyaka I, 50 III, 328 ff
Arbuda I, 38
Ardeshir dirazdast II, 107
Ardhachandra II, 307
Ardhadhard I, 386
Ardhanarisvara I, 212
Argha II, 319 f
Arghya II, 319 f
Arjata III, 194
Arjuna I, 26 f 53 133 192 278 f 285 291 293 f 296 298 300 f 301 f 312 317 f 320 ff II, 60 296 307 336 f III, 103 107 112 ff
Arjunavishada III, 115
Artava I, 151
Artha II, 8 192 f III, 133
Arthapala I, 333 337 ff II, 169 189 291 237
Arthapatti I, 361 ff
Arvadisrotas I, 118
Aryabhumi II, 339
Aryaka I, 151
Aryaketu II, 287 ff

Aryaputra II, 287
Áryavartta II, 332
Asamhata II, 306
Asaṁarna III, 51
Ashaḍha II, 317 f 397
Ashtaka III, 310 312
Ashtami I, 70
Asi I, 85
Asipatra III, 96
Asura I, 13 26 28 50 63 67 108 II, 174 347 352 366
Asmaka I, 379 II, 277 280 f 286 ff
Aśoka III, 305
Asoka (tree) II, 195 216 378 f
Asokasundari I, 38
Asrama parva I, 286
Asraya III, 151
Asrita I, 147
Asrumndumati I, 37
Aswadhyakshya II, 35
Aswalayana III, 391 393 ff
Aswamedha I, 38 42 48 59 78 286 II, 353 360
Aswamedhaya II, 60
Aswamedhika parva I, 286
Aśwatthya I, 69 f
Aswatthama I, 308 317 319
Aświni I, 272 f 279 III, 343
Atala I, 45
Átarkadya II, 35
Atharva veda I, 128 f 272 II, 210 III, 119 309 311 f 321 397
Atimukta II, 242
Atiratha II, 294
Atiratra I, 137
Atithi III, 212
Atma I, 145 III, 142
Atmabodha II, 396
Atreya I, 150 273
Atri I, 35 122 127 150
Aurangzib III, 257
Aurasa III, 47
Aurva I, 111
Avanti I, 13 II, 57 250 311
Avantidesa II, 280
Avantika II, 312
Avantisundari I, 356 ff II, 182 184 f 187
Avastha III, 151
Avatara I, 58 60 83 91 99 108 II, 366 f III, 342
Avimukta I, 84
Avimukteswara I, 84 366 II, 221
Avyakta I, 146 III, 145 f
Avyaya III, 149
Ayar danish II, 27 78
Áyni akbari I, 158 II, 112 338
Ayodhya I, 47 f 50 f 171 177 II, 19 25 151 342
Ayur veda I, 97 271 274
Ayus I, 38

Badarika I, 179
Badarikasrama I, 60 67 179 185
Badarinatha I, 185
Baghela III, 205
Balar danish I, 169 224 II, 15 17 142
Bahlika I, 313
Bahlika I, 313 III, 194
Balram shah II, 93
Bahudantiputra II, 275

Bahwikasya I, 154
Baital pachisi I, 157 372 II, 386
Bakula II, 216
Balabhadra II, 20 f 25 254 ff
Bálachandrika II, 179 ff 185
Baladeva I, 116
Baladhyaksha II, 35
Balagopála I, 84
Balaja I, 19 f
Balakhilya I, 150 210
Bálambhatta I, 8 III, 14
Balarama I, 14 133 332 341 II, 307 f 370
Bali I, 45 f 65 111 II, 367
Ballala misra III, 173
Ballala raja III, 227
Bana bhatta I, 157
Banarasa I, 20 f
Banasura I, 111 138
Bandlaila III, 205
Bandhara III, 47
Bandhumati II, 225
Bandhupála II, 179 ff
Barbara II, 90 f
Bauddha I, 59 135 219 II, 20 51 76 195 202 257 III, 150 f 187 f 191 193 ff 197 ff 224 ff 324
Baudhayana III, 47 ff 321
Bhadra I, 70 117
Bhadrakali I, 117
Bhadraramasrama III, 205
Bhadrasakti II, 7
Bhádrashtami I, 70
Bhagadatta II, 231
Bhagadheya I, 127
Bhagavata purana I, 3 31 46 52 f 60 74 79 89 110 113 116 122 124 f 137 154 296 II, 60
Bhagavadgita I, 62 II, 345 357 III, 99–157 250 274
Bhagavadgita mahatmya I, 62
Bhagavati I, 38
Bhagiratha I, 28 42 324 II, 359 f
Bhagirathi I, 324 f
Bhaguri III, 209 218
Bhaimi ekadasi I, 28
Bhairava I, 113
Bhairavananda II, 57
Bhairavi tantra II, 34
Bhakti I, 57 63 72 92 96
Bhanudikshita III, 126 205 242
Bharadwája I, 127 273 291 297 306 313
Bharata I, 40 78 126 II, 303
Bharatamallika II, 325 336 III, 206 f 237
Bharata varsha I, 12 192
Bhárgava I, 150
Bhartrihari I, 348 II, 155 335
Bharunda II, 29
Bhasha II, 66 78
Bhaskaravarma I, 377 II, 282
Bhatta bháskara III, 210
Bhattacharya III, 193
Bhatta nagara II, 23
Bhattikavya I, 343
Bhattojidikshita III, 181 205
Bhattotpala I, 152
Bhavabhuti I, 315 II, 311 318 III, 177
Bháva misra III, 236

Bhavâni I, 161 II, 247 303
Bhava prakâsa III, 235 f 238
Bhavya I, 127
Bhedana I, 382
Bhiksha I, 340
Bhikshuka III, 109
Bhilla II, 26 142 f
Bhîma I, 279 ff 317 394 333 336 ff
Bhîmadhanua I, 371 f II, 243 245 247
Bhîmaparakrama II, 151
Bhîmasena I, 291
Bhîndipala II, 300
Bhîsîak II, 35
Bhîshma I, 23 30 66 285 f 291 ff 313 II, 294 296
Bhîshma pancaka I, 66
Bhîshma parva II, 294
Bhoga II, 306 III, 120
Bhoja I, 157 299 376 II, 287 III, 168 173 ff 221
Bhojachampu III, 177
Bhojadeva I, 314 ff
Bhojaprabandha III, 168 171 173 177 f 180 182 189
Bhojardja III, 204
Bhojavansa I, 315 f
Bhojika I, 166
Bhrama III, 117
Bhrigu I, 37 51 61 f 106 150 308 f II, 304
Bhrigukshetra I, 98
Bhrigupati II, 366
Bhumi II, 344
Bhumikhandla I, 22 40 45 76 ff
Bhupalaka I, 200
Bhuriprayoga III, 237 f 240
Bhuta I, 41 148 III, 225
Bhuta vidya I, 274 f
Bhuti III, 154
Bhuvanakosha I, 84
Bhuvaneswara I, 17
Bidpay I, 156 II, 90 ff
Bihari lal III, 220
Bimba II, 383
Bindusara tirtha I, 117
Brahma I, 23 f 100 III, 120 132 140 f 152
Brahma I, 8 ff 19 22 ff 42 46 56 58 61 64 f 68 f 71 93 95 ff 119 146 ff 161 272 310 II, 5 274 321 356 382 III, 96 342
Brahmadatta I, 26 23 148
Brahmagita I, 22
Brahmairada I, 20
Brahmakânda III, 328
Brahmaketu I, 44
Brahmakhanda I, 93–98
Brahmana III, 309 312 315 f 322 326 ff
Brahmanda I, 113
Brahmandapurana I, 95 II, 321
Brâhmani I, 141
Brahmapurâna I, 7–21 59 121
Brahmarâkshasa II, 260
Brahmasanhitâ III, 90
Brahmasutra III, 105 ff
Brahmavartta II, 95 f
Brahmavaivartta purana I, 7 91–120 III, 36 f
Brahmottarakhanda I, 21
Brihad aranyaka III, 328

Brihad aranyaka upanishad III, 329
Buddha III, 166 180 f 183 187 198 225 316 325
Buddhagaya III, 180
Buddhi III, 123
Budha I, 41
Bukka raya I, 81 III, 192 213 228
Bundela III, 205

Chákí II, 300
Chakra I, 112 II, 60
Chakradhara II, 63 ff
Chakranka I, 49
Chakrapanidatta III, 237
Chakrapanidikshita I, 348
Chakravaki II, 383
Chakshusha I, 126 f
Chakwá II, 208. 216 383
Champa II, 215
Champa I, 357 ff 364 373 II, 186 189 195 241
Champaka II, 216
Chamu II, 292
Chanakya I, 87 177 ff 354 II, 6 273
Chandaghosha I, 367 f II, 226 228 231
Chandala II, 157
Chandáli II, 157
Chandamahasena I, 198
Chandasena I, 196 ff 214 220 228
Chandasinha I, 367 f II 223 230 232
Chandavarmá II, 71 283
Chandavikrama I, 266
Chandi I, 103 198 265 f 303 II, 143
Chandika II, 165
Chandra III, 221
Chandrabhaga I, 137
Chandragupta I, 133 f 165 175 178 II, 6 118 III, 324 f
Chandrakanta II, 376
Chandrapalita II, 277
Chandraprabha I, 260 ff
Chandrasena I, 371 II, 242 245 f 261
Chandrasekhara I, 324
Chandravarma I, 357 ff 361 II, 180 185 188 209 f 221
Charaka I, 89 273
Charaná III, 330
Charanadri II, 332
Charchita III, 187
Charchitanagara III, 187 197
Charmamuati I, 88 295 II, 351
Chasha II 396
Chátaka II 174 395 f 334 f 399
Chatugrama III, 233
Chaturbhuj III, 88
Chaunrí II, 316
Chedi I, 239 297
Chekitana I, 297
Cheruman perumal III, 190 f
Chetand III, 110
Chhagala I, 149
Chhandas III, 315 ff 337
Chhándogja upanishad III, 329
Chhatrabhra II, 36
Chhedana I, 382
Chichuká I 38

Chikitsa I, 270 275
Chikitsasthana I, 274
Chitragriva II, 29
Chitragupta II, 164 172 See *Mitragupta*
Chitrakuta II, 315 331 336
Chitrángada I, 291
Chitraratha II, 39
Chitrasena I, 55 f
Chola I, 68 241
Churna II, 375
Chyavana I, 37 f 49

Dadhichi I, 28
Daitya I, 32 f 45 59 65 200 267 319
Dákini I, 373 II, 133
Daksha I, 9 ff 25 30 41 44 112 125 ff 151 161 272
Daksha (jun.) III, 67
Dakshina I, 101 103 124
Dámalipta I, 371 373 II, 242 See *Tamralipta*
Damana I, 49
Damanaka II, 12 139
Damayanti I, 321 II, 138
Damottaradatta III, 232
Danadharma I, 286 III, 16
Danava I, 33 45
Danda I, 29 II, 306
Dandádhinatha III, 213
Dandakaranya I, 29 II, 173
Dandaniti II, 270 272
Dandi I, 157 341 ff 375 II, 6 152
Dantasanku I, 386
Dantila I, 13
Danu I, 33
Daranagara I, 312
Dara shekoh III, 257 328
Daridra I, 70
Darpasara I, 356 ff 368 II, 180 185 ff 232
Darsapurnamasau III, 333
Daruvarma I, 356 ff II, 180 f
Dartika I, 137
Dasakumaracharitra I, 87 157 169 187 342–379 II, 6 46 152 160
Dasapura II, 355
Dasaratha I, 47
Dasarna II, 326 f
Dattaka III, 47 ff
Dattakachandrika III, 45 47 49
Dattakamimánsa III, 44 46
Dattatreya I, 55
Dauvarika II, 35
Dayabhaga III, 16 19 23 30 50 ff 67 69 71 78 180
Dayada III, 46
Dayakramasangraha III, 11 13 16 68 f
Dayatattva III 90
Dehabhrit III, 148
Desya I, 184 II, 119
Deva I, 127
Devadatta I, 185 ff III, 117
Devadyuti I, 56
Devagiri II, 351
Devahuti I, 124
Devaki I 108 ff 137 341
Devakirtya I, 151
Devala I, 110 III, 47 f 50 51 f
Devapura I, 50

Devarakshita I, 136
Devasarma II, 13 22 ff 53 141
Devasena I, 30 234
Devasmita I, 216 ff II, 122 f 125 f
Devata I, 127
Devi I, 90 161 166 II, 35 43 79 III, 183
Devika I, 39
Devi purana II, 320
Dhana III, 18
Dhanaka II, 248
Dhanamitra I, 361 ff II, 188 f 198 ff
Dhananjaya I, 293 III 218 231
Dhaneswara I, 69
Dhanurveda II, 290 f 298 f
Dhanushka II, 291
Dhanwantari I, 89 112 273 276 III, 168
Dhanwin II, 291
Dhanyaka II, 248 ff
Dhanyaka II, 248
Dhara I, 109
Dhara I, 344 III, 168 174
Dharamdasa III, 211
Dharamkosha III, 211 220 240 ff
Dharini I, 151
Dharma I, 92 97 105 195 279 296 II, 8 192 f III, 117 f 190 133 140 198 221
Dharmasastra I 139
Dharmabuddhi II, 25
Dharmadatta I, 216
Dharmagupta I 216
Dharmapala I, 302 II 160 169 223 236
Dharmaputra I, 296
Dharmaraja I, 296
Dharmavarddhana I, 370 II, 236
Dhatri I, 66 68 73
Dhatu III, 246 263 390
Dhatupatha III, 246 ff
Dhaturaga II, 394
Dhrishta I, 127
Dhrishtadyumna I, 295 ff 325 f 331 II, 308
Dhrishtaketu I, 296 f
Dhritarashtra I, 123 279 ff 329 331 333 II, 308 357 III, 115
Dhriti I, 124 III, 146
Dhruva I, 41 125 159
Dhruva III, 154
Dhumasikha II, 136
Dhumini II, 248 ff
Dhundhu I, 42
Dhundumara I, 42
Dhyana yoga I, 71
Didda II, 112
Dikpala I, 41
Diksha I, 56
Dikshita III, 205
Dilipa I, 46 54 56 f 60 62 64 II, 360
Dinara II, 38 179 197
Dipadana mahatmya I, 64
Dipakarni I, 181
Diti I, 33 II, 30
Dwodasa I, 42
Doab I, 162 215 281 307 II, 233
Drahyayana III 321
Draupadi I 281 284 297 289 300 311 324 ff
Dravida I, 44 55 80 II, 200

Dravida (lex) III, 204
Dravyabhidhana I, 270
Drishadvati II, 306
Drisyapura I, 19 f
Drona I, 285 291 293 298 304 ff 325
Drona parva I, 285
Drupada I, 281 291 297 307 310 ff 325 328 336 340
Duhśasana I, 292
Durga I, 29 48 77 84 101 103 105 109 112 ff 163 378 II, 143 286 348 III, 176 183 342
Durgapala II, 35
Durgasimha I, 183
Durvasas I, 59 59 105 111 f 116 125 293
Duryodhana I, 279 ff 317 329 338 II, 294 296
Dushmanta II, 317
Dushtabuddhi II, 25
Dushyanta I, 40
Dwaipayana vyasa I, 121
Dwapara yuga I, 121 128 149 f 152
Dwaraka I, 14. 31 58 60 66 115 f 118 138 284 287
Dwaravati I, 39
Dwipa I, 11 39 120
Dwirepha kosha III, 207 237

Ekabuddhi II, 63
Ekadanta I, 107
Ekadanshtra I, 107
Ekadasi I, 15 69 77
Ekakshara kosha III, 211 234
Ekamra I, 12 17
Ekavira II, 281
Eshani I, 386
Eshya I, 382

Feri III, 257
Firdausi II, 90 92

Gadhipura III, 215
Gajadhyaksha II, 35
Gajakhya I, 311
Gambhira II, 349
Gana I, 127 II, 292 III, 247.
Ganapati I, 114
Gandaki I, 49 60
Gandhara I, 291 315
Gandhara I, 291
Gandhara grama II, 77
Gandharba I, 41 97 118 II, 158
Gandharba vivaha I, 187 350
Gandhari I, 287 292 315
Gandharvati II, 345 349
Gándiva I, 294 II, 306 f
Ganesa I, 93 104–7 117 ff II, 216 359
Ganesa khanda I, 93 103–7
Ganga I, 42 101 305 f II, 333 359 358 ff 372 III, 93 95 f 142
Gangadhara III, 290
Gangádwara I, 166 306 358
Gangadwara mahátmya II, 308
Gangasagara I, 60 72
Gangeya I, 306
Garga I, 108
Gárgya I, 149
Garuda I, 192 ff 207 ff 260 II, 15 18 127 129 139 360

Gauri I, 28 68 II, 169 360 370
Gautama I, 52 59 127 III, 49
Gautami I, 340
Gaya I, 26 II, 332
Gaya mahatmya I, 80
Gayatri I, 28
Ghantakarna II, 13
Ghata II, 147 ff
Ghatakarpara II, 335. III, 167 f
Ghatotkacha I, 296 f 333
Ghi I, 140
Ghora I, 151
Ghritáchi I, 97
Gita II, 66 78
Gitagovinda II, 370
Gochandana I, 390
Godávari I, 60 62 162 180 241 II, 28 153 332
Goji I, 387
Gokula I, 53 110 112 115 190
Goloka I, 98 103 f 118
Gomedhá II, 353
Gomini II, 248 250
Gomutrika II, 307
Gopa I, 97 f 108 118
Gopakanya II, 225
Gopála I, 65 84
Gopálaka I, 226 ff 239 II, 156 158
Gopatha brahmana III, 327
Gophan II, 300
Gopi I, 53 95 97 f 108 118 II, 15
Gopinatha I, 183 319 379
Gorambha II, 13
Gosain I, 120
Goshtika karma II, 77
Gotra III, 330 334
Govardhana III, 41 178 201 219
Govinda I, 84 95
Govindadatta I, 184 f 189
Grahamanjari I, 153
Grama II, 66 77
Grihagupta II, 254 256.
Grihya III, 333
Grihyasutra III, 321
Grishma II, 373
Gudhotpanna III, 48
Guha I, 137
Guhasena I, 216 ff II, 122 f
Guhya I, 147
Gulma II, 292 f
Guna I, 116 III, 151
Gunadeva I, 191
Gunadhya I, 159 ff 179 ff 184 188 ff II, 118 ff
Gupta I, 136
Gurjara I, 62
Guru III, 120

Haddachandra III, 204
Halabhrit II, 357 370
Halayudha I, 332 III, 209 214 219 236
Hanumán I, 50 292 II, 296 391
Hara I, 200 II, 245
Hara (lex) III, 219
Hara ka pairi II, 364
Haraswami I, 253 f
Haratali III, 212 220 245
Hari I, 9 72 f 92 104 107 114 119 288 II, 5
Hari (king) I, 158

Haridudra I, 72 166 306 II, 308 f 364
Harihara pura I, 81
Harihararaya I, 81 III, 192 213
Haripura I, 62 81
Harischandra I, 46 II, 163
Harita III, 47 f
Harivansa I, 26 278 288 II, 308 III, 174
Harsha or *Harshadeva* I, 157 ff II, 111 f
Haryasva I, 307
Hasta I, 319
Hastamukta II, 299
Hasti I, 312
Hastinapura I, 30 122 162 228 239 279 ff 311 f II, 293
Hasyarnava II, 315
Hatakeswara I, 45
Hayasirshan I, 127 154
Ha ar afsana II, 104 ff
Hemachandra II, 341 380 III, 127 160 222 ff 229 f 234 240 ff
Hemacharya III, 224
Hemanta II, 373
Hidimba I, 296
Himachala II, 58
Himalaya I, 105 112 160 161 180 189 196 239 242 279 287 330 332 340 II, 121 129 187 313 323 326 f 332 f 357 361 364 368 ff
Himavat I, 151
Hiranyati II, 308
Hiranyabahu I, 351
Hiranyagarbha I, 146
Hiranyagupta I, 172 177 f
Hiranyaka II, 30
Hiranyakasipu I, 32 34 II, 183
Hiranyaksha I, 34 58
Hissa nama III, 88
Historia septem sapientum II, 96 100 ff
Homa I, 84 216
Homai II, 107
Hosain bin 'ali II, 93
Hosain mirza II, 94
Hotri I, 129 III, 333
Hridika I, 299

Ikshwaku I, 25 46 127 II, 187
Ildvrita varsha I, 99
Indra I, 14 26 28 32 f 36 f 41 f 45 105 f 112 125 127 140 169 192 198 200 211 226 243 273 279 287 293 295 312 318 333 341 II, 5 9 21 23 f 29 f 234 316 f 321 f 342 353 360 f 368 372 377 III, 96 124 341 345
Indradatta I, 163 ff 174 f II, 131 III, 176
Indradyumna I, 13
Indrapramati I, 129
Indraprastha I, 281 II, 293
Indrayudha I, 390
Indumati I, 47
Irugapa III, 213 298
Isopanishad III, 113 329
Iswara I, 10 99 II, 368 III, 134 142 145
Ithasa I, 119 139 f 304

Jaffir II, 93
Jagannatha I, 13 f 18 49 60 72 79
Jagannatha (jar) III, 52 72 78 80 f
Jagannatha kshetra I, 89 198
Jahandar I, 169
Jdhnavi I, 239
Jalna II, 333 339
Jaimini I, 59 II 30 III, 196
Jaina I, 96 76 f 375 II, 20 50 ff 76 196 380 III, 183 210 213 222 ff 394
Jalandhara I, 54 67 f
Jalaukd I, 383
Jalavahaka II, 35
Jamadagni I, 59 106 127 193 195 308 334 II, 366
Jambavan I 109
Jambavati I, 109
Jambudwipa I, 39
Jamna I, 55 119 162 215 281 293 319 II, 337 361 See *Yamuna*
Jana I, 40
Janaka I 16 49 II, 316 364 III, 105
Janamejaya I, 131 199 278 II, 60 III, 212
Janani II 35
Janardana I 332
Jangama tirtha I 39
Janma III 194
Janmashthami I, 109
Jarasandha I, 116 137
Jatadhara kosha III, 239 f 240
Jatáyu I, 47
Jatidharma III, 117 f
Jatinirnaya I, 97
Jayi I 169 188 II, 117
Jayadeva II 340
Jayadratha I, 300
Jayanti I, 70 109
Jayapura III, 230
Jayasena I 198
Jayasimha I, 374 II, 264 f
Jimutavahana III, 14 16 ff 27 47 53 72 78 81
Jina I, 26 II, 51 III, 225
Jirat II, 391
Jiva I, 151
Jnana III 123 144
Jnanakanda III, 326 329
Jnanayoga I, 43
Jnapaka II 35
Jneya III 144
Jyeshtha devi I, 59
Jyotirvidabharana III, 169
Jyotisha III, 316 329

Kachchhapa II, 380
Kadali I, 111 III 188
Kadamba II, 373 f
Kadambari I, 157
Kadru I 209
Kartikeya I 296
Kailasa I, 57 71 106 160 198 330 II, 316 373 396 f 366 368 f
Kaitabha I, 14 30
Kaka II 33
Kakolukika II 33 f
Kakolukiya II 9 34
Kaksha II, 303

Kakudmini I, 68
Kala II, 240
Kala I, 101 II, 389
Kala II, 24
Kâlagupta II, 167 178
Kalahakantaka II, 256 258
Kalakhala II, 358
Kalanemi I, 30
Kalanjana II, 156
Kalansa I, 101
Kalapa I, 183 III, 207
Kalarupa I, 109
Kalasa I, 158 II, 111
Kalasutra III, 96
Kalatoya I, 137
Kalâvati I, 110 f
Kalayavana I, 138 355 II, 167 177 f
Kâli I, 101 II, 169 III, 342
Kâlidasa I, 40 191 344. 346 II, 159 310 f 311 f 393 399 340 359 ff 372 399 III, 168 173 ff
Kalika purana II, 352 III, 46 ff 54
Kalila wa damna I, 180 II, 4 10 ff 86 ff 139 ff
Kalindavarma II, 213
Kalindi II, 174
Kalinga I, 136 f 240 291 373 ff II, 262 f 264 269 III, 174
Kalinga (lex) III, 204
Kalingasena II, 128
Kalinga I, 15 71 73 118 130 132 159 III, 197
Kalpa I, 23 138 141 148 f 210 II, 399 372 III, 316
Kalpasthana I, 274
Kalpasundari II, 212 ff
Kalpasutra III, 229 390 f
Kalyana saptami I, 28
Kama II, 8 163 171 189 192 ff 214 216 218 223 III, 140 346
Kamadeva I, 35 f 227 356 II, 217 394
Kamakhya I, 39 48 77 79
Kamala II, 381
Kamala I, 102
Kâmalata II, 78
Kamamanjari I, 309 ff II, 190 195 202 f
Kamandaki I, 304 II, 306 ff
Kamandakiya II, 170
Kamapala I, 352 f 366 ff II, 160 169 189 229 229 ff 236
Kamarupa I, 242 II, 78 213 322
Kamboja I, 292
Kampilya I, 44 308
Kanabhuti I, 179 ff
Kanada I, 59
Kanakalekhâ II, 263 265
Kanakarekha I, 244 255 262
Kandakavati II, 254 ff
Kanakhala I, 166 II, 308 f
Kandrka I, 18
Kancanamâlini I, 55
Kanchi I, 49 68 II, 250 349
Kanchuki II, 35
Kandarpa I, 95
Kandarpaketu II, 13
Kandu I, 14
Kandukâvati I, 371 373 II, 212 f 246 260 f
Kandukotsava II, 242

Kanina III, 48
Kansa I, 113 116 293 299
Kantaka I, 363 ff II, 205 ff
Kantimati I, 367 ff II, 223 ff
Kántipuri I, 136
Kánchi I, 131 II, 80 364
Kanyakubja I, 136 III, 215
Kanyapuri I, 136
Kapala I, 27
Kapalika II, 284 III, 193
Kapila I, 42 59 135 II, 359
Kapilá I, 38 390
Kapila tirtha I, 13 f
Kardli I, 163
Karapala II, 35
Karapatra I, 386
Karari I, 163
Karataka II, 12 139
Karbura I, 390
Kardama I, 124 150
Kardana I, 374 ff II, 263 265 269
Karkataka II, 197
Karma III, 120 124 132 ff 141 152 329
Karmakanda III, 326
Karmajoga I, 43
Karmi III, 120
Karna I, 26 f 285 292 f 297 303 319 ff 333 II, 296
Karnalekha I, 373 ff See *Kanakalekha*
Karna parva I, 293
Karnisuta II, 210
Karpara II, 147
Karpura III, 174
Kartavirya I, 309
Kartavíryarjuna I, 105
Kartika I, 66 68 ff II, 397
Kártika mahátmya I, 64 ff 80
Kartika vrata I, 69
Kartikeya I, 64 105 164 183 II, 171 234 240 302 f 366 III, 194
Kárusha I, 127
Kaśa I, 151
Kashmir I, 137 158 II, 111 f III, 194 226
Kasi I, 49 60 138 273 366 f II, 189 223 225 342
Kasikhanda I, 40 II 342
Kasinathaśarmana III, 234 297
Kasipuri I, 136 II, 221
Kasiraja I, 273
Kasivriddváli III, 211
Kasyapa I, 11 f 30 33 46 67 105 108 126 f 130 150 209 f 310 II, 157 f
Kata I, 163
Katá II, 18
Kataha I, 216 219 293 225
Kathamrita nidhi II 79
Kathárnava I, 157
Katha sarit ságara I, 157 167 351 II, 99 ff 108 ff 342 III, 170 177
Kathopanishad III, 399
Katjajana I, 90 165 III, 16 47 f 67 f 175 ff 179 207 221 311 321 ff
Kaumara I, 148
Kaumarabhritya I, 274 f
Kaupteya III, 113
Kaurava I, 308 III, 115

Kausamba mandala I, 163
Kausambi I, 162 f 192 f 195 ff 215 226 239 II, 60 113 121 199 156
Kausharata III, 107
Kautilya I, 354 II, 170
Kaveri I, 60 241 II, 169 251
Kaveri máhatmya I, 85
Kavíraja I, 183
Kavya I, 154
Kavya I, 119 343
Kavyadarsa I, 346
Kaya I, 275
Káyachikitsa I, 274 f
Kayarohana kshetra I, 149
Kayastha III, 221
Kedara I, 115
Kekaya I, 44
Kenopanishad III, 329
Kerala I, 241
Keralotpatti III, 190 195
Kesara II, 378 f
Kesava II, 309 III, 221
Kesi I, 110
Khanati II, 219
Kharva II, 380
Khati vattara II, 299
Kinnara II, 316 365 368
Kinsuka I, 302
Kirttisara II, 186
Kirttisena I, 180
Kirttisinha III, 205
Kokila III, 171
Kolapura I, 62
Konkana II, 281
Konkata III, 201
Kosala II, 19 f 282 288
Koshadasa I, 371 II, 245 f 261
Koshadhyaksha II, 35
Koshtapala II, 53
Koti II, 303
Kotiratha I, 72
Kratu I, 127 150
Krauncha II, 366
Kridama I, 108
Kripa I, 308 313 329
Kripa I, 398
Kripana II, 301
Kripavarma I, 192
Krishna I, 11 14 16 26 53 60 63 65 ff 89 ff 132 ff 142 206 284 ff 313 326 335 339 II, 192 296 308 328 335 357 f III, 97 102 107 112 ff 342
Krishná (river) I, 60 68
Krishná (leech) I, 390
Krishna dwaipayana I, 121 123 128 140 278 III, 335
Krishnajanma khanda I, 93 107–118
Krishna raya I, 348
Krishna swami III, 126
Krita III, 48
Kritaghna I, 167
Kritanta III, 153
Kritavarma I, 209 303
Krita yuga I, 152
Kritrima III, 47 f 57
Krittika II, 212 352
Kshapanaka III, 108
Kshara I, 382 388
Kshatriya I, 137 140 f 241 309 331 II, 366 III, 91 166
Kshemankari devi I, 29

Kshepani II, 301
Kshetra III, 144 ff
Kshetraja III, 47
Kshetrajña III, 144 f
Kshirasvami III, 138 203 f
Kubja I, 38
Kukkura I, 299
Kulachandra I, 183
Kuladharma III, 117 f
Kulamatya I, 305
Kulapalika I, 361 f
Kulastri III, 118
Kulluka bhatta III, 45
Kumara II, 5
Kumaragupta II, 281
Kumarapala III, 210
Kumarasambhava II, 315
Kumarasvami I, 164 166 174 183
Kumarika khanda I, 183 III, 197
Kumarila bhatta III, 194 ff
Kumbhipaka III, 96
Kumuda II, 328
Kunda II, 356 373 f
Kundala I, 35
Kunjara I, 37 39
Kuntala II, 280 f
Kurani II, 79
Kurmadanshtra III, 96
Kurma purana I, 25 145 148 f
Kuru I, 290 ff 336 II, 293 307 356 ff III, 103 115 118 120
Kurujangala I, 290 308
Kurukshetra I 35 39 290 308 II, 308 356 f III, 115
Kurush II, 98
Kuruvaka II, 373 378
Kusa I, 47 ff 51 f II, 320
Kusadwipa II, 341
Kusika I, 149 III, 321
Kusumadhanva II, 289
Kusumapura I, 253 301 II, 223
Kutaja II, 319
Kutharika I, 386
Kuvalayánanda I, 348
Kuvalayasva I, 42
Kuvera I, 41 110 162 f 210 213 II, 50 61 117 225 III, 312 316 f 322 f 365 368 372 377 379 f
Kuveradatta I, 361 f II, 198 ff 202 205 f

Labdhapranasa II, 44
Labdhapranasana II, 44
Labdhaprasamana II, 9 44
Laghupatanaka II, 28
Lakshmana II, 315 f
Lakshmi I, 25 56 59 f 62 65 70 76 101 ff 165 244 II, 50 58 266 380
Lakuli I 149
Lalita indra kesari I, 18
Langali II, 357
Langora narsinh deo I, 18
Lanka I, 14 29 41 47 207 ff II, 391
Lata I 241 355 II, 175 ff
Latalana misra III, 237
Latyayana III, 321
Lava I 47 51 f
Lavana I, 47
Lavanaka I, 235 237 247
Laya II 66 78

Lekha I, 154
Lekhana I, 382
Lakucha II, 270
Lila I, 147
Lilavati II, 231 III, 229
Linga I, 37 61 83 f II, 57 III, 201 341
Linga purana II, 322 III, 122
Lobha III, 117
Lodh II, 373 f
Lohajangha I, 206 ff
Loka I, 40 f 78 98
Lokaloka I, 39
Lokayatika III, 151
Lomaharsha I 88.
Lomaharshana I, 9 15 23 130 140 278
Lomasa I, 55
Lopa III, 268
Lopamudra II, 224

Madana III, 174
Madanamanchuka II, 138 153
Madhava I, 246 ff II, 156
Madhava (comm.) III, 172 192 ff 221 f 226 230
Madhavi II, 214 ff 378
Madhavi (lex.) III, 204
Madhaviya dhatuvritti III, 192
Madhu I, 14 30 32 42 71 127 II, 58
Madhumadhavi III, 204
Madhupura II 71
Madhuresa III, 242
Madhusena II 71
Madhwachárya I, 80 143 III, 189
Madhya II, 303
Madhyabheda II, 306
Madhyamika III, 194
Madra I, 285 299 ff 338 f II, 296
Madri I, 279 297 390
Madura II, 79
Magadha I, 136 162 166 210 230 235 ff 307 351 II, 6 121 160 ff 177 211 236 III, 187
Mágadha I, 133 135 141 327
Magha I, 54 ff 69 103 153
Mágha kávya III, 250
Magha máhatmya I, 80
Mahabalipura II, 369
Mahabharata I, 6 31 36 42 48 55 83 99 108 116 199 192 133 137 139 141 144 192 277 ff 346 II, 34 138 291 293 298 300 307 f 306 308 366 III, 16 103 111 117 178 274 303 323 327 336
Maheshwara I, 101 149 f 160 170 179 335 341 II, 346 366 III 113
Mahakala I, 38 77 355 II, 57 157 162 177
Mahalakshmi I, 60 70
Mahamaya I, 45 58
Mahanadi I, 20
Mahanandaka I, 189 345
Mahanidra I, 58
Mahanubhava I, 127
Mahapadma II, 380
Mahápralaya II, 392
Mahápraslhánika parva I, 287
Mahar I, 40

Mahárashtra I, 376 f
Maharatha II, 294 III, 116
Mahasena I, 229
Mahat I, 10 23 148
Mahatala I, 45
Mahatattwa I, 145 f
Mahatmya I, 17 20 92
Mahávira III, 229
Mahayogi I, 149
Mahayuga I, 121 128 149 151 153
Mahendra I, 240 f 309 f
Mahendraditya II, 158
Maheswara I, 36 159 f II, 162
Maheswara (jur) III, 47
Maheswara (lex) III, 127 172 215 f 223 f
Mahidhara I, 188 III, 203
Mahilaropya II, 7 10 f
Mahishasura I, 29
Máhishmati I, 39 62 69 377 f II, 282 f
Maina II, 386
Maindka II, 366
Maithila III, 14
Maithili II, 391
Maitreya I, 88 120. 122 130
Makara I, 58 II, 45 47 146 III, 283 306 380.
Makaradanshtra I, 211
Makaranda II, 156.
Makaraketana II, 306
Mala II, 328 f
Mdla I, 183
Malakara II, 333
Málati I, 68.
Málatimddhava II, 152 156 341 348
Malava I, 241 292 297 302 368 II, 161 f 164 179 211 232 337 f 349 352 III, 171
Malavati I, 68
Malavikagnimitra II, 315
Malaya II, 224 231 264
Málika II, 35
Mallinatha II, 305 III, 203 209 211 f 216 221 223 231
Malyavan I, 162 179 181 188 f II, 117 ff
Mánakala II, 175 f
Manasá I, 101 103
Manasa I, 37 II, 326 372
Manasara I, 356 ff 368 II, 161 f 180 182 ff
Manasarovara II, 326 366 372
Manasavega II, 187
Mandakini I, 261 II, 352
Mandala I, 84 II, 306 III, 323 334 337 341 346
Mandalagra I, 386
Mandaleswara I, 38
Mandana misra III, 193
Mandara II, 377
Mandavisha II, 43 f
Mándhatri I, 42 ff 78
Mandodaka II, 187
Mandukyopanishad III, 329
Mangala I, 103
Mangalika II, 203
Manibhadra I, 224 II, 49 51 ff 169 221 236
Manidhanus I, 137
Manikarnika I, 366 368 II, 221 231 ff
Manjushpaka III 117

Manjuvâdini I, 377 f II, 282 f
Manmaya III, 131
Manojava I, 127
Manthara II, 30 ff 67 73
Mantra I, 56 f 84 97 II, 380 III, 315 322 326 ff 335 337 f
Mantragupta I, 352 373 f II, 161 262
Mantramahodadhi I, 84
Mantri II, 35
Manu I, 10 23 111 123 151 II, 6 356 397 III, 20 49 f 49 ff 50 ff 67 71 87 91 101 245 321 336 341
Manu (progen.) I, 83 127 III, 327
Manu chakshusha I, 125 ff
Manu svayambhuva I, 10 f 25 60
Manu vaivaswata I, 46
Manvantara I, 11 25 46 86 121 f 127 144 149
Manyamana I, 151
Marakata II, 380
Marichi I, 9 46 124 150 359 II, 189
Marisha I, 10
Markandeya I, 13 28 30 150 II, 187
Markandeya purana II, 380
Marutta I, 49
Masaka III, 321
Masudi II, 90 93 97 f 103 106
Matali I, 36
Matanga II, 157
Matanga II, 172 ff
Mathura I, 47 111 115 f 136 204 208 ff 293 299 307 II 256 342
Mathuresa III, 207 233
Mati III, 151
Matri II, 66 78
Matrisparsa III, 121
Matsya avatara I, 23 46 58 67
Matsya purana I, 9 25 46 152 II, 322 359 III, 327
Mattakala II, 175 f
Maukhya I, 148
Maurya II, 272
Mausala parva I, 287
Madya I, 30 63 99 f 113
Maya (city) II, 342
Mayana III, 192
Mayandri I, 211
Mayasura I, 167
Mayura III, 174
Medha I, 125
Medini III, 160 204 209 ff 215 ff 231 ff 236 240 ff
Medinikara III, 127 209 213 f 220 f
Meghaduta II, 50 152 310 ff III, 250 303
Meghanada I, 38
Meghankusa I, 62
Mekala II, 333
Mekalakanyaka II, 333
Mena I, 151
Meru I 39 149 151 f 287 315 II, 339 366 III, 142
Mewar I, 137
Mir'at amil II, 392
Mimansa I, 59 120 II, 30 III, 196 210
Mimansaka III, 193
Mitakshara I, 8 87 III, 9 14

Nanda pandita III, 14
Nandideva I, 191
Nandini I, 20 47
Nara I, 27
Náracha II, 299 f
Narada I, 42 ff 53 56 62 ff 78 92 97 119 235 237. 243 II, 34 386 III, 18 29 47 f 67 f 73 90 f
Naraharí pandita III, 236
Naraka I, 126
Naravahanadatta I, 190 242 ff II, 113 121 129 138 156 ff 187
Narayana I, 10 30 35 56 60 83 92 ff 118 139 II, 161
Narayana chakravartti III, 207
Narasinhyanta I, 127
Narmada I, 35 37 50 60 67 69 77 85 137 204 240 f 280 II. 325 332 f 394
Narttaka I, 327
Nasr II, 93
Nastika I, 376
Nata I, 327
Navamalika I, 370 II, 236
Navanadi II, 338
Navananda I, 164
Nidana I, 270
Nidanasthana I, 274
Nidhi II, 50 380
Nidhipalita II, 195
Nigrala I, 384
Nila I, 45 308 II, 380
Nilakantha I, 141 151 III, 116 125 208
Nimla II, 214
Nimbavati II, 248 254
Nipa II, 373
Nipáta III, 320
Nir III, 127 137
Nirahara III, 130
Nirdvandva III, 124
Nirguna I, 147
Nirmala III, 148
Nirukta III, 311 316 318
Nirvana III, 122 325
Nirveda III, 127 f
Nirvindhya II, 340
Niryogakshema III, 125
Nishada I, 35 256
Nishadha I, 137
Nishtha III, 151
Nissreyas III, 137 f
Nitambavati II, 248 256 ff
Niti I, 86 354 II, 6 85 III, 154
Nitisastra II, 6 9
Nitya III, 106
Nivata III, 139
Nivritti III, 150
Niyodhaka I, 327
Nrisinha I, 32 f II, 183
Nrisinha avatára I, 59
Nushirvan II, 88 f III, 186
Nyasa III, 67

Om I, 58
Omkára I, 37

Pada III, 286
Padarthakaumudi III, 267
Padmakalpa I, 30
Padmanábhadatta III, 207 232

Paulisa siddhanta I, 152
Paunarbhava III, 48
Paundra III, 117
Paundraka I, 138
Paurava I, 299 f
Paushkara khanda I, 22
Paushkara mâhatmya I, 27 74
Pavitra I, 84
Payoshni I, 49
Phala III, 124
Pilpai II, 3 86 ff 138
Pingala I, 90 II, 30 III, 317 f
Pingala I, 390
Pingalaka II, 12 139
Pisâcha I, 41 55 f II, 117 f 128 133
Pitamaha II, 192
Pitri I, 26 111 125 150 f 154 II, 389 III, 311
Prabhanjana I, 28
Prabhasa I, 38
Prabodhachandrodaya III, 189 196
Prachandavarma I, 377 f II, 283 ff
Prachetasa I, 10 175 340
Pradakshina II, 365
Pradhana I, 10 23 98 123 145
Pradjota I, 230 II, 311
Pradjumna I, 14 116
Praharavarmâ I, 353 365 f II, 161 166 189 211 f 219 f
Prahlada I, 31 ff 59
Prahrâda I, 31
Prajapati I, 25 30 121 175 272 II, 192
Prâkrita I, 148
Prakrita manorama III, 177
Prakriti I, 24 58 92 f 98–103 128 145 f II, 288 III, 119 144 146
Prakriti khanda I, 93 98–103
Pralamba I, 110
Pramadaropya II, 28
Pramati I, 352 369 ff II, 164 189 233
Pramlocha I, 14
Pranalara III, 221
Prasa II, 300
Prasavishyadhwam III, 133
Prasnopanishad III, 329
Praśnottaramala II, 315
Prastha II, 251
Prastuta I, 127
Prasuti I, 25 124
Pratigrala II, 303
Prâtisakhya I, 161 III, 312 317 f 390
Pratishthâ I, 162
Pratishthana I, 62 169 180 185 190 II, 120 153
Pravira I, 308
Pravritti III, 150
Prayaga I, 37 55 f 67 72 II, 359 361
Prishadhra I, 127
Prisnat I, 207 310
Prishtha II, 303
Pritana II, 297
Pritha I, 279 f 283 312 319 321 330 333
Prithivi I 125 145
Prithu I, 11 25 31 f 38 67 ff 175 140 f

Prithuga I, 127
Priyadarsi III, 330
Priyamvada II, 211 f 215
Priyavrata I, 124 127
Protkatabhritya II, 35
Punyapada III, 229
Pulaha I, 127 150
Pulastya I, 23 26 30 127 150
Pulinan I, 135 180
Pulinda I, 136 204 215 228
Puman I, 99
Pundarika II, 328
Pundarikamukhi I, 390
Pundra II, 219 f
Punyaka vrata I, 103
Purana I, 1—155 178 181 f 350 351 366 II, 29 170 329 336 354 III, 46 95 97 111 115 197 209 306 f 342
Purana sanhita I, 130
Purana samvasa II, 318 320 f 359
Purandhara I, 127
Puri I, 17
Purnabhadra I, 367 f II, 222 229 f
Purohita II, 35 271 III, 176
Puru I, 89 127 291
Puru I, 36 f 299.
Pururavas I, 41 151
Purusha I, 24 58 93 123 III, 119 141 f 144 147 f
Purushottama I, 9 11 49 II, 19 III, 145
Purushottama deva III, 211 f 219
Purushottama kshetra I, 13 16 f 49 72
Purva khanda I, 19
Purva pithika I, 347 357
Pushkala I, 50
Pushkara I, 20 27 ff 37 f 71 f 77 79 II, 322
Pushkaravarttaka II, 321 f
Pushkarika II, 215 f
Pushpa I, 186
Pushpabhadra I, 105
Pushpadanta I, 162 179 184 188 f II, 117 f 328 III, 176
Pushpaka II, 23 ff
Pushpakarandini II, 311
Pushpapura I, 351 367 II, 211
Pushpapuri II, 160 162 164
Pushpodbhava I, 353 355 f II, 168 177 181 f 185 189
Pushti I, 124
Putraka I, 166 ff II, 133
Putrikaputra III, 47

Rabhasa III, 202 220
Rabhasdla III, 220
Raddhd I, 53 70 75 84 91 ff 293 339 III, 97
Raddhdkanta deva III, 235
Radhika I, 103
Ragamanjari I, 362 f II, 202 ff
Raghava II, 327
Raghu I, 47 II, 326 f 364
Raghumani bhattacharya III, 159 163 f
Raghunandana III, 72
Raghupati II, 326
Raghuvansa I, 47 78 II, 315 327
Rakka III, 105 f

Rajadeva III, 204
Rájadharma I, 286
Rájagiri I, 357 II, 180
Rajagriha II, 22
Rajahansa I, 351 ff 366 379 II, 160 ff 326
Rajanighantu III, 235 f 238
Rajas III, 124 f
Rajasa I, 9
Rajasuya I, 29 282
Rajatarangini I, 182 II, 111 f
Rajavahana I, 352 ff II, 169 171 182 ff 221 225 233 241. 262 269
Raji I, 26
Rajoguna I, 146 III, 147 153
Rakshasa I, 51 55 207 f 239 242 333 372 II, 41 71 f 78 133 ff 144 236 260 f
Rakshasi I, 296
Raktáksha II, 37
Rama I, 14 ff 29 39 46 ff 50 ff 60 72 74 78 106 149 189 207 f 242 273 309 f 328 II, 60 315 f 396 f 391 f III, 195
Ramachandra I, 29 83 309
Ramadeva III, 174
Ramagiri II, 315 f 327
Ramahrada I, 39
Ramanátha II, 325 f
Rámanátha vidyavachaspati III, 208
Ramanuja I, 78 f 142 III, 227
Rámasahasranáma I, 16.
Ramasarmana III, 237
Ramasrama II, 379 III, 138 166 209 f
Ramatarkavagisa III, 208
Rámatirtha II, 167
Ramayana I, 6 29 42 47 f 60 83 119 144 210 277 328 346 II, 316 360 364 f 388 III, 100 179
Ramayantika II, 215
Rámeswara I, 75
Rameswara sarmaña III, 231
Rantideva II, 353 355 III, 219
Rasa II, 66 78
Rasa I, 95 f
Rasakoila I, 17
Rasamandala I, 95
Rasátala I, 45
Rasavidyá I, 270
Rasayana I, 274 f
Rathin II, 294
Ratnagriva I, 49
Ratnamala III, 213 f 219 f 222 235
Ratnapura I, 246 II, 20
Ratnavati II, 254 ff
Ratnodbhava I, 352 ff II, 160 167 f 177
Raurava I, 55
Rauhisha II, 298
Rávana I, 14 41 46 48 207 f 210 II, 66 316. 369
Rayamukuta II, 306 III, 138 203 f 216 f 233
Revá I, 37 241 II, 284 331 ff
Revati II, 358
Ribhu III, 345
Richas (pl.) I, 129
Richika II, 281
Rigveda I, 128 f III, 309 ff 321 ff 332 ff 339

Ripunjaya II, 223
Rishabha I, 262
Rishi I, 9 14 23 25 52 55 60 66 69 f 92 125 127 145 150 278 II, 191 333 353 368 389 III, 323 334 f
Rishika I, 55
Rishti II, 300
Ritusanhara II, 315 325 330 362 375
Rohanachala II, 26
Rohini I, 70 III, 185
Rokh I, 192
Romaharshana I, 130
Ruchi I, 25 194
Rudeghi II, 93
Rudra I, 59 96 127 146 149 272 II, 5
Rudra (lex) III, 270
Rukmangada I, 50
Rukmi I, 116
Rukmini I, 116
Rumanwan I, 203 f 215 227 f 230 233 235 f
Rupanika I, 206 211 ff
Rupasikha II, 130 f
Rushta I, 149

Sabda III, 263
Sabdachandrika III, 230 237
Sabdakalpadruma III, 230 249
Sabdamala II 380 III, 231 f
Sabdaratnavali II, 380 III, 207 230 f 237 240 f
Saddarnava II, 371 III, 219 248
Sabdartthakalpataru III, 231 242
Sabdasandarbhasindhu III, 234 240 242
Śabdasastra II, 8
Sacha I, 75 80 112 114 138 143 155 378 III, 193
Sachagdra I, 38
Saka I, 292
Saka III, 179
Sakala III, 317
Sakambhari devi I, 20
Sakatala I, 170 ff
Sakha III, 317 333
Śakini II, 259
Sakuntala I, 40 78 II, 314 317 364 377 383 III, 242
Sakta I, 9 109
Sakti I, 9 100 f 122 III, 96 f
Saktideva I, 243 253 ff II 120 ff
Saktikumara II, 250 ff
Saktimati I, 181 223 f
Saktisena I 244 268
Saktri I 59
Saktri I, 122
Sakuni I, 292 298
Salagrama I, 49 53 64 66 78 f 118 II, 391 III, 35 f
Salagrama kshetra I, 31 43
Salaka I, 275 382 384 f
Saldhja I 274 276
Salivahana I, 162 181 f II, 140 III, 179 189
Salja I, 274 ff 285 299 308 f II, 291 296
Śalja parva I, 285
Sambhu I, 180 188 212 II, 260
Sami I 67 104 f
Samka I, 59 67

Sankara I, 93 114 244 268
Sankara (man's name) II, 211
Sankaracharya I, 138 347 III, 105 108 113 119 122 ff 128 134 138 140 142 145 150 174 188 ff 210
Sankaradigvijaya III, 199
Sankarajaya III, 192
Sankaraswami I, 246 ff III, 190
Sankaravijaya III, 193
Sankha II, 380
Sankhanidhi II, 50
Sankhayana III, 321
Sanku III, 168
Sankhumukhi I, 390
Śansapayana I, 88 130
Santanu I, 122 291 305 313
Santiparva I, 286
Sara I, 308 II, 352 f
Sarabha II, 363
Sarada tilaka I, 84 II, 50
Saradwat I, 308
Sarat II, 373
Sarayu I, 60
Śárira sthana I, 274
Sarnga II, 397
Śárngadhara I, 388
Sarydu I, 127
Sastra I, 382 385
Śáswata III, 219
Satabuddhi II, 63 f
Śatadhriti I, 308
Śatadru I, 127
Sataghni II, 397
Satalaki II, 219 f
Śataka I, 348 II, 335
Satakarni I, 134
Satananda I, 293
Satanika I, 19 197 239 II, 60
Satapatha brahmana III, 311 326 f 331
Satarupa I, 10 124
Satrughna I, 47 ff
Śaudra III, 48
Saunaka I, 19 23 71 92 367 II 225 III, 323 ff
Savali II, 300
Savara II, 165
Sayyádhyaksha II, 35
Sayyapala II, 35
Sejhalika I, 387
Sesha I, 39 f 42 45 52
Sesha (n.) I, 348
Sikhandi I, 296
Siksha III, 316
Simi I, 299 304
Sirisha II, 373 f
Sisira II, 373
Sisumára I, 153 329 II, 146
Sisupala I, 116
Siva I, 12 f 17 19 21 26 ff 42 ff 50 ff 90 ff 138 143 145 148 f 151 154 158 f 161 166 169 174 182 185 191 212 217 243 328 330 332 366 376 II, 5 66 79 116 f 136 157 172 f 192 197 224 323 344 347 ff 360 ff 376 390 III, 95 ff 192 176 193 341
Siva (man) I, 216 ff
Sivalinga I, 350 II, 369
Sivapurana I, 89
Sivarumatripathi I, 340
Sivasarma I, 31

Sri I, 42 292 332 II, 251
Sona I, 351
Sraddha I, 26 29 75 124 f 177 III, 12 f
Sramanaka II, 20 f
Sramanika II, 257 f
Srauta III, 333
Śrautasutra III, 320 f
Sravaka III, 224
Sravana I, 109 153
Sravasti I, 234 369 f II, 236 ff
Sreyas III, 138
Śri I, 125 341 III, 154
Śrilloja III, 174
Srichandradeva III, 215
Sridandi I, 347
Śridatta II, 390
Śridharasvami III, 108 116 119 125 130 137 140 150 155
Sridhritisinha III, 212
Srigalika I, 363 f II, 204 ff
Srikantha I, 38
Srikarna deva III, 186 f
Srikirttida I, 70
Srikrishna III, 215
Srikrishna tarkalankara III, 17 72
Śrikundala I, 55
Sringa I, 389
Sringabhuja II, 134 ff
Sringagiri III, 189
Sringaratilaka II, 315
Srinjaya I, 119 295 307
Sripativarmā I, 183
Śri pulumāvi I, 180
Srirangā I, 58 60 81
Śrisaila I, 62 85
Srishti khanda I, 22–30 39 73 f 76 ff 111
Srotavya III, 128
Sruta III, 128
Srutabodha II, 315
Śrutadhara III, 176
Srutartha I, 180
Srutayus I, 25
Śruti III, 97 128 316
Subhasastri III, 88
Sudra I, 56 114 130 175 292 III, 48 91 113 133 255
Sudraka I, 367 II, 225 III, 186 197
Suka I, 53
Śukasaptati I, 157 II, 108
Śukra I 26 II, 6 275
Sunahsepha III, 327
Suraka III, 186
Surasena I, 232 f II, 256
Suvata I, 149
Śveta I, 29 148 f
Śvetadvipa I, 58 118
Svetalohita I, 149
Svetasikha I, 149
Svetasya I, 149
Syenavyuha II, 304

Sabhaparva I, 282 II, 31
Saddsva I, 53 60 62
Sadgunya I, 197
Sagara I, 42 324 II, 207 359 f
Sagaradatta II, 285
Saguna I, 147
Sahadeva I, 279 291 297 f 311
Sihasanka III, 215 291
Sdhasdnka charitra III, 216

Sahasika I, 111
Sahasrabuddhi II, 63 f
Sahasranika I, 192 ff II, 60
Sahasrarjuna I, 55
Sahishnu I, 127
Sahodha III, 48
Sahya I, 68
Saiti II, 300
Sakalyana I, 147
Sakarmika I, 230
Sakatavyuha II, 307
Samadhiyoga III, 122
Samaveda I, 198 f III, 309 ff 332 ff
Samayabhushana III, 231
Samayacharika sutra III, 321
Samudra I, 14
Samudra (jar) I, 59
Samudrika I, 390
Samudrika vidja I, 234
Samvarana I 308
Samvartta III, 106
Samvatsarika II, 35
Sánanda I, 96
Sanatkumara I, 63
Sandansa I, 384
Sandhi II, 16 f 26 345 III, 160 263 283
Sandhya I, 60 II, 345 347 III, 105
Sandjani I, 115
Sanga III, 195 f
Sangama III, 192
Sanghata III, 146
Sangrala I, 159
Sangrama I, 158. II 111 f
Sanhita I, 119 129 f III, 283 312 328. 389 334 f 347
Sanjaya II, 341 III, 115 f 154
Sanjivaka II, 10 12 27 139
Sanjña I, 12
Sankalpa II, 41
Sankhachuda I, 108
Sankhya III, 118
Sankhya III, 119
Sankhya I, 10 16 23 42 99 120 145 III, 108 ff 114 118 ff 145 153 193 346
Sankriti II, 333
Sanmidhatryupadeshta II, 35
Sannyasi III, 104 111
Sansara III, 149
Sansarávartta III, 212 218
Saphara II, 350
Sapinda III, 47
Sapindikarana III, 57
Saptasati III, 178 219
Sárasundari III, 207
Sarasvati I, 19 30 101 ff 165 205 II, 5 9 109 184 356 f 361 III, 191
Sarasvati prakriya III, 313
Sarga I, 118 III, 150
Sarika II, 386
Sarvalhauma II, 328
Sarvadhara III, 204
Sarvánanda III, 201
Sarvanukrama III, 323
Sarvatma I, 147
Sarvatobhadra II, 307
Sarvavarmá I, 183
Sánkya II, 281
Sita I 182
Satavahana I, 191 ff 190 f II, 190 III, 173

Sati I, 112 161 368
Satrajit I, 117 132 f
Sattwa I, 100 III, 124 f 152 f
Sattwaguna I, 147 III, 125 147 f
Sattwika I, 16
Satya I, 40
Satyabhama I, 66 68 f
Satyaka I, 299
Satyaki I, 299 II, 308
Satyashadha hiranyakesi III, 321
Satyavarma I, 352 f II, 160 169
Satyavan I, 49
Satyavati I, 127 313
Satyavrata I, 67 257
Saubhadra I, 301
Saubhari I, 45
Saudasa I, 46
Saugata III, 194
Saumya I, 154
Sauptika parva I, 286
Saura purana I, 8
Saurashtra I, 62 68 II 254
Sausruta I, 274 383 386 388
Sauti I 92 278
Sautra III, 248
Sauvira I, 292 300
Savara I, 303
Savarika I, 390
Savarni I, 88 130
Savitri I, 28 76 96 101 103
Sayana III, 192 311 328
Senamukha II, 299
Senapati II, 35
Sendebad II, 96 98
Sendebar II, 96 99 ff
Sevana I, 389
Shahjehan III, 257
Shahnama II, 90
Shashthi I, 101 103
Siddha I, 374 II, 327
Siddhantakaumudi III, 196 f 184 206 238 245 250 279
Siddhi II, 60
Siddhinaga II, 60
Simanta II, 161
Simantini II, 164
Simapala II, 35
Sindbad II, 78 98 104
Sindhu I, 242 291 300 340
Sindhudatta II, 282
Sinhaghosha I, 367 II, 208 f 226 233
Sinhasana dwatrinsati II, 108 III, 140 178 183
Sinhasan battisi I, 157
Sinhasena I, 304
Sinhavarma I, 239 357 ff II, 186 189 208 f 221 241 261
Sprd I, 246 ff II, 57 338 340 343
Sitavarma I, 352 II, 160 169
Skanda I, 30 117 II, 359
Skandapura III, 188
Skanda purana I, 21 40 80 181 II, 41 338
Skandha I, 57
Smriti II, 196 III, 97 128 310
Soma I, 16 41 149 290 III, 343 f
Soma (lex) III, 221
Somadatta I, 180. 189 313 353 355 II, 170 174 181 189
Somadeva I, 157 ff II, 10. 108 111 123 III, 175 179

Somapa I, 151
Somarasmi II, 187
Somaśarma I, 31 ff II, 69 ff 78
Somilaka II, 31
Somodbhava II, 333
Sparsa III, 121
Sthali devatá II, 335
Sthána II, 66
Sthanachintaka II, 36
Sthávaratírtha I, 39
Sthira III, 91
Strídhana III, 23
Striparva I, 286
Subahu I, 19
Subandhu I, 178 II, 311 III, 171 177
Subhadrá I, 14 298 300
Subhanka III, 219
Sudhará I, 65
Sudhárá III, 204
Sudhanvan I, 111
Sudhi I, 127
Sudhí I, 287
Sumanta I, 128
Sumantra I, 352 II, 160 161
Sumati I, 51 88 130 352 369 II, 8 160 164 170
Sumedha I, 127
Sumitra I, 52 352 II, 160 161 169 223
Sumukha I, 19
Sunanda I, 10 ff
Sunda I, 55
Sundareswara II, 79
Supadma III, 207 232
Suprajna I, 72
Supratika I, 19 II, 328
Surabhi I, 103 II, 354
Suratamanjari II, 187
Surya I, 8 61 97 272 II, 192 III, 185
Suryaprabha II, 130 132
Suryavaka II, 291
Suryavati II, 111 f
Susarma I, 185
Susruta (med.) I, 89 273 276

Swadhá I, 68 101 103
Swaha I, 101 103 125
Swara II, 66
Swarga I, 55 f 72 211 287 341 II, 21 321 342 ff 353 f 360 365
Swargakhanda I, 22 77 f
Swargarohana I, 287
Swarochisha manwantara I, 126
Swasti I, 101
Swastika I, 384
Swayambhuwa I, 124 127
Swayambhuwa manwantara I, 124
Swayamdatta III, 48
Swayamwara I, 281 323 ff
Syntipas II, 96 ff

Tabari II, 92
Tairyaksrotas I, 148
Taittiriya I, 129
Taittiriya aranyaka III, 328
Taittiriya sanhita III, 332
Taittiriya upanishad III, 329
Tala II, 66 78
Talatala I, 45
Talayantra I, 384.
Támalipta II, 261
Tamas III, 124 f
Tambulawahaka II, 35
Tamoguna I, 146 III, 147 154
Tamraliptah I, 216
Tamralipti I, 216 f 219 226
Tantra III, 95 ff
Tantrika I, 83 f II, 50 380
Tapas I, 40
Tapasvi I, 127
Taptamudra I, 56 80
Tapti I, 241 355 II, 332 394
Taraka I, 30 II, 359
Tarapala III, 221
Tarawali I, 367 369 II, 169 224 ff
Tarawati I, 324
Tarendra III, 174
Tarka I, 120
Tatihra, Tatihri II, 18
Tattwa III, 135
Tejas I, 145
Tejaspura I, 49
Tejassarga I, 148
Tikasarirasiwa III, 204
Tila II, 320
Tilottama I, 55 111 II, 197
Tirtha I, 12 f 27 35 38 f 77 85 f II, 358
Tirthakhanda I, 22
Tiruwikramadewa III, 188
Tittibha II, 17 f 140
Tomara II, 300
Trayi II, 272
Tretayuga I, 152
Trigartta I, 292
Trikantasesha III, 126 202 211 ff 220 240 ff
Trikándawiseka III, 208
Trikurchaka I, 386
Trilochanadasa I, 183
Tripeti I, 81
Tripura II, 365
Trishtubh III, 143
Trivikramasena II, 153
Tryambaka II, 236
Tulasi I, 53 57 66 f 72 79 81 101 103 107 118 III, 93 95 f

Tunda I, 38 f
Tunga I, 34
Tungabhadra I, 62 81
Tungadhamna I, 371 373 II, 242 261
Turushka I, 242
Tushti I, 124
Tutthanama I, 157 II, 242

Udaya I, 193
Udayana I, 191 194 f II, 60 121 341 f
Uddalaka I, 70
Uddlata II, 63
Uddlava I, 115
Udgata I, 129
Udyogaparva I, 284 II, 308
Ugrasena I, 115 f
Ugrasravas I, 22 140
Ujjayini I, 77 196 ff 214 f 239 241 246 ff 344 355 f II, 57 121 151 f 157 173 f 179 ff 240 257 314 339 341 f 344 352 III, 185 ff
Uma I, 19 20
Unadi III, 237 f
Unadikosha III, 237
Upaharavarma I, 353 360 f 375 II, 166 189 210
Upajati III, 143
Upakosa I, 168 ff II, 118
Upamanyu I, 59 122
Upanayana III, 50
Upanishad III, 106 257 312 328 ff 345
Upaparva I, 287
Upapurana I, 2 92 113
Upasarga III, 320
Upasunda I, 55
Upayaja I, 326
Uras II, 303
Urddhapundra I, 57
Urddhasrotas I, 148
Uru I, 129 127
Urvasi I, 41 II, 281 314 f
Usanas I, 59 II, 6
Usha I, 117
Ushmapa I, 154
Utkala I, 12 17
Utkarsha I, 158
Utpalmukosha III, 202 212 218
Utsthala I, 256 260
Uttama I, 127
Uttanapada I, 124 127
Uttara II, 294
Uttarakhanda I, 8 19 ff 48 53–80
Uttararamacharitra I, 51
Uttarasthana I, 274

Vachaspati II, 6 III, 212 221
Vadisa I, 386
Vagbhatta I, 273 384 ff III, 221
Vahana I, 183
Valmi II, 290
Vahni I, 110
Vaideha I, 239
Vaidya II, 91
Vaijayanti III, 221
Vaikarttana I, 333
Vaikrita I, 148
Vaikuntha I, 40 f 55 58 72 78 118
Vainateya II, 229
Vaisakha I, 71

Vaisakhamahatmya I, 71
Vaisampayana I, 128 f 278
Vaiseshika I, 59 120
Vaishnava I, 9 24 31 53 57 f 62 66 68 ff 83 ff 90 98 107 f 111 119 ff 143 148 III, 97 189 228
Vaisravana II, 282
Vaisya I, 35 55 III, 94 113
Vaitalika I, 327
Vaitarani I, 17 241
Vaivaswata I, 11 15 25 127
Vaivaswata manwantara I, 121
Vajasaneyi sanhita III, 332
Vaji I, 129
Vajikarana I, 274 276
Vajra II, 302 307 371
Vajranabha I, 11
Vaka I, 110 II, 25 32 321 337 III, 185
Vakandapratyasa II, 39
Vakil al bazr II, 18
Valabhi II, 254 256
Valaka II, 238 324
Vallabhachárya I, 120
Vallabha pandita III, 172
Vallála pandita III, 172
Vallalasena III, 172
Válmiki I, 47 51 f
Vámadatta II, 150
Vámadeva II, 164 167 169 171
Vámalochana II, 175
Vámana II, 328 367
Vámana avatara I, 59 II, 367
Vámana purána II, 352 366 III 47 f
Vana I, 117 III, 174
Vánanadi II, 338
Vánaparva I, 383
Vánaprastha III, 109
Vansa III, 331
Varaha avatara I, 59 123
Varahakalpa I, 123 149
Varáhamihira II, 16 III, 168 173 184 ff
Varaha purána II, 352
Varana I, 85
Váranasi II, 221
Vararuchi I, 162 165 170 174 178 f 183 f II, 46. 118 III, 168 170 173 ff 202 209 219 324
Vardhamana I, 244 255 263 267 II, 13 126 ff 138
Varga III, 323
Varhisadas I, 151 154
Varna II, 66 78 III, 51
Varnasankara I, 141 309
Varnavilasanda III, 211
Varsha I, 164 ff III, 176
Varta II, 272
Varttika III, 177 324
Varuna I, 41 II, 18 III, 343
Vasanta II, 373
Vasantabhanu II, 277 280 f 286
Vasantaka I, 203 205 f 214 230
Vasara I, 200.
Vasavadatta I, 196 200 203 205 f 213 226 f 230 236 ff II, 121 156 213 344 f III, 177
Vashkala I, 129
Vasishtha I, 16 28 54 57 60 ff 82 150 ff 127 150 II, 300 III, 49 53

Vasishtha I, 150
Vasu I, 109 127
Vasudatta I, 163
Vasudeva I, 108 f
Vásudeva I, 14 16 138 294 339 III, 142
Vasuki I, 39 46 180 195 337 II, 22
Vasuman I, 127
Vasumati II, 160 163 f 211
Vasumitra II, 200
Vasunemi I, 195
Vasundhara I, 377 II, 282
Vasupalita II, 195
Vasupújya III, 229
Vasurakshaka II, 270
Vasurakshita II, 282
Vasusakti II, 7
Vasushena I, 333
Vata I, 70
Vatsa I, 191 ff 226 ff 268 II, 60 113 121 f 128 156 341
Vatsanaga II, 284
Vatsyayana I, 39 f 42 45 52 187
Vayu I, 41 130 140 279
Vayu purana I, 7 140–155 174 II, 322 333 366 389
Veda I, 67 88 92 94 97 101 128 f 140 145 147 151 164 278 313 336 340 354 II, 94 196 240 290 III, 49 103 ff 108 ff 193 198 f 142 148 ff 199 248 255 257 305 ff
Vedakumbha I, 185
Veddnga III, 312 316
Vedanta I, 59 86 120 196 147 II, 396 III, 105 ff 109 114 120 153 191 f 346
Vedavyasa I, 121 128 149
Vegavan II, 187
Vela II, 149
Vena I, 25 31 f 38 125 141
Veni I, 68 II, 388 391
Venkatadri I, 60 81
Venkatagiri I, 58
Vetala II, 133 151
Vetalabhatta III, 168
Vetalapanchavinsati II, 108 153 f III, 170 178 183
Vetasa I, 164
Vetravati II, 337
Vibhishana I, 14 29 207 ff
Vibhutadwadasi I, 28
Vibhuti III, 142 f
Vichitravirya I, 123 291
Vidarbha I, 376 378 f II, 270 277
Videha II, 210
Vidisa II, 337
Vidura I, 123 313 f 321
Vidushaka II, 133
Vidwan II, 93
Vidjadhara I, 41 54 236 243 f 260 ff 308 II, 113 121 127 ff 156 ff 187
Vidjadhari I, 266 268 II, 127 ff 156
Vidjakaramisra III, 234
Vidjavinoda III, 174
Vidjesvara II, 183
Vigraha II, 17
Viguna III, 133
Vihara II, 51 76 195

Viharabhadra II, 271 277
Vijaya II, 79 III, 154
Vijayanagara I, 81 348 II, 106 III, 192 213 228
Vikarma III, 135
Vikarna I, 291
Vikarttana I, 333
Vikatavarma I, 365 II, 211 ff
Vikrama I, 157 II, 154 III, 168 170 ff 186
Vikramacharitra I, 157 181 III, 169 f 388
Vikramaditya I, 157 181 II, 108 153 158 314 339 III, 166 ff 174 180 ff 199 219 215 221
Vikramasila II, 158
Vikramorvasi II, 152 314 f
Vikriti I, 128 148
Vikundala I, 55
Vilasini II, 36
Vimardaka II, 197 202 f
Vina II, 60 242 386 f
Vinata I, 209 301
Vinavatsa II, 60
Vinayaka I, 348 III, 174
Vinayavati II, 225
Vinda II, 294
Vindhya I, 28 49 75 162 202 204 214 239 f 255 359 369 376 II, 20 162 179 234 249 270 282 328 ff 354
Vindhyaka II, 20
Vindhyavasini I, 163 179 184 204 371 II, 242 284 332
Vindulekhā I, 266 f
Vindumati I, 266 f
Virabhadra I, 50
Viracharitra I, 157
Viraja I, 127
Viraja tirtha I, 13
Viraketu II, 175
Viramani I, 50
Viramitrodaya III, 47 67 73 91 94
Virasekhara II, 187
Virasena II, 281
Virat I, 22
Virata I, 284 II, 294
Viratapurva I, 284
Virupaka I, 360 363 II, 203
Visala II, 341
Visalaksha II, 275
Vishnu I, 9 f 13 15 18 23 ff 40 ff 53 56 ff 90 95 ff 147 ff 161 208 211 213 285 288 306 309 310 f 376 II, 15 18 127 150 174 366 f 397 III, 148 150 227 312
Vishnu (jur) III, 47 ff 63
Vishnugupta II, 272
Vishnu purana I, 3 7 10 15 25 31 59 74 116 120–133 141 144 163 289 308 II, 6 322 358 366 369
Vishnusarma II, 3 6 ff 48 74 f
Vishnuvardhana III, 227 f
Visokadvadasi I, 28
Visokavarma II, 219
Visravana I, 382
Visruta I, 352 376 ff II, 164 189 263 ff
Viswadeva III 313
Viswakarma I, 13 15 86 f 110 210 III, 316.

Viswaketu I, 44
Viswakosha III, 204 212 214 ff 221 223 232 234 239 ff
Viswamitra I, 127 273
Viswaprakasa III, 214 ff 220 236
Viswarupa I, 148
Viswasena I, 115
Visweswara I, 85 183 366
Vitala I, 45
Vitankapura I, 256
Vitasta I, 39
Vitunda I, 38
Vivadabhangarnava III, 20 27
Vivadachintamani III, 18 47 73
Vivadaratnakara III, 18
Vimsati I, 299
Vopadeva III, 184 206 238 250 297
Vopalita III, 209 219
Vrata I, 28 86
Vriddha harita I 54
Vriddhakshetra I, 300
Vriddhipatra I, 336
Vrihadbala I, 11
Vrihadishu I, 308
Vrihaspati I, 26 59 110 140 291 II, 6 III, 44 49 51 67 90 94
Vrihaspatisanhita III 90
Vrihatkatha I, 157 159 163 204 221 II, 10 12 ff 60 109 ff III, 175 177 f 183 220
Vrihatsanhita III, 185
Vrihimukha I, 386
Vrinda I, 68 115
Vrindavana I 53 60 70 95 f 108 110 115 118 II, 328
Vrishabhanu I, 70 109
Vrishasena I, 303
Vrishni I, 312
Vritra I, 34 II, 29
Vritrasura I, 28
Vrittagra I, 386
Vritti I, 183 III, 121
Vyadhana I, 382
Vyadi I, 163 ff 174 ff III, 176 202 212 221
Vyághradatta I, 304
Vyakarana III, 316
Vyakhyamrita III, 204
Vyakhyapradipa III, 206
Vyakhyasudhá III, 204
Vyapasraya III, 133
Vyasa I, 9 15 f 22 f 31 38 52 62 71 82 88 97 121 123 128 130 140 144 278 291 313 340 II, 6 III, 196
Vyavahara III, 87
Vyavaharamatrika III, 97
Vyavaharamayukha III, 47 54 67 ff 91 94
Vyavaharatattwa III, 94
Vyavastha III, 19 23
Vyuha I, 58 II, 305 ff

Yadava I, 139 f 287
Yadava (lex) III, 221
Yadu I, 89 108 132 299 304 312 337
Yahya II, 93
Yája I, 396
Yajna I, 194 III, 136
Yajña (name) I, 135
Yajñadatta I, 166

Yajñasri I, 135
Yājñavalkya I, 87 f III, 44 47 f 69 91 332
Yajñavati II, 182
Yajurveda (white) I, 128 f III, 309 311 f 317 323 326 332 ff
Yajurveda (black) III, 321 332
Yaksha I, 69 162 182 224 356 374 f II, 117 ff 117 169 180 224 266 f 312 f 316 f 319 323 345 368 373 f 382 386 394 396 399
Yakshini I, 367 369 II, 227 373
Yama I, 34 41 44 64 f 69 304 376 II, 6 24 III, 345
Yama (jar) I, 59 III, 47 f
Yuma I, 124 298
Yamadvitiya I, 65
Yamagita I, 130
Yamuna I, 60 333 II, 10 332 370
Yantra I, 382 f 387 II, 301
Yantramukta II, 299
Yaska III 311 318
Yasoda I, 109 ff
Yatayama III, 151
Yathartha II, 198 202 f
Yati II, 66
Yatana I, 292 366 371 f II, 219 246 f
Yayati I, 36
Yoga I, 15 43 143 145 149 II, 131 III, 108 ff 118 121 f 145
Yogakarandika I, 220
Yogananda I, 175 ff II, 131
Yogandharayana I, 196 f 203 205 213 228 230 233 235 ff
Yogasastra III, 109
Yogesvara I, 147
Yogi I, 16 143 246 II, 57 f 131. 153 III, 111 119 f
Yogini II, 133
Yojana I, 214
Yudhishthira I, 279 286 ff 316 324 ff 337 340 II, 34 307 ff
Yuga I, 15 45 128 153 II, 322
Yugandhara I, 304
Yuvaraja II, 35 177 226
Yuvarajya II, 220
Yuyudhana I, 299 II, 308

ADDITIONAL NOTE to Vol II, p 16, and Vol III, p 185

We are indebted to Dr Kern, of Benares, for the following quotations from the text of the *Brihatsanhita*

प्राजापत्ये शकटे भिन्ने क्षत्वेव पातक वसुधा।
केशास्थिशकलशबला कापालमिव व्रत धत्ते ॥

Yajñasri I, 135
Yajñavalkya I, 87 f III, 44 47 f 69 91 332
Yajñavati II, 182
Yajurveda (white) I, 128 f III, 309 311 f 317 323 326 332 ff
Yajurveda (black) III, 321 332
Yaksha I, 69 162 182 224 356 374 f II, 117 ff 147 169 180 224 266 f 312 f 316 f 319 323 345 368 373 f 382 386 394 396 399
Yakshini I, 367 369 II, 227 373
Yama I, 34 41 44 64 f 69 304 376 II, 6 24 III, 345
Yama (jur) I, 59 III, 47 f
Yama I, 124 298
Yamadwitiya I, 65
Yamagita I, 130
Yamunâ I, 60 333 II, 10 332 370
Yantra I, 382 f 387 II, 301
Yantramukta II, 299
Yasha III, 311 318
Yasodâ I, 109 ff
Yatayama III, 151
Yathartha II, 198 202 f
Yati II, 66
Yavana I, 292 366 371 f II, 219 246 f
Yayati I, 36
Yoga I, 15 43 143 145 149 II, 131 III, 108 ff 118 121 f 145
Yogakarandikâ I, 220
Yogananda I, 175 ff II, 131
Yogandharayana I, 196 f 203 205 213 228 230 233 235 ff
Yogasâstra III, 109
Yogeswara I, 147
Yogi I, 16 143 246 II, 57 f 131. 153 III, 111 119 f
Yogini II, 133
Yojana I, 214
Yudhishthira I, 279 286 ff 316 324 ff 337 340 II, 34 307 ff
Yuga I 15 45 128 153 II, 322
Yugandhara I, 304
Yuvaraja II, 30 177 226
Yuvarâjya II, 220
Yuyudhana I, 299 II, 308

ADDITIONAL NOTE to Vol II, p 16, and Vol III, p 185

We are indebted to Dr Kern, of Benares, for the following quotations from the text of the Brihatsanhitâ

प्राजापत्ये शकटे भिन्ने छत्रेव पातक वसुधा।
केशास्थिशकलशवला कापालमिव व्रतं धत्ते॥

Page	138,	line	28	*read* chháditam
-	164,	-	11	- Mitragupta
-	208,	-	19	- endeavouring
-	209,	-	22 and 29	- Chandravarmá
-	210,	-	11	- Chandravarmá
-	221,	-	4	- Chandravarmá
-	248,	-	17	- Dhúmini
-	218,	-	18	- Nitambavati
-	315,	-	8	- Ritusanhára
-	354,	-	16, 19 and 24	- Charmanwati
-	364,	-	24	- Kánwa
-	372,	-	2 and 24	- Airávata
-	394,	-	21	- Śálagrama

Vol. III.

Page	25,	line	28	*read* पिण्डदो ऽग्रहरः
-	96,	-	15, 19 and 21	- Brahmá
-	108,	-	13	- Śankara
-	108,	-	13	- Śridhara
-	108,	-	14	- Sánkhyas
-	127,	-	24	- Medinikara
-	128,	-	15	- Śrotavya
-	128,	-	16	- Sruta
-	141,	-	23	- adhyátma
-	177,	-	14	- Manoramá
-	189,	-	21	- Śáliváhana
-	213,	-	13	- Vijayanagara
-	240,	-	12	- Prayoga
-	291,	-	11	- Átmanepada

Berlin, printed by Unger Brothers, Printers to the King

CONTENTS OF VOL III.

		Page
	Table of Contents	v
XI	Review of Sir F W Macnaghten's 'Considerations of the Hindu Law, as it is current in Bengal'	1–98
XII	Review of A W Schlegel's edition of the Bhagavadgita Bonn 1823	99–157
XIII	Preface to the Sanskrit Dictionary First Edition Calcutta 1819	158–202
XIV	Notice of European Grammars and Dictionaries of the Sanskrit Language	203–301
XV	Review of Professor Max Muller's 'History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature'	302–348
	Index	349–387
	Additional Note	387–388
	Corrections .	388–390

sister's portion, from want of a knowledge of the elements of arithmetic

Devala says, adopted sons after the birth of a natural son are dividers of *a third share**, which Jimutavahana explains, a third part of the share of a natural son ** According to our notions of computation this does not mean *a third of the whole*, but a part equal to *one third of the portion* of the natural son, and consequently *a fourth* of the *whole* dividend accordingly, the Mitakshara*** awards a fourth share upon the authority of Vasishtha, and the doctrine is precisely the same as that of Devala, according as the application is made, for it is clear that, if out of 100 parts the natural son takes seventy five and the adopted son twenty-five, he may be said to take a fourth or a third share, according as the assertion is absolute or relative In no case, however, can it be argued that the adopted son is to have one-third, and the natural son two thirds the proportion is not as *two to one*, but as *three to one*, and conclusions to the former effect are not warranted by any interpretation of the law, the supposed inconsistency of which lies, in our estimation, not in the law, nor even its commentators, but its living interpreters

The next consideration is the age at which a boy may be adopted, and which the learned judge con-

---

* [औरसे पुनरुत्पन्ने तेषु ज्यैष्ठ्य न विद्यते ।
तेषा सवर्णा ये पुत्रास्ते तृतीयाशभागिन ॥]

** [औरसपुत्रभागस्य तृतीयाशभागी]

*** [I XI 24]